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**Good practice**

Information system projects appear to have an alarmingly high failure rate, even in developed countries — half of large implementations fail, half suffer disputes.

Welcome to part 2 of the task managers Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) toolkit, designed to give guidance on ICT components in World Bank operations.

The toolkit is made up of two parts:

Part 1, A route map is aimed at raising awareness. It attempts to give direction by helping to categorize ICT components in terms of complexity and “walks through” the different stages of preparation, implementation, and supervision.

Part 2, Good practice goes into greater detail. It is intended that this second part would:

- For task managers and task teams provide a summary of the various disciplines relevant to the conceptualization, planning, delivery, and sustainability of ICT-based products
- For project implementation units provide guidelines that will help them better prepare for and monitor ICT components
- For borrowers and beneficiaries provide a primer on good practice
- For expert implementers provide orientation and nomenclature, and an aide-mémoire for the formulation of project plans, expanding the focus beyond mere technology.

The information contained here pertains to “complex” ICT components which may require tailored information systems (IS), have a wide impact, have several subcomponents, have a significant management dimension, have relatively high financial worth, or are key to the success of the loan.

This section of the toolkit is not intended to allow an inexperienced person to use the information and execute an ICT component without any expert assistance. However, anybody may benefit from an enhanced ability to dialogue effectively, especially with experts.

The toolkit is independent of any particular technology, including technologies for project management, system engineering, and so on. There are instances when a specific approach is used to present a topic. At all times this should be viewed as indicative and not constraining.

This is a vast subject with any amount of guidance available. The difficulty lies in what to exclude. The guiding principle has been not to glorify, mystify, fantasize, overemphasize, or overestimate ICT and its place in development. This toolkit attempts to be a simple, practical treatment, focusing on World Bank operations. It brings together in one place the experience contained within the World Bank, providing tips, checklists, and reference material. Importantly, it prompts readers toward further assistance.
Structure of part 2

This part has two further divisions: techniques and topics pertinent to the delivery of an ICT component (chapters 1 to 8), and steps in the process of delivery of an ICT component (chapters 9 to 12).
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Project management

All indications are that organizations using a project approach experience better control, better client relationships, shorter implementation times, lower costs, higher quality, greater reliability, sharper orientation toward results, and better interdisciplinary coordination. As a rule of thumb: projects should invest 10 to 15 percent of the effort in management activities.

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides an overview of the discipline of project management, with particular reference to ICT projects. The bulk of the content pertains to medium to high complexity IS, rather than simpler projects (for example, narrowly implementing hardware).

Although the World Bank uses “project” to mean the work program supported by a loan, for the purposes of this chapter, “project management” is specifically the management of the implementation of an ICT component within a World Bank project.

Ideally the formal project management role should be filled by the beneficiary organization. There are a number of scenarios, however, where the role may be filled wholly or partially by external resource. This chapter assumes an external resource. It also assumes thereby an ICT component where the important definition and design work is done after the loan has been signed.
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1. Project management

Introduction

Projects have occurred throughout history — take for example the Great Wall, or the Pyramids! However, modern project management is said to have begun with the Manhattan Project. The techniques and disciplines were honed in large and complex research and development and in massive constructions, before project management came to stand for “getting things done” — a now ubiquitous application in most tricky or novel circumstances; and unfamiliarity with and apprehension of technology and technologists, a high degree of implementation discretion, and the intrinsic social dimensions make ICT particularly novel and tricky.

Although management theory would apply to project management, there is a profound difference between them. The underlying assumptions in management theory are profit, growth, and survival of the organization being managed. While a project might, fairly, have a profit objective, long-term growth and survival would never be the goal. Projects are distinguished by their fixed objectives, fixed resources, and limited time frame. A successful project will terminate. Its aim is to terminate — upon delivery of its intended products. In general, if it terminates early, then so much the better!

Perhaps stemming from this defining characteristic, projects differ from enduring organizations in other ways. There are usually firmer and clearer, and hence simpler, objectives, described in terms of the products required to be delivered. There are more tightly defined budgets, constraints on resources, and delivery schedules. There is more frequent monitoring, feedback, and control, and quicker response to deviation from expected quality, schedule, and budget.

There are consequences. Projects are less nurturing of their human resource — management theory might apply but there is less time to apply it, so it is applied less diligently. In any case, the project would have no direct reason to nurture beyond narrow self-interest — the delivery of the project’s products. Projects can be abrasive — stemming from the need for a highly task-orientated project team. Projects inspire jealousy from the incumbent organization. A project might be seen as the intruder — a statement or an admission that the incumbent was incapable of achieving some desired outcome.

A definition of “a project”

A project is a temporary organization of managed resources and processes, which seeks to deliver a predefined set of products to a defined quality, using defined resources and finances, and within a defined and finite time scale.

Characteristics of projects

A project is a unique, one-time activity, divided into subtasks that together are sufficiently complex to require careful coordination and control. A project may itself be part of a carefully coordinated program.

A project is an intervention that interacts with and alters the standard, ongoing operations. Management by exception is standard (and there are usually many exceptions) and conflict is the norm — conflict for priority, for resources, for interaction, for solution-generation, adoption, and so on.

One person — the project manager — will be responsible for the detailed planning, coordination, and ultimate outcome of the project. The responsibilities are often interdisciplinary, interfunctional, and more akin to general management than line management.

A project will deliver products — goods or services, but more likely goods with attendant services. For IS and information technology (IT) projects the products would consist of all or some subset of revised business organization processes and resources, technologies, and attendant services for their implementation.
It is important to recognize that IS are social systems. The ramification of new or changed IS on an organization can be significant and reach beyond technology. While deployment of ICT requires a rational engineering approach, it must be recognized that concomitant social engineering is required. This is often far from rational.

**ICT projects in the developing context**

While the following comments may not apply in all World Bank client countries, they may well apply in the least developed ones.

**The role and limits of ICT are not well understood**

The developing world is beset by problems of great magnitude. There is a desire to improve the human condition in depressed and sometimes appalling conditions. A quick fix will always have appeal. The purpose of ICT in this context is often confused. It is confused because the technology is revered and the use of it is seen as an end to itself. This is very deceptive.

ICT on its own does not provide water, food, housing, health care, or education. Nevertheless, it is alluring because it enables high levels of activity at a low capital cost. The activity is apparent but is confused with productivity. It may not actually contribute very much to the underlying objectives.

Technology is a tool that, if properly directed and understood, may be able to help in some small way in providing better services that improve the human condition. But ICT will not permit an underdeveloped society to leapfrog the conditions of its material environment. There are no silver bullets.

**Management theory — values disjunction**

Good practices of the developed business world do not always easily translate into the developing context. Cultural attitudes are not necessarily shared: profit orientation is not everywhere entrenched; there is no general acceptance of a tolerable apportionment of wealth; also not present are approximately shared values of the criticality of time, schedules, efficiency and effectiveness. The only constant is change.

Public institutions can be like clubs where a form of democracy rules rather than a place dominated by leadership, productive output, tight time targets, and financial performance goals. “They pretend to pay us and we pretend to work”: it is far more common for information to be withheld than shared.

Time may be seen as less important: “tomorrow”, “mañana”, “later”, “soon to come”, usually do not have a shared meaning when a project manager with developed world attitudes interacts with a developing country client. The project manager may log the new piece of data into a Gantt chart and be disappointed and frustrated when the predicted event does not occur. The Gantt chart may be treated as a curious mural rather than a management tool!

The organizational dynamics of developing world public institutions may be unfamiliar to an external project manager. If they are of sufficient stature these institutions may be very attractive career prospects — not necessarily for the purest reasons. Some institutions have long waiting periods for new recruits — but so lucrative and well regarded, and highly sought are the new positions that the wait is worth it. However, salary and promotion may not always be dominant motivations. Whereas it is often considered a privilege to work in a high-tech IT section, in many societies this may be considered a place to be sent to for some failing, well away from the public interface. The people within may not be disciplined to high productivity consistent with rational, public institutional objectives. There are few effective penalties for poor performance, and no effective procedures for dealing with pervasive malpractice.

Project management may be considered a particularly sharp, mean, and directed subset of management. The dedication to “getting the job done”, the sharper focus on tight schedules and budgets may not be understood in the same way. Consequently, there may be greater propensity for conflict in a project environment, especially where external members of a project team are driven by fixed and hard-negotiated budgets that place a premium on lowest price over quality.
The bottom line is that there is a displacement of values that propels firmly held developed world management maxims toward quaint theory rather than practice. Yet, paradoxically, in this arena it is precisely these values that are desperately required in order to achieve the material improvement that is desired.

**Other perspectives in the developing context**

- Change is not yet a constant. Many public institutions remain in a time warp. Change is resisted determinedly even if passively. The change being sought may well be argued to be rational from the perspective of the public goals of the organization. However, there will be challenging patterns of entrenched behavior.
- There is an underlying developed world assumption when planning and executing ICT projects: that in general, people skills are a commodity that is readily available. Market factors may drive prices but supply is more or less guaranteed. In the developing context this may not hold good. Regardless of price, skills shortage may be acute, especially during the long haul of operation. This may mean that a project using imported skills may be successful during the delivery phase, only to falter when the operation is turned over to the operator. Skills pertaining to the technology of management are perhaps more at risk than those of IT-specialization.
- Donors provide a soft line of finance. While loans may be a little “harder” than grants, there is nevertheless a sentiment that “it is not our hard-earned money”. From this arises commitment that is less robust and more mercurial.
- ICT, management technology, technology in the broad sense, may provide many solutions. However, if the problem is simply not recognized, the solution may be disregarded or at best undervalued.
  - There seems to be a greater disparity between wage structures and the budgets managed by individuals. There are relatively large fixed costs pertaining to the introduction of ICT. There are essentially worldwide benchmark prices that are not greatly affected by local cost factors. Local cost factors are not significant to ICT for two main reasons:
  - There is widespread evidence that ICT is risky. To mitigate risk, novelty is avoided through customized off-the-shelf solutions. Almost invariably, whether for hardware, systems software, or application software, this means the purchase of a solution from an international provider. Local costs are then limited to labor for installation, support, and maintenance.
  - Local skills are highly mobile: they move toward developed world markets. To retain skills, a default parity-pricing scheme exists. So, even local service costs for credible skills are not available at great discounts.
  - Employees of public institutions are then placed in positions where they control significant budgets but on meager wages. Aberrant behavior is classic.
- New information systems effect change to human activity systems. A particular note in the World Bank context: implementation cannot be treated as a purely technical or administrative matter handled through the bureaucracy of a procurement procedure.
- Design and implementation horizons should be kept short. While doing so, the “big picture”, the longer-term objectives, should be kept in view to mitigate the creation of disjointed information system “islands”. The bridging between such islands never seems to be simple. Shorter implementation steps, of say one year, ideally each with a product tangible and beneficial for end users, provide several benefits, including avoidance of out-of-date solutions. Beware the pace of change within IT — by the end of the fifth year of the loan, perhaps only the second full year of implementation, the system is likely to be criticized as obsolete. An alternative approach promoted by technology suppliers may be the use of a “technology intercept strategy”. However this is very risky and not appropriate in the development context. Shorter steps also provide some protection in the political dimension. There is more likelihood of consistency of direction and continuity of key people. For example in a more extreme case, during a tax project in Hungary, there were five chief executive officers during the life of the loan. Longer, all-encompassing projects may also be unmanageable. For components within World Bank projects, the right time to influence and structure the implementation steps is during the project appraisal document (PAD) preparation. Even if the steps are not fully identified, the objective of implementation by stages should be set and later monitored.
- Task managers are supported by a monitoring and evaluation toolkit. There may be contradictory imperatives. From the perspective of the successful implementation of the component, emphasis needs to reside within the
development objectives rather than in any disbursement imperative. Cancelled disbursement should not be equated automatically with failure.

- Outcomes are not accurately reported. Nobody wants to be associated with failure, so unless it is so prodigious that it cannot be concealed, few postimplementation evaluations report failure. This is a common disease but is acute in public institutions where profit and loss are not so sharply felt, and more acute in the developing context where good governance is not transparent, and the “service” aspect of civil service is neglected.

**Environment for success**

The preceding section seems to suggest: “why bother”. However, there is consensus that ICT has a crucial role and that there are tangible benefits (see also chapter 10, annex A — Managing benefits):

- Reduced service times
- More uniform service delivery
- Increased revenue
- Increased transparency
- Reduced corruption (both reduced opportunity and greater risk of discovery and consequent penalties)

ICT can make a difference. Available, accurate, and timely information reduces uncertainty of development: how many taxpayers, how many farmers, how much water, how many breakdowns, how many students or teachers, how much absenteeism, what volume of imports? It is not uncommon for projects to be launched where the basic business statistics are not reliable.

There are successes. Experience indicates organizations using a project approach experience better control, better client relationships, shorter implementation times, lower costs, higher quality, higher reliability, sharper orientation toward results and better interdisciplinary coordination. As a rule of thumb: projects should invest 10 to 15 percent of the effort in management activities.

To create the right environment for success, develop shared objectives, agree on products, and verify commitment. These recommendations may seem trite, but they are valid and sometimes overlooked. A healthy project and successful completion are much more likely when good preparation is made well before the project commences:

- Too many projects are cast adrift without adequate clarity in a lazy hope that execution is merely a procurement or technological issue. Somehow these issues are supposed to resolve themselves. This gives rise more than anything to conflict between the parties, time overrun at the very least, or more likely abject failure. Be well prepared and give the project a good chance of succeeding!
- Both the borrower and the beneficiary must be part of the process. The key stakeholders, decision-makers, and chief patrons of the project should be identified and consulted prior to and upon project commencement.
- When confronted by a request from within the borrower for loan support for an ICT component, the task manager should encourage the beneficiary to describe the component and be as succinct, clear, and comprehensive as possible. The description should contain
  - The vision for the project
  - A detailed description of anticipated products and the conduct of the project
  - Its benefit statements
  - Its business case
  - Its goals, purposes, outputs, and activities (essentially its log frame)
  - Its tie-in to any wider loan objective.
- This submission should be kept to a few pages and a respondent from the beneficiary identified for any follow-up information. If there are technology issues beyond the competence of the task team, advise that experts will review the submission. It would be improper to debate or confirm a request without adequate knowledge.
It is necessary to determine whether the project has a hidden agenda. For example, a modernization project to upgrade hardware and software for an existing system may not have any commitment to success other than for political or personal gain.

It is also common to have strong supporters as well as strong antagonists within the beneficiary organization. For example, a manager may be annoyed by the impending intrusion of an ICT project; a long standing administrator may prefer that procedures remain the same as before, because that eliminates the need to learn something new; a customs collector may want information about inland warehouses to stay inexact. The antagonists need to be appeased or otherwise engaged, or at least identified and discussed with the borrower.

For conflict mitigation, the borrower and beneficiary need to be in accord with the World Bank. It is healthy to have an open exchange of views. Avoid circumstances where the borrower seeks to impose change on the beneficiary through ICT. This alone would fail. At the very least ICT would need to be accompanied by changes in the executive cadre, and through them in the culture of the beneficiary.

Within the beneficiary organization the actual users, especially those directly affected, need also to be placated. It is better still if they are committed, although, it might be a little naïve to require this. Remember: IS are social systems, new systems disturb old social structures, and change has many enemies and few allies.

The shared statement of objectives and products needs to be documented. Debate should be encouraged to establish a healthy partnership. There should be no rush to enter a full-scale project until this is established. Politics will come into play.

Seek to maintain continuity of staff. This applies both in the World Bank and the beneficiary. As projects are typically leanly staffed, most of the staff is crucial for a project. Changes to project personnel can lead to disruption through:

- Changes in direction
- Changes in culture
- Loss of project knowledge
- Simple loss of labor.

To mitigate change, seek commitments over the required period.

When modernization commences, organizations, especially in the developing context, find themselves adrift on a sea of uncertainty and impending change. Objectives and products of the project often modify over its life. An environment of healthy dialogue should be encouraged so that the project is kept in alignment with the organization or, where the priorities and requirements change sufficiently, abandoned. Abandonment in such cases is not a failure! It represents mature and professional decision-making.

Objectives might be of the nature of one or more of the following: business, loan, regulation, commerce, finance, people, society, and technology. They need to be kept measurable, achievable, and realistic.

There may be contradictory objectives that need to be balanced by the project. For example, expanded, supported public access to the Internet may disturb existing Internet service providers (ISP).

Note that shared objectives and the elaboration of agreed products and commitment should be settled before any loan is signed and reconfirmed before any procurement has commenced and or any contract entered. The project should seek again at inception to reconfirm and adjust for inevitable drift that would be exacerbated by any long delay.

Support the project champion, maintain support offered by the project sponsor, appoint the right project manager, develop a healthy relationship with the client, and explain to the client what the project will do.

A serious project needs a serious, respected, energetic champion. The champion needs to identify himself. Some schools hold that champions can be nurtured. That may hold true in the context of an IT department within the perpetual organization. In the context of an ICT component within a World Bank loan, the project, that is the component, is usually already launched. The champion needs to be there already.

Many projects may be simple: purely the introduction of some noninvasive, unambitious technology, such as personal computers for word processing or an intranet to be used more for casual chatter than hard business. The main requirement is reduced down to assigning singular responsibility for completion in an uncontroversial environment. However, a meaningful IS project of significant ambition is an agent introducing social change
within the organization. There will be resistance. A project may have financial muscle but it remains vulnerable to simple sabotage such as through passivity, avoidance, and obstruction. The role of the champion is to foster an environment agreeable to the changes, winning the social battle for hearts and minds.

- The champion will be an insider, possessing a power base within the organization. A project may start with a supply of patience and credibility credits, but these gradually expire as time goes by. The champion needs to keep faith with executive and users when enthusiasm wanes.

- Every project requires a sponsor who provides the resources and formal framework for the execution of the project. The sponsor may also champion the project. There are some advantages when the sponsor is the champion. Sometimes the sponsor and champion may be different individuals and while providing opportunity for conflict, this can also strengthen the message of the champion. Either way continued support of the sponsor is needed in order to maintain supply of the necessary resources and to pave the way through formal lines of authority. The sponsor needs to be identified and kept informed.

- A project will have a project manager. The project manager has the mandate and responsibility to deliver the agreed products at agreed quality with technical excellence and within time, cost, and resource constraints. The project manager needs to have the right stuff — a blend of appropriate experience in general management skills across finance, engineering, logistics, procurement, commerce, negotiation, planning, resource allocation, disturbance handling, control, change management, and quality. The project manager will be figurehead, leader, and primary liaison person, and experience in the business of the client will be useful. The project manager requires energy and determination, a belief in the project management disciplines, and an ability to motivate a project team toward the project goals and maintain momentum.

- The relationship between the project manager and the project champion must be symbiotic. Occasionally the project champion is the project manager. Again there are advantages and disadvantages to such a situation: the project champion may get bogged down in detail, and distanced from the real business of change, or the project manager may be ill equipped to simultaneously handle engineering and politics. As there are usually different skills required, a technical project manager often supports the project champion. As an outsider, the project manager may also make an effective, conveniently dispensable change agent.

Be prepared with appropriate skills in adequate numbers internally, adequate understanding externally, and requisite resources. Some pointers to constructive preparation are described below.

- As noted above, a healthy project needs a good deal of preparation prior to commencement. According to Sun Tzu: “Planning completely creates victory. Planning incompletely causes failures.”

- Condition the beneficiary to understand the meaning project management. This can be a long process. The training and guidance materials for project management should be provided to the beneficiary. There will be conflict and difficulties, but this should help to reduce surprises.

- Ensure the beneficiary is a participant to the preparation. The formal project manager should be appointed from the client organization. This is often an executive role. Most of the description herein pertains to technical project management.

- There is a management truism: failure to plan equates to planning to fail. In order to be prepared to execute the project, the project manager, the project team and the beneficiary, at the very least, need to know where they are, where they want to be and how they are going to get there.

- For an ICT project there should already be an IS and IT strategy. Refer to chapter 9 on strategic planning. The plan while constraining assists the project to focus on the objectives and not drift beyond its mandate, expending resources to address open-ended, often arbitrary or value-based decisions.

- The plan is multifaceted and steers the project to its conclusion, accommodating the technical and administrative steps toward delivery. The true project manager would also be prescient of the likely risks, but not necessarily invoking corrections, since the risk may be a low probability occurrence or the correction a high-cost action (see chapter 4 on risk management).

- To complement the plan during execution there will be a control system. A facet of the plan will be description of the procedures by which progress will be monitored, deviations corrected, and change in plan assessed and accommodated in a revised plan.
The plan should include the termination of the project.

Plans should be well researched, realistic, and thoughtfully constructed. It is usually possible to plan the near term (say one year) with some certainty. The longer term can only be indicated based on the input of earlier phases and the likelihood of shocks and surprises. Plan for the full project but plan in detail only so far forward as is reasonable — execute in stages. Beyond the defined prepare indicative plans. An indicative plan is still very useful — it represents best knowledge and assessment at the time of preparation and provides a basis for interpreting new data. The plan may prove to be incorrect. In fact, an accurate plan for any project of consequence can only be written after the project is completed.

The final act of preparation is organization: ensuring that necessary resources — human, material, and financial — are obtained and structured to deliver the project products. Do not commence the project without guarantee of at least adequate resources, with contingency. (However, be aware that superfluity of resources would be a fatal distraction.)

Resources need to be organized. The project organization will evolve as the project progresses through its stages.

An external project board or steering committee is vital. In principle it should exist prior to commencement. The steering committee provides the forum for confirming that the project remains aligned with the beneficiary’s business, user, and technological objectives, and should be constructed accordingly: with representatives of the business, user, and technology authorities within the organization together with authoritative representation from organizational elements with essential key inputs. The tasks of the project board would include confirming progress, addressing obstacles, correcting performance, reaffirming resources, and coordinating with parallel activities. It provides a stage for the project champion and the project manager to inform and motivate the wider audience. Refer to annex A for a synopsis of the role of the steering committee.

The understanding of external parties is likely to be ephemeral and mercurial, perhaps vexatious. This may be offset by periodic broadcast of project news, but is best addressed by delivering tangible benefits; therefore, where possible plan to deliver viable and useful products early.

Execute with discipline, using resources and delivering products according to plan, maintain a healthy relationship with the client, and keep the client informed.

The environment for success during the execution is when activity is in accord with the plan, with the agreed resources — human, material, and financial — engaged effectively in the right quantity and at the right time. Delivery of the required products should be the consequence.

Informed technicians diligently applying technology known to them is by far preferable to and less risky than excellent technology in inexperienced hands.

News on progress should be broadcast from time to time. While the project is a self-managed vessel, external reporting and occasional audit are useful disciplines.

Expect change and accommodate it. Change is likely, especially over long time horizons, such as changes in management, elections, or environmental shocks. Change will provide opportunity of conflict between the client and the project. Politics will be a constant feature. An understanding of the client’s politics would be advantageous. Efforts need to be made by the project manager to establish and maintain a healthy relationship so that conflicts can be resolved (see chapter 5 on change management).

Replan as often as necessary, however there is a fine line. Make sure this is conscious replanning of the same project. A project should not be considered an omnibus vehicle for handling unspecified events. In particular: beware of project drift. A successful project is particularly prone to drift.

Success should be nurtured by stability in requirements. Proceed in short stages that deliver useful products.
Preparing a project

During the preparation phase the project team should be small. It may consist of the authoritative project manager and a technical project manager, supplemented as required by a business analyst or a methods specialist, depending upon the breadth of experience of the technical project manager and the scale of the undertaking.

The larger project team, such as might be expected for software development or customization, should not be in place at this time. While the diligent project manager (whether a supplier’s or a client’s representative for internal developments) would prepare for subsequent phase resources to mitigate delays, the end of preparation is a final moment of decision.

Research the project

The first step for the project manager is to research the origins of and intentions for the project, by:

- Studying the contract (for an external supplier) or other orders commissioning the project
- Studying available materials such as corporate plans, business plans, IS and IT plans, World Bank project appraisals, supervision reports, loan documents, and so on
- Having informal discussions with key persons initially identified in the client organization.

Education and orientation

The next step in the preparation should be educational. The project should be conducted using a recognized formal method, customized for pragmatic application to the specific project. The project manager should:

- Identify the mainstream project management method to be engaged.
- Document the customized methods for the project to the extent possible at this stage. Subsequent customization should be the topic of information notices distributed to interested or affected parties.
- Provide at least one set of literature pertaining to the standard project management method together with the customization notice, preferably in hard copy and soft copy.
- Conduct an orientation seminar that outlines and explains the key processes, artifacts, and nomenclature of the method, procedures of particular relevance to the client, an overview of all procedures to be used, and stages of the project, with emphasis on what follows immediately.

Log frame

The log frame for the loan succinctly describes the mandate for the ICT component and is an obvious starting point. Log frames are suitable project management instruments for planning, monitoring, and eventual evaluation. It provides a synopsis of the project and the means by which overall progress will be judged. This avoids distortion that can arise from activity based reporting where the objectives are often too distant and obscured by activity presumed to be useful.

Often the ICT component is complex and tied to a development objective. The ICT component might be part of a modernization effort seeking to restructure and introduce a new culture to an institution. Sublog frames, tied to the loan’s log frame, are useful for planning and monitoring the steps toward the softer, more elusive objectives. In nine out of ten projects the technology component will be straightforward — implementation and adoption by the intended user is the harder part. In determining the efficacy of the ICT component during supervision and completion the sublog frames can be very useful.

The sublog frame is instrumental in maintaining the client’s and the project manager’s focus on the big picture. The World Bank’s project planning toolkit contains a section on use of log frames as a project planning and monitoring tool. See also annex A to this chapter on the log frame structure and for a sample ICT component sublog frame.

Business case

The business case should have been prepared long before project commencement as part of the conceptualization process. The business case consists of a quantification of benefits that are expected and the direct costs of implementation and
operation. Benefits may also include aspects difficult to quantify such as improved work environment, projection of efficiency, or improved morale. Benefits are often expressed optimistically. A risk-based approach to benefit reduction should be employed. (Beware: if the project is successful at implementation, the benefits may be expected to follow and the executive measured accordingly!)

The business case also establishes that the project is desirable from a “corporate” perspective, enhancing the image in a manner consistent with the executive’s intentions, and that the expenditure is not at the cost of higher priority or more rewarding opportunities. Direct costs are often difficult to assess with accuracy, especially in early versions of the business case. Sufficient detail of the products and the methods of delivery are rarely known in advance. Even then there are sufficient examples of change notices being used to expand project budgets!

There is also a paradox here. For a subset of projects, sufficient data for reasonably accurate costing means the products have been designed. However, that design can only be created from a limited perspective (often a single individual), whereas there is a world full of innovative suppliers who should not be unduly constrained.

Loan amounts are often formulated ahead of sufficient knowledge of the products and especially the methods of delivery. Can a package be customized? Must there be costly or risky bespoke development? If the loan amount proves to be stable, it probably reflects that the delivery has been cut to the budget!

There are many projects that do not end with actual implementation. These typically result in studies, plans, specifications, and so on. In setting effort estimates, cognizance of the overall budget is combined some heuristic assumption to set an effort and cost estimate. Parkinson’s law will apply during delivery: effort will equal the available budget. This in no way confirms that the estimate is sufficient or that the output is complete, merely that it is “what we could manage on the available budget”. This is not such a bad thing (analysis and specification could proceed ad nauseam) provided it is recognized as such.

More uncertain are the indirect costs associated with expected and unexpected events during and following implementation. Some consideration of indirect costs should nevertheless be attempted. Forewarned is forearmed!

IS and IT rarely deliver benefits directly. Benefits accrue through the use of IS and IT. The benefits are not realized by accident. It is preferable to identify them in advance and manage their realization deliberately (see chapter 10, annex A).

Refer to chapter 10 for guidance notes regarding the business case.

Project plans

For actual and detailed preparation of the project a project plan will be required. This should not be confused with a Gantt chart that is merely one element of the project plan.

There are many, sometimes proprietary, approaches to project planning. The various methods use different nomenclature and emphasize different elements, but there is a common thread. The process that is described in the following paragraphs is intended to be a generic, product-orientated approach.

Original project parameters: objectives, headline products, timescales, resource, and cost summaries should be restated.

The project plan will be based on the project objectives, requirements, and the named and derived products and components. The derived components result from the engineering discipline that is applied. For example, a transaction processing system for water billing consisting of identified functions might be the user’s named requirement. Derived objectives, the extent and value of which might not be immediately obvious to the client, might include: business models, functional hierarchies, requirements specifications, system architecture specifications, database specifications, engineering specifications, test plans, integration plans, source code, object code, and a plethora of other objects.

The headline products are disintegrated into derived products and components, and those iteratively disintegrated, to provide a product breakdown structure.
Headline products need to have real clients who genuinely want or need or would eventually need the products. The clients will determine the attributes of the headline products. It is the delivery of the headline products accepted by testing in accordance with the agreed attributes that will constitute a successful conclusion to the project.

The product breakdown structure will contain both headline products and derived components. All elements eventually will be specified in terms of attributes, often based on engineering standards. The attributes will be used eventually to confirm the successful delivery of the component. The derived components are often for internal consumption where the client may have neither the interest nor competence to inspect them. Sometimes the derived component, such as a requirement specification or a training course, is sufficiently important to the overall delivery, especially for confirmation that the project is on the right track. The client will be enjoined to confirm quality in terms of accuracy and completeness.

The product breakdown structure is significant since it states what the project will deliver. The project may be conceived primarily as a change agent with technical objectives incidental to it. Nevertheless the project’s formal persona must be to deliver products. However, the product breakdown structure does not assist with day-to-day management. It is the activity of the project team that is actually managed. Delivery of the products is an indicator of effective management. The product breakdown structure is converted into a work breakdown structure — that is the activities and tasks required to deliver the components and the products.

The derivation of the product breakdown structure and work breakdown structure requires knowledge and experience of the business of the project, the nature of its products, and the engineering methods to be used. This part of the process is not merely administrative.

There will be dependencies between tasks and activities. Sequencing and staging will emerge. For example, specifications are prepared before software is written. Technical architectures are prepared before technical specifications and procurement specifications can be finalized. Bid lot strategy is agreed upon and bid routes selected before procurement commences, and so on. Often there are many precedents to a single task. The tasks and activities can be organized into an activity network or a critical path network. This is often represented as a Gantt chart.

Often the Gantt chart will also consolidate significant events that are beyond the project mandate but significant to the achievement of the project products. For example: refurbishment of premises may be under some civil works contract but vital to implementation of the ICT component.

Effort estimates are required for all tasks. Effort estimation is a discipline in its own right not elaborated here. An experiential database of applicable benchmarks is at the heart of estimation. Hint: tasks should generally be the responsibility of a single person and should not generally exceed an elapsed time of one week. This facilitates progress tracking. Effort estimates must be balanced with resource availability and schedule requirements. Negotiation may be required. The end result is the baseline Gantt chart.
Figure 1 A typical project planning process
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Each stage should be defined in terms of management responsibility, products and components to be delivered, schedule, resources, organization, tasks, and dependencies. For each task: there should be a definition of the component to be delivered, delivery method, and quality control method. In effect each stage should have a stage plan.

It is important to recognize that stages provide decision points and usually end at a project milestone. Milestones may also be used as project manager’s device to emphasize any significant event to the client. There is often friction in the decision making process. The opportunity for review and acceptance inevitably takes longer than theoretically required. This can be a result of the unavailability of key persons, politics, malice, or merely jitters. It is wise to make allowances for stage-change delays of the order of one month at significant points. It is not unheard of, however, to have six or more months pass for reasons that are never quite clear. The key difficulty here is how to determine the balance between standing down or deferring mobilization of the subsequent stage team, the budget impact of doing so, and the consequential aggravation of the client. To continue is at risk of disagreement and the need to rework anything that may have been prepared. The beneficiaries (and the World Bank) are often reluctant to acknowledge these realities.

In projects financed by the World Bank there is also a need to accommodate “no objection” processes at events determined in the loan agreement and as documented in guidelines such as “Procurement under IBRD Loans and IDA Credits”. It is also crucial to keep the schedule constrained to provide some chance of stability. Long projects suffer staff changes and consequent discontinuity, changes in the business environment and its priorities, diminution of interest, ennui and loss of tolerance, loss of ownership, momentum, direction, and control. Long and large projects are likely to be too big to manage without a huge management overhead and corresponding budget.

When confronted by a long or large project, every effort should be made to have distinct subprojects each with genuinely useful products that will be used.

Resources organization needs to be planned. There will be an organization chart, staffing schedule, resource schedule, role descriptions, and leadership and reporting method. The internal organization should reflect the productive elements, quality management element, support elements, and the management element. Financial resources should likewise be clarified.

The project’s external organization should be confirmed and documented. At the least there will be a project board or steering committee to which the project reports. The project board should reflect user, business, and technical perspectives with representatives selected accordingly. Typically for a significant project financed by the World Bank, the task manager will receive quarterly reports. The project will benefit from periodic independent audit of its progress, reporting, and methods. Such audits should be anticipated and accommodated in the plan. Also in the external environment, stakeholders should be identified and assessed (refer to chapter 2 for guidance notes stakeholder analysis).

Dependencies on the client should be documented to make clear the role that the client is expected to play and the reliance of the project on those inputs.

A commitments register should be prepared to document a list of dependencies on parties external to the project, usually also independent of the client. Commitments should be evidenced by confirmation by those parties. Examples may be a supplier engaged under separate contract with the beneficiary, installing technical infrastructure or standby power generation, or the printing of user guides in support of an information system that is the responsibility of the project.

In addition to the specific tasks, the project will have methods that underpin the tasks or are implied but listed as specific tasks or are otherwise pertinent to the impending execution. These should be declared in the project plan and include:

- **Engineering and production methods** — the main purpose is to clarify (so that the client has some insight into the methods that the project will engage) and confirm (so that the client has confidence in the project).
- **Quality management for the project** — planning for quality will entail:
  - Overall organization: roles, quality management products, and policies and approaches to be engaged
  - Planning the methods to be used for quality control (to confirm that delivered components conform to predefined requirements or attributes)
  - Planning the methods to be used for quality assurance (to confirm that the management arrangements are in place, that the quality control process is followed and these are effective.) Refer to chapter 3 for further guidance on quality management.

- **Acceptance procedures** — acceptance is a particular case of quality control where the client is asked to verify (it is functionally correct) and validate (it is traceable to a client requirement) the delivery. Often acceptance is tied to payment events and is thus of interest to the supplier and purchaser.

- **Reporting methods: internal and external** — the plan should document the means by which the project team members regularly report resource spend, progress in the performance of tasks, raise alerts, and document issues relating to project products. Accordingly, the method by which the reports are handled needs to be documented. The project manager will usually report at quarterly or monthly intervals, depending upon duration and status of the project, to the project board or steering committee and the task manager. Typical elements of the report are listed in the paragraphs below.

- **Issue reporting procedure** — the method by which a client (or the project team, or any other recognized party) raises an issue should be documented. Issues may relate to any aspect of the project and its products and
components. Often the project team, having the keenest interest, will administer a scheme for registering, tracking, assessing, resolving, and responding to issues. The assessment might

- Recognize a fault or deviation
- Determine that a misunderstanding has arisen, or
- That there is a change in requirement (and hence subject to change control procedures as outlined below).
- Resolution would be performed accordingly with the issue-raiser kept informed. Typically repair of a fault would not lead to a change of cost — the responsibility and risk being borne by the project. Issue statistics are an important indicator of the effectiveness of project management, the engineering method, and quality management.

- **Change control procedures** — for a project of significant duration (say more than a year) change is almost inevitable. The beneficiary’s requirements change, domestic politics intercede, priorities change, new ideas arise, and so on. New information may come to light, invalidating some estimates. In all such cases changes to the project plan (and any underlying contract) should be conducted in a controlled manner. The procedures for this should be outlined in the project plan. Typically this will involve raising a request for change, an assessment of the validity of the change, the nature of the change, and effort and cost estimates. Where changes are consequent in an underlying contract, the change procedures in the general or special condition of contract would be invoked. Change statistics can provide an important indicator of the quality of the entry conditions for the project and the robustness of the products. A large number of changes in a component may indicate that the component needs replacement rather than modification.

- **Configuration management procedures** — ICT products are usually an interoperation of many components each subject to revision. The project must maintain a record of its products and its components — the so-called configuration items. There should be a database that records configuration items, versions of configuration items and the disposition of configuration items. There should be controls over changes to any configuration item with authorized check-in and check-out procedures. Recording would typically begin at a selected point in the delivery process. For hardware or system software this might be when receipt is acknowledged at the destination. For application software code, this might be when a programmer offers the configuration item following unit testing, or for a whole system when the relevant and specified combination of configuration items is delivered with a release note to the testing or acceptance test team. Specified formal documents (plans, specifications, procurement documents, contracts, reports, and so on) are configuration items and should likewise be placed under configuration control. Configuration management is a vital procedure that must carry over or be converted to the client’s operational environment. For sustainability the client must always have an accurate record of its assets, their revision levels and their disposition.

- **Risk management methods** — risk here relates to threats to the successful completion of the project rather than the topic of risk theory as applied to assets. Refer elsewhere in this toolkit for further description of both project-related risk and asset-related risk (chapter 4). For information to all parties, it is wise to document all genuine vulnerabilities and threats that the project faces together with estimates of the probability and size of realized risk if possible. The objectives of a project are almost inevitably at risk. The method by which and periodicity with which the risk analysis will be reconstructed should be documented in the project plan. An initial risk assessment should be included within the plan.

- **Allowance for contingency** — it is wise to include contingencies in time, budget, and resources. The planning process by its nature only identifies that which it can identify. Skill and experience mitigate but do not eliminate the surprise element. A contingency allowance provides a buffer against the unexpected without the need to work through the full gamut of project and budget review processes.

- **Benefits** — there is need for a restatement of benefits summary from the business case and mechanism for monitoring the success in terms of benefits. In many projects, benefits management would reside outside the project with the client. It can be expected that a contracted supplier will resist inclusion of benefits management for fear that this may be confused with an obligation to deliver benefits. Nevertheless this circumstance should be clarified.
World Bank context

The process is supposed to be assisted by a documented corporate strategy and IS and IT strategy. The reality is that these are often nonexistent, or at least not articulated. It is better to defer the project until these strategies are enunciated. Alternatively, the project may itself negotiate the resources to undertake abbreviated activities to first prepare snap shots of the corporate and IS and IT strategic plans. Refer to chapter 9 for guidance.

There is often a long lead between project definition (per request for proposal and invitation for bids) and actual commencement. The transparency imperative of the bidding procedures also impedes the dialogue between purchaser and supplier and hence constrains understanding. Upon commencement, it is recommended that an inception report be prepared to clarify objectives and requirements and, understandings of the proposal, as well as to assess changes over time. The inception report can be the project plan. If the planning cycle requires a level of input beyond, say, two person-months or two elapsed months, the inception report may be an outline of the eventual project plan.

Running and controlling a project

During the execution phase the project team will grow and shrink according to the stages and the plans.

If sufficient effort, knowledge, and experience are brought to bear during the preparation, execution can be dull, in particular for the project team members. The project manager will organize and reorganize according to the plan direct work to be undertaken according to the defined methods, be informed of progress of tasks according to the documented internal reporting methods, and report progress externally via the advertised means.

The reality, however, is not quite so mundane. Estimates can be wrong, tools fail, misunderstandings arise, people leave, become sick, or perform below par, dependencies may be unreliable, commitments are broken, clients can be whimsical or restless, priorities change, stakeholders may become vexatious, finances become less certain, or the environment produces unspecified shocks.

The overriding role for the project manager during the execution phase, supported by the project champion and the project board, is to keep the project on track.

Risk awareness and response

A key task for the project manager during the execution is to be vigilant of risks that beset, and arrange for preventative and corrective responses to perceived and realized risk. The tools for this are the previous risk assessment (starting with the initial assessment in the project plan), the imprecise art of environmental monitoring, and a revised risk assessment made public to the steering committee. More often than not the project will be unable to deal with the risks itself. It will rely upon shelter afforded by the steering committee. Each risk should be described in terms of

- The threat or vulnerability
- Probability and quantification
- Prevention, avoidance, response actions.

Other project management tasks

During the execution phase other project management tasks include:

- **Organizing and reorganizing** — as the project progresses through its stages, according to plan and in response to the unexpected.
- **Drift** — leading and directing the team to maintain its focus on developing the products within its mandates. It is important to detect and eliminate project drift, one of the great project diseases: the slippery slope of doing some little thing extra. These little things are often not subject to assessment and snowball out of proportion. A little programming productivity tool may end up as a pet thesis that deletes an otherwise productive resource. Perhaps the worse that could happen is that there is successful delivery of these little things — that only attracts more requests.
- **Resolving conflict** — the project itself is a social system. As team size grows there is an inevitability of internal conflict concerning approach, direction, and priorities. The project manager must balance participation, creativity, and empowerment with a degree of harmony. The project itself is also likely to be at conflict with elements of the client organization. It is new and temporary and probably the least resilient unit. With the assistance of the project champion and the steering committee, the project will need to manage cohabitation with the client’s organization and get around obstacles to get its job done.

- **Keeping people informed** — it is important to remind stakeholders of the project’s existence and reason for its existence, to broadcast achievements, and to assuage impatience. When a project is initiated to deliver some product, there is usually an immediate need but in reality a lag in delivery. Patience does not last.

- **Lifting lids** — occasionally things go badly. It is the duty of the project manager to present the facts, mitigating unnecessary expenditure.

- **Managing stage change** — at stage transition points, the project will undergo changes in staffing, team structures, leadership, and procedures as the nature of the products changes, such as from initiation to analysis, analysis to design, design to procurement or to build, and build to implementation. Different skill sets may be required. There will be judgment calls about whether to continue or pause when client decision-making is slow.

- **Measuring and monitoring** — a significant proportion of project management time during execution will involve measuring, monitoring, assessing, and reporting progress. Progress should be compared first to the project plan and the Gantt chart. On product delivery, progress should be compared to the plan. Products and components should be assessed according to planned and actual delivery dates. For significant volumes of products, rate charts may show a curve of planned delivery rate over time to actual delivery rate over time. Each product or component should have one of only three possible states: not yet due, due and completed, due but not completed. For slippage, explanation should be provided and an impact assessment made. Resolution actions should be described if appropriate.

- The baseline Gantt chart should be updated to illustrate progress. Any task should have only three possible start states: not due to start, started, due but not started; and three possible completion states: not due, due and completed, due and not completed. The deceptive “eighty percent or almost completed” syndrome should be resisted. Early start information might be useful if dependencies or other parties are involved. Known upcoming failure to meet schedule should be highlighted. Resource expenditure should be tracked. Any discrepancy between resources expected to be engaged versus resources actually engaged should be illustrated and clarified. Financial progress should also be tracked. Once again rate charts are useful for such illustration.

- At milestones, comparison should also be made to the sublog frame. An important note: disbursement progress is not a good measure for project progress. It should be secondary and not allowed to become a distraction to an effective direction for the project.

- **Responding to threats of deviation** — the purpose of vigilant monitoring of the environment and project performance is to respond with preventative or corrective action. The project manager is responsible for creating and soliciting appropriate responses and acting accordingly.

- **Responding to change** — a particular source of deviation is changes in requirements or in priorities. There is a consequential need for impact assessment to determine the extent of the change, any indirect effects pursuant to accepting or rejecting the change, approaches to effecting the change. Special treatment may be required because there may be cost implications that require a separate course of administration. Negotiation skills may be required.

- **Replanning** — from time to time, it may become necessary to replan the project. From the World Bank’s perspective the time to do this is during the mid-term review. For the project manager there may be a scheduled event such as when information planned to become available actually becomes available (for example, when customization specifications are complete, detailed planning of the delivery can be completed, or following a site survey, capital works can be planned). Necessity to replan may follow significant change — new or revised requirements may arise, effort and schedule estimates may prove spurious, commitments may not be honored, dependencies fail, and so on. Typically different phases require different planning techniques.
Winding down

The successful project will eventually terminate. Typically the client will assume responsibility for the operation of the systems that are delivered and implemented. In many cases this can be the most challenging part of the project. The supplier may provide ongoing support and maintenance, even to the extent of providing operational support. The client, however, cannot any longer avoid full responsibility for the effective use of the newly acquired systems. There is a phenomenon that may be exacerbated within the developing context. In a large and complex project, the client’s representatives can become accustomed to relying upon a supplier who has become a trusted partner and who seems to have all the answers.

A final acceptance instrument such as a final acceptance certificate or a final invoice will often signify termination. This confirms that the client recognizes that all products of satisfactory quality have been delivered according to the agreement with the project team.

It is common to have a postimplementation review, constituting a final audit of the project and providing some feedback to the client, World Bank, project manager, and the supplier. The feedback is designed to be useful for the preparation of future projects and should summarize positive and negative lessons learnt from the project.

The World Bank will normally prepare its own summary in the form of the implementation completion report (ICR), based on any available project implementation review (PIR) and its own supervision.

What to expect from the project manager

During preparation and planning

1. Inception report (either separately or included with the project plan), consisting of key understandings regarding:
   - Clarified or revised objectives
   - Clarified or revised products
   - Milestones and milestone dates
   - Project–client relationships
   - Resource availability
   - Dependencies on the client and other parties
   - Sources of information and reference (such as key personalities, strategic plans, existing specifications, and so on.)
   - Summary of any changes or clarifications since the request for proposals (RFP) or invitation for bids (IFB) and proposal
   - An acceptance note from the client or purchaser signifying agreement with the inception report

2. Project sublog frame — where there are significant complex and soft goals and purposes, or where there are relationships to other activities that have them. The sublog frame will consist of a matrix of (refer to annex B):
   - Rows: goals, purposes, outputs, and activities
   - Columns: narrative summary, measurable indicators, means of verification, and important assumptions

3. A project plan consisting of
   - Restatement of project objectives
   - Identification of the project’s client(s), usually constituents of the purchaser’s environment
   - Definition of the complete set of products to be delivered, including the product’s client and where known the client’s specification of product attributes
   - Key milestones and dates
External organization showing the directive mechanism of the project board or steering committee, key interactions with external parties, and demonstrable commitment from those parties

Internal organization for the project, including organization chart, staffing schedule, resource schedule, role and job descriptions, leadership, levels of authority, and regular internal reporting method (it is important to remember that the external and internal organizations for the project are temporary and will dissolve when the project terminates)

Product breakdown structure showing headline products, subproducts, and components to a level of detail useful for understanding the plan

Work breakdown structure in terms of a Gantt chart, showing stages, tasks, efforts, precedents, time schedule, and resources

Critical path assessment

Task definition including product or component to be delivered, client, attributes, method for delivery, quality control method, dependencies

Internal project reporting approach

External project reporting approach

Internal control methods — monitoring, assessment, reporting and response

External control methods — monitoring, self-assessment, and reporting

Acceptance methods

Dependencies on the client

Assessment of stakeholders

Commitment register

Project’s risk assessment and risk monitoring method

Overview of the project’s production and delivery methods

Issues management method

Change control method

Configuration management method

Quality management method (quality plan, quality control, quality assurance)

Benefits summary and monitoring method

Allowances for contingency

With this will be required an acceptance note from the client or purchaser signifying agreement with the project plan, agreement to fulfill its obligations as set out in dependencies, and commitment to assist the project team to secure the input of other parties.

The task manager should assess whether these planning objects are comprehensive, credible, complete, and consistent with the project (ICT component) objectives and the loan objectives.

During execution

1. Progress reports, with periodicity declared in the project plan (usually monthly or quarterly) and including

   - Summary of significant events
   - Factors for attention of the steering committee
   - Plan tracking product delivery performance:
     - products due and completed, due but not completed;
     - clarifications;
     - rate charts.
Good practice

Techniques and topics

Project management

- Plan tracking tasks
  - active tasks, tasks due to start, tasks completed
  - clarifications
  - rate charts
- Plan tracking resources
  - resources engaged, due but not engaged, extended engagement
  - clarifications
- Plan tracking finances
  - period and cumulative expenditure — planned vs. actual
  - clarifications
  - rate charts
- Milestone events
- Log frame tracking, measures of indicators
- Revised risk assessment (per project plan)
- Issues summary — overall assessment of the issues portfolio, issues raised, issues cleared, issues to be cleared
- Changes summary — overall assessment of the changes portfolio, changes raised, changes cleared, changes to be cleared

2. Exception reports upon significant late performance (expected) or performance failure consisting of
   - description and diagnosis of summary of exception
   - effects of exception on other project tasks, on schedule, on costs, on other parties
   - proposed resolution

3. Audit reports at scheduled intervals (usually annually or around specified milestones) or at the request of the purchaser and consisting of:
   - terms of reference for the audit
   - summary of findings
   - client’s overall impressions of performance
   - auditor’s assessment of overall performance
   - assessment of use and effectiveness of project procedures: management, delivery and engineering, quality, configuration management
   - assessment of use and effectiveness of financial controls
   - assessment of effectiveness of project organization
   - recommendations
   - conduct of audit
4. Change control reports: The project can be expected to assess and negotiate requests for change jointly with the client. Many may be minor. They nevertheless require proper administration and consideration. Some may have significant effect on the project. Some may involve a cost implication.

5. Quality evidence: Quality control documentation, quality review appointments and minutes, configuration management records, test plans, test results, issues administration records, change administration records, sign-offs.

6. Acceptance evidence: Notices that identify products and announce that they are ready for acceptance procedures plus, signed acceptance notices.

7. Revised plans: At significant scheduled events or in response to significant unexpected events, format according to project plan.

At termination
Final acceptance — in the form of an acceptance notice

PIR — akin to a project audit together with summaries of key feedback for future projects

Where to seek further guidance

Within the World Bank:
Project preparation toolkit
Log frame toolkit
M&E toolkit

External to the World Bank:
Good practice in developing sustainable information systems, Supporting Guides (esp. SG1), Department for International Development (U.K.), CCTA 1998
Project Management Institute — www.pmi.org
www.ausaid.gov.au/ausguides
Role of the steering committee

The steering committee¹, or project board, will be a small group, of around five executive managers appointed from within the beneficiary and perhaps the borrower. The steering committee takes ultimate responsibility for the project. A senior executive of the beneficiary will chair the steering committee. A secretarial role will be established. The committee’s constituents will be representatives of the business, user and technology authorities within the organization, and authoritative representation from organizational elements with essential inputs to the project. The project manager will typically not be a member, especially if from an external supplier, but will be invited to present to each meeting. The World Bank’s task manager will not usually be a member but will be invited to attend.

The responsibilities of the steering committee include:

- Appointing the project manager and committing project resources
- Commissioning, assessing, and approving project inception report, log frame, project plan, and any revised plans
- Reviewing project progress reports: project performance, any underperformance, summary of issue reporting, summary of change reporting, risk assessment, impending events and external requirements
- Seeking clarifications on project performance either from the project team or through an independent party
- Assessing change control requests, especially those that entail change in contract, or significant change in time, resources, or products
- Assessing the project’s performance from the perspective of the beneficiary’s corporate business, user and technological objectives, policies and procedures
- Assessing project performance in terms of the business plan and expected benefits
- Considering, seeking, assessing, and authorizing proposals for preventative or corrective actions
- Appointing acceptance team(s)
- Accepting key high-level project products, usually based on analysis made by an acceptance team
- Coordinating the activities of the project in the context of other coexistent projects and activities
- Mobilizing and directing nonproject resources to participate as necessary in project activities

The steering committee will appoint the project manager, a single person in charge of the day-to-day work directed by and reporting to the steering committee. The steering committee will set and agree on the project phasing. It will convene at predefined decision points (usually tied to acceptance events and go or no-go phase change events) and regular, not necessarily frequent, progress monitoring checkpoints. The project manager should also alert the steering committee of the need for an extraordinary meeting whenever serious hindrance to the project prevents ongoing work, or leads to cost or schedule overrun beyond tolerances formally announced in advance by the steering committee.

The project manager will present project status at each meeting. Risk assessments will be summarized. The project manager could request special instructions or actions to be undertaken by members of the steering committee. The steering committee may seek information on aspects not presented. The project manager will not stay necessarily for the entire meeting, to permit private deliberations by the committee. Minutes of committee meetings will be distributed as soon as possible to the steering committee and the organization’s executive. The project manager will receive a subset pertaining at least to the portion attended.

¹ In some project management methodologies the roles of the project board and the steering committee are distinct, with the project board having a more immediate involvement and the steering committee, at a higher level of oversight, providing strategic direction to the project.
The sublog frame

The log frame is an analytical, presentational, and management tool which can help planners and managers:

- Analyze the existing situation during project preparation
- Establish a logical hierarchy of means by which objectives will be reached
- Identify potential risks
- Establish how outputs and outcomes might be best monitored and evaluated
- Present a summary of the project in a standard format; and
- Monitor and review projects during implementation.

The tool involves problem analysis, stakeholder analysis, developing a hierarchy of objectives, and selecting a preferred implementation strategy. The product of this analytical approach is the matrix (the log frame), which summarizes what the project intends to do and how, what the key assumptions are, and how outputs and outcomes will be monitored and evaluated.

The matrix structure is shown in the following table, together with a brief description of the information that the matrix contains.

### Table 1 Log frame matrix structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal: The broader development impact to which the project contributes at a national and sectoral level. Linked to the overall project log frame.</td>
<td>Measures of the extent to which a contribution to the goal has been made. Used during evaluation.</td>
<td>Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it.</td>
<td>Subframe to project log frame goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose: The development outcome expected at the end of the project. All components will contribute to this.</td>
<td>Conditions at the end of the project indicating that the purpose has been achieved. Used for project completion and evaluation.</td>
<td>Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it.</td>
<td>Assumptions concerning the purpose and goal linkage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs: The direct measurable results (goods and services) of the project which are largely under project management’s control.</td>
<td>Measures of the quantity and quality of outputs and the timing of their delivery. Used during monitoring and review.</td>
<td>Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it.</td>
<td>Assumptions concerning the output and purpose linkage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities: The tasks carried out to implement the project and deliver the identified outputs.</td>
<td>Implementation and work program targets. Used during monitoring.</td>
<td>Sources of information and methods used to collect and report it.</td>
<td>Assumptions concerning the activity and output linkage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 An example for an ICT project: Tax Administration Modernization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Means of Verification</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal: Broaden the Tax Base Control Tax Evasion Improve Tax Collections Improve Voluntary Compliance.</td>
<td>Indicators An increase in the active taxpayer population of 25 percent above growth compounded over period.</td>
<td>Verification National registration activity and revenue statistics and analyses, especially self-employed</td>
<td>Assumption: The modernization project has been conceived as part of the strategic framework for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good practice</td>
<td>Techniques and topics</td>
<td>Project management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance notices sent automatically. Audit selection automated and audit yield increasing 100% above growth compounded over period. An increase in revenue of 50%. Declining rate of compliance notices. Declining yield in random selection audits.</td>
<td>sectors. Forced recovery statistics. Taxpayer survey indicating more qualified, informed and fair service.</td>
<td>equitable revenue mobilization.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose:**
To implement a common tax identification number (TIN).
To acquire and install business systems for tax administration.
To acquire and have installed technical infrastructure for the business systems.

**Indicators**
A mature comprehensive universally used registration scheme.
Widespread IT supporting all administrative (nonprofessional) aspects of tax administration.

**Verification**
All taxpayer accounts accessible through new identifier.
Absence of active paper-based records of account.
Survey of tax officers indicating that job cannot be sustained if system unavailable.

**Assumptions**
Unique taxpayer identification broadens the tax base.
Improved business systems and IT are effective and as are high priority measures to alter taxpayer behavior.

**Outputs:**
A TIN registration system.
All entities in possession of registration.
Business systems for registration, accounting, compliance, audit selection, audit, objections, and appeals.
Technical infrastructure: Computer and data communications hardware and system software compatible with the business systems and adequate for the transaction volumes and staffing levels for the organization.
Installation of the technical infrastructure.
Implementation of the business systems: installation, conversion, training, operationalization.
Support and maintenance for the business systems.
Support and maintenance for the technical infrastructure.

**Indicators**
TIN registration advertised.
TIN registration center operational by dd/mm/yy.
TIN registration matches expected tax population after six months of campaign.
Taxpayer accounts exclusively online.
Systems installed and maintained.

**Verification**
Evidence of broadcast media campaign.
System generated statistics.
Absence of manual records.
Contracts in place and executed.

**Assumptions**
Taxpayers will use new identifier.
Taxpayers use new forms and procedures, systems and technology effectively.
Tax officers will use the new systems.

**Activities:**
Specify requirements for technical assistance.
Acquire technical assistance.
Prepare specifications for the TIN registration campaign.
Acquire and implement a TIN administration system.
Distribute identification instruments.
Prepare requirements specifications for tax administration system.

**Indicators**
Technical assistance in place by dd/mm/yy.
Specifications for systems ready by dd/mm/yy.
Specifications for infrastructure ready by dd/mm/yy.
Training center operational by dd/mm/yy.
Taxpayer accounts online at

**Verification**
Letter drop statistics.
Opening ceremony for registration center.
Registration statistics produced by system.
Acquisition procedures. Contracts entered.
System installed and in use at pilot site.
System installed and in use.

**Assumptions**
TIN legislation enacted.
Organizational change for TIN designed and implemented.
Population resistance to TIN can be overcome.
Commonality of purpose within tax administration.
Feasibility of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good practice</th>
<th>Techniques and topics</th>
<th>Project management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design tax administration system.</td>
<td>Acquire tax administration system.</td>
<td>Acquisition of a comprehensive tax administration system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquire tax administration system.</td>
<td>Prepare specifications for supply and installation of technical infrastructure.</td>
<td>Training center built on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare technical infrastructure and implement in stages.</td>
<td>Acquire technical infrastructure and implement in stages.</td>
<td>Organizational change for new administration completed on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare revised computer-assisted business procedures.</td>
<td>Implement training center.</td>
<td>Capital works at pilot sites completed on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement new procedures, systems, and infrastructure at pilot site.</td>
<td>Implement new procedures, systems, and infrastructure at pilot site.</td>
<td>Capital works at roll-out sites completed on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll out new procedures, systems, and infrastructure.</td>
<td>Roll out new procedures, systems, and infrastructure.</td>
<td>Maintenance complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pilot site by dd/mm/yy. Compliance notices sent from system for pilot site.</td>
<td>Compliance notices sent for all sites. Support and maintenance contracts in place.</td>
<td>Support and maintenance arrangements effected. Systems remain in operation replacing superseded procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complianc notices sent for all sites.</td>
<td>Implementation complete.</td>
<td>Use at roll-out sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support and maintenance contracts in place.</td>
<td>Acquisition of a comprehensive tax administration system.</td>
<td>Training center built on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training center built on time.</td>
<td>Organizational change for new administration completed on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capital works at pilot sites completed on time.</td>
<td>Capital works at roll-out sites completed on time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stakeholder analysis and human factors

A stakeholder analysis can determine the interests of stakeholders in relation to the problems that the project is seeking to address, identify conflicts of interest, recognize relations between stakeholders which can be built upon (and may lead to coalitions of project sponsorship and ownership), and help assess the appropriate type of participation by different stakeholders.

What this chapter is about

This chapter focuses on the reasons for a stakeholder analysis in the context of an ICT component and provides a checklist of potential interests. It helps to place this analysis within the lifecycle of planning. It does not give a detailed methodology as this is provided by the existing World Bank stakeholder analysis toolkit.

In addition, the chapter describes some of the human factors that should influence project design. The link between stakeholder analysis and the human factors is not direct but in the iterative diagnostic process (labeled under the headings of problem, stakeholder, institutional, and business analysis). Some of these human factors may be the motivating factors behind attitudes detected during stakeholder and institutional analysis. They will need to be addressed within the design of the project and through change management.
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2. Stakeholder analysis and human factors

What is stakeholder analysis?

Stakeholder analysis is the identification of a project’s key stakeholders and an assessment of their interests, and the ways in which these interests affect project risk and viability. Stakeholder analysis should always be carried out at the beginning of a project. Stakeholder analysis contributes to project design through the logical framework. It can be used to draw out the main assumptions and some of the key risks that need to be considered if a project is going to be viable.

Stakeholder analysis can determine the interests of stakeholders in relation to the problems that the project is seeking to address, identify conflicts of interest, recognize relations between stakeholders which can be built upon (and may lead to coalitions of project sponsorship and ownership), and help assess the appropriate type of participation by different stakeholders.

The technique is based on the assumption that an organization is influenced in certain respects by external bodies and that in many cases there are reciprocal influences. A map of these influences and their interaction provides the basis for analysis on how future change would affect the various parties identified.

Definitions

Stakeholders are persons, groups, or institutions with interests in a component, project, or program. Primary stakeholders are those ultimately affected, either positively or negatively. Secondary stakeholders are the intermediaries in the process. This definition includes both winners and losers, and those involved in or excluded from the decisionmaking processes. Key stakeholders are those who can significantly influence, or are important to the success of the project.

Who might be ICT stakeholders?

Before proceeding too far with problem analysis, task managers will want to make use of the stakeholder analysis toolkit. Possible stakeholders are listed in Table 3 and this can be used as a checklist for drawing up an interview list. Consultation should include other government agencies and departments, major “customers” and “suppliers”, public and private sector organizations that conduct similar business activities, as well as the target organization. The process should confirm information, identify business issues, and gain commitment.

It may not be possible to identify all stakeholders from the outset. As with much planning there will be an iterative aspect and the list should be reviewed periodically to ensure it is complete and accurate.

Knowledge about stakeholders will grow as more information becomes available from more detailed institutional appraisal, diagnostic studies, or business analysis. During the preparation phase of the ICT component, it may be necessary to revise the interview list and go into more in-depth analysis of interests to identify those that will benefit and those that may not. Of particular importance will be the identification of a sponsor or champion.

Such analysis will enable:

- The development of a legitimate culture-specific incentive package
- The shaping of the project if it threatens interests and risks political interference (this may prompt breaking it into stages)
- The establishing of progress indicators that disclose the degree of political support.
Table 3 suggests a list of possible stakeholders for an ICT component:

**Table 3 Stakeholders with interest in business change**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Main stakeholders are those responsible for:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Organization or business area and information management strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Turning strategies into operational plans and monitoring and controlling the business area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Financial management and planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Human resource management (including issues of establishment, pay, conditions, and training)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Trade unions and worker representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Office services, accommodation, and telephones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Security (equipment and data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Defining how business activities should be conducted and performance measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Managing business activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Conducting business activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Information and data management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Data protection (where data protection legislation is enacted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Audit and control of business activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Content and printing of forms, documents, and procedures manuals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Other stakeholders</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ National institutions for information coding and standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Government department formulating legislation, policy, and rules for business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons and groups impacted positively or negatively by the business change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Ministry of finance (treasury)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ National audit office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Central statistical office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Other centralized government departments (for example, those responsible for property services, staff inspection, and grading)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Stakeholders in the IT system</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▪ Potential users (internal or external)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Providers of data to the system (they may not be users of the system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ IT management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons or groups from within the organization who will provide first line maintenance and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons or groups responsible for human resources development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ External suppliers of IS and technology products, services, maintenance, and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons or groups who may be displaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons or groups impacted positively or negatively by the information that the system generates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons or groups that need to provide information or receive information in a different form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Persons or groups who will have additional responsibilities for receiving and sending information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Central government IT department</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Secure participation**

To secure stakeholder commitment and acceptance, particularly that of the user, there should be agreed mechanisms for bringing them into the development. Participation can be secured by:

- Formal project management and organization arrangements directly involving stakeholders
- Building confidence and improving skills by developing capacity through all stages of the project
- Providing appropriate external inputs to facilitate the change process and complement available human resources.

These would need to be factored into the project design. The task manager, with little control over some of these scenarios, may feel they are not very possible. Remember though, that an effective ICT component will be a partnership.
The World Bank may be able to facilitate some of these mechanisms financially, as well as through moral suasion, ensure factors beyond the direct support of the loan are addressed. See also chapter 5 on change management.

**Human factors**

Introducing or replacing an IS, with or without the use of IT, has a fundamental impact on staff and the way they perform their jobs. Effects are felt on:

- **Organization and management practices, organization structure and dynamics, and organizational culture.** Computer aided business systems often revolutionize the way in which processes are undertaken and managed. Power may be transferred from senior managers to users with greater control over information. Systems can take over and perform more accurately the checking and quality assurance previously done by supervisors and managers, making tiers of management obsolete. These changes have implications for the structure, management hierarchy, and the level of autonomy given to more junior staff. Although these changes result from the system, management of the change and the need to change the organizational culture, rest with senior management.

- **Jobs.** Some individuals will find the technology performing many of the functions they used to perform. For others it may make their jobs more complex and demanding. Job content and performance measures may need to be reexamined. This should be done during system design. When evaluating job design attention should be paid to:
  - Rotating monotonous jobs
  - Adding new responsibilities to jobs where the system enables existing duties to be carried out more quickly
  - Enriching jobs with activities that increase the level of job satisfaction.

- **Incentives and motivation.** Beyond strictly financial incentives, the project may offer prestige and opportunities to learn special skills, and receive international training and advancement.

- **Training and support.** Preparing for the implementation of the new system requires more than formal training in how to use technology. It requires an education and training strategy embedded within the overall training policy of the organization. The aim is not for everyone to know everything about the new system, but rather to ensure the skills and competencies are present to enable the work to be undertaken efficiently and effectively. Education and training should therefore be tailored to individual needs. Programs should be based on a training needs analysis to determine:
  - Who needs to be trained
  - What each user community needs to know
  - What barriers there may be to learning (for example apprehension regarding the new system and technology).

- **Grading.** Increased or decreased complexity in a job may influence the grade of a particular post. This in turn can have an impact on the amount of money an individual earns. This needs to be addressed as early as possible and prior to implementation of the system.

- **Skill levels.** Information systems can “de-skill” an individual as well as demand the learning of new skills (enhancing job satisfaction and status). Those without previous contact with modern IS may not feel comfortable and may need time to adapt.

- **Resources.** Implementation of a new system, regardless of size, always requires staff time and investment in office infrastructure and peripherals in support of the system, such as trainers, training equipment, office space, paper, disks, and other consumables.

- **Attitudes to work.** These have to be flexible — look out for restrictive practices, which may impede change.

- **Group working and styles of working.** New work groups may be formed and old ones disbanded. Those accustomed to working alone and not in a group may find the changes difficult.

- **Working environment.** An inhospitable work environment does not create a climate where users are receptive to change. More work space, better lighting, furniture and equipment, heating and cooling, ventilation, and less humidity, dust, and noise can encourage staff to change the way they work. The cost of these improvements should be taken into consideration when developing the business case (see chapters 1 and 10).
Quality management

A quality product is not “what I want” but rather “what I asked for”. A quality piece of humor: Western buyer to Japanese firm: Please supply 100 widgets — quality criterion: three defects per 100. Package duly arrives with 100 perfect widgets plus a curious parcel with three defective widgets.

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides a brief overview of the discipline of quality management applied in the context of an ICT project; that is, it pertains to the quality of the products of the ICT component.

There are close relationships between quality management and project management (since the tasks associated with quality management need to be recognized, scheduled, resourced and executed) and system development methods (since the quality and its processes are dependent upon the nature of the products and intermediate component).

There are as always different approaches to quality management. This chapter describes a generic approach. Occasionally, for convenience, specific terminology is used.

The technology for quality management extends beyond what applies to the production function. Institutionalized feedback loops and continual process improvement are levels of maturity to which the organization commissioning the project should aspire. The project itself, by definition, being a short-lived entity, cannot have the same aspirations. The broader maturity levels are not described here. The capability maturity model (see Where to seek further guidance) provides a definitive treatment.
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3. **Quality management**

**Introduction**

Quality is in some ways the e-business equivalent of the 1980s. At the time seemingly every organization had a project to instill and install quality principles, a quality function, and quality management processes. Governments were demanding ISO 9000 qualifications as a supply prerequisite. ISO 9000 certification was a steady line of business. The fervor has died down, but the application of the principles is now routine.

**Definition of “quality”**

The definition of quality is something like:

"the totality of characteristics and features of a product (goods or services) which bear on its ability to satisfy a prescribed need”,

or simply:

"conformance with requirements”.

A quality product is not “what I want” but rather “what I asked for”.

The notion of a client is therefore, emphasised. There is a need for predetermined requirements and a means to verify conformance. The client sets the requirements (in terms of products and the characteristics of products) and confirms that the requirements have been satisfied. The four simple, basic concepts of quality management for all technical products are illustrated in figure 4.

*Figure 3 Product — Client — Attribute — Quality concept*
The key to the definition is the absence of any notion that there is some abstract universal measure of quality. Quality does not mean “goodness” or “luxury”. A Rolls Royce and a Trabant may both represent 100 percent quality according to the attributes set for each. Conversely, if either fails to meet those attributes, then it is not a quality product.

Quality has a cost — not of delivery but of nonconformance — the cost of doing things wrong. Quality is not built in by quality control but by doing it correctly the first time.

The client may be external or internal to the project. Correspondingly, products may be of primary importance to the external client, or products may be part of the hidden engineering process and relevant mostly to the project team.

Management of quality

Topics related to the management of quality are outlined in the paragraphs that follow.

Quality management

Quality management requires a system that seeks to ensure the delivery of quality products, using the definition mentioned above. The system will establish the means to confirm quality and to confirm that the means are effective.

The key processes within quality management are quality planning, quality control, and quality assurance. These processes are outlined in this section together with other, perhaps technical processes (configuration management, change management, version control, and release management) that are vital for quality.

Quality plans

The quality plan contains guidelines for the management of product quality through various stages of the project. The plan evolves with the major stages of the project. The planning of each major stage will include a quality plan.

Responsibilities for quality need to be assigned to each project — there will be responsibilities shared between

- The development group, perhaps supplemented by a quality control group, during its day-to-day activities
- A distinct quality assurance group, to assure that a quality plan exists, is being executed, and is effective
- The client naturally seeking to obtain products according to their defined requirements.

While the quality plan describes broad procedures, the plan actually manifests itself in:

- Product descriptions that contain details relating to client, attributes, quality reviews, review checklists, and approval levels, preferably guided by standards for the corresponding class of product
- Activity charts (such as Gantt charts) that include inspection and hand-over activities
- Resource plans that identify the personnel (within the project or without) involved in quality management, control, and assurance activities
- Plan narratives (especially at the time of detailed stage plans) that elaborate upon the products, their attributes, and the delivery techniques
- Work instructions — where the expectations steps should be explicit for the worker (the availability of unambiguous work statements is a key to the delivery of quality products).

Quality planning is therefore part of the technical plan for product development — that is, the products of the project and the means by which they are to be delivered. Quality is built into the process of identification of products, investigation of product requirements prior to its build, acquisition, and delivery. Confirmation is addressed by the application of quality control techniques. The effectiveness is addressed by assurance techniques.
**Quality control**

Quality Control involves inspection, correction, and sign-off.

Inspection is typically performed by a collaboration of the product deliverer and peers from within the project team prior to verification by the client.

For any development, there may be distinct categories of products and corresponding sets of inspection techniques. Identification of categories and techniques for each should be documented well in advance of the delivery of a product within a category.

- For documentation products peer inspections are common
- For software engineering products, a combination of peer inspections, walk-through, and detailed tests based upon test plans should be employed for unit and system level products, and system acceptance testing for acceptance level products
- For technical infrastructure, tests are usually formulated in accordance with predefined quantities and physical attributes and performance characteristics. System acceptance testing may be applied to system software products.

The appropriate techniques should be documented in stage plans. Quality review structures and procedures (formally established review groups, notice of meetings, review checklists, review minutes, and follow-up actions) may be established. The steering committee and the project manager will determine how formal the review should be.

Client personnel play a critical part in the quality control processes. Client groups will be asked to inspect and confirm the quality of products.

**Acceptance**

For the most part acceptance is really a type of quality control. Readiness for acceptance is a statement by the supplier that it has assessed that a part of its task is now complete. In this sense, there may be a commercial significance to the acceptance of the product.

There are three perspectives to an inspection: user, business, and technical:

- The user perspective involves verifying that the product conforms to the actual user’s requirement, assessing the integrity of the requirement, and the business and operational impact of the product. The user may be another part of the development group or project for lower level component products. The user will be a representative of the client for significant products.
- The business perspective involves consideration of the costs (implementation and operation) and availability of a product, and whether in the narrow context of the individual product, the implied or expressed business case (set of benefits outweighing the costs or alternatives) remains valid. The business perspective may be difficult to verify for lower level components and is often subsumed in the overall product. Client reviews of major products should include consideration of the business perspective.
- The technical perspective involves verification that the technical integrity has been maintained according to agreed standards. The development group of the project has an interest in maintaining technical quality for components. The client will also have an interest in the technical characteristics of products and components in order to install, operate, and maintain them.

Note that the project’s champion may be placed in any of these three roles but will usually be a user or business representative.

Acceptance tests are tied to the requirements agreed with the project team. Ideally acceptance test specifications will be agreed upon before the commencement of a contract. In practice the project often includes investigation and elaboration of requirements, in which case, the test specifications should be part of the requirement specification process.
Systems acceptance testing

Systems acceptance testing (SAT) is applied to software systems releases based on parameters to be developed by the client and usually with the assistance of the development group or project.

Typically, SAT should apply to each release and revision of a product set to confirm completeness and correctness and also to confirm that the product set is suitable for operation.

Elements of SAT could include:

- Detailed functional tests — to verify that a function is correctly performed and to validate that the software is traceable to a requirement — that involve meticulous design of test cases seeking to confirm proper operation and to “crack” the system, compile expected results, and compare results to expectations.

- Testing of the computer-assisted business process — seeking to confirm that the verified and validated functions fit together with the noncomputerized parts (often the majority) of the complete business process

- Scenario testing — construction and execution of real-world business events (incomplete data, nonsense, good data, fraudulent data, and so on) to confirm that the computer system or at least the computer-assisted business process is robust.

- Simulated offices — scenario testing in a simulated office environment

- Pilot tests — use of the computer-assisted business process in a small scale but real-world environment representative of the broader organization

- Parallel tests — parallel running of both old and new systems and the comparison of results — cautions: resources to operate two systems are needed, new system may not overlay very well on the functions of the old, and the test may reveal deficiencies in the old systems as well as the new

- Simulation — usually useful only for volume testing, where events are simulated by computer at and above the rate anticipated for the live operation, to confirm adequate capacity in the new system and its technical infrastructure — once popular but now not often used.

Quality assurance

Quality assurance involves steps that seek to confirm that the quality plan is in effect and is effective. Quality assurance reviews should be conducted periodically during the project life cycle and within the stages of the project to confirm adherence to policies and procedures and to assess whether these policies and procedures are effective.

In some sense clients provide a quality assurance function through their monitoring of the acceptability of the products of the project. High rejection rates will indicate a systemic failure. Improvements in project processes would become a natural consideration if quality control and acceptance were to drive a great deal of rework.

There should also be a formal and distinct quality assurance role separated from quality control and acceptance. As products are delivered, documentation relating to quality should also be created — typically notices of review, review minutes and notes, specifications of test plans, reports on test results, corrections notices, product issue reports, off-specification reports, requests for change, and the paraphernalia for the administration of such documentation. These documents form a product information database and facilitate quality performance investigations. This in turn may lead to adjustments to existing procedures or reviews of existing procedures when the procedures are followed but products do not conform to their requirements.

Project audit

Project audit should be undertaken periodically throughout the life of a project. The project audit monitors the practices of project personnel from the perspectives of the client, in terms of project relationship, contract performance, management efficacy, technical conformance, and quality assurance.
Configuration management

Configuration management is a technical process that facilitates the identification and location of products and components. It is a scheme for:

- Identifying the totality of all project products and components — technical products, management products, and quality management products
- Maintaining versions of products and components, and the modification, validation, and release histories of versions
- Maintaining assembly products, or those describing the assembly of components and products
- Managing the location and movement between locations of the products
- Identifying and associating the status of products
- Maintaining security for product containers (such as files and directories on PCs).

Each product development should define the configuration management applicable to the type of product(s) being delivered.

Change management (control)

(Not to be confused with planning for and managing the impact of change in the context of organizational development interventions — see chapter 5) Change management (change control) concerns the studied and conscious amendment of products, taking into account the ramification of making or not making the changes.

Changes often and usually incur unscheduled, unanticipated work. New products, product enhancements, product corrections are all within the ambit of change management. The procedures for Product Issue Reports (PIR), Requests for Change (RFC), and Off-Specification Reports (OSR) are applicable.

PIRs may be raised by anyone with a legitimate interest in a project product. RFCs may and OSRs usually will lead to work to be undertaken by the project team. The diagram that follows illustrates the process.

With appropriate authority a PIR may lead to an RFC where a change is required to the specification of a product (and hence to the product as well). An RFC will then lead to new work (issued as tasks). It is also valid for an RFC to be rejected either by the project if it is assessed as not reasonable, or by the client if it is assessed to be undesirable by reason of effect or expense.

A PIR may lead to an OSR when a product does not conform to its specification. An OSR would then lead to new work to be undertaken. A PIR may also lead to other outcomes: it may be withdrawn by the issuer, there may be no action as a result of misunderstanding by the issuer, no action due to insufficient information to study and effect it, or advisory information is sent to the issuer.

Any combination or number of these outcomes may arise from a single PIR. Minimally the PIR-issuer will receive a reply indicating the disposition of the PIR.
Figure 4 Generalized model of change management (control processes)
Version Control

Version control or version management relates to the maintenance of manifestations of the same product, evolving through enhancement or repair.

Release Management

Release management relates to:

- The grouping of products and components into a useful assemblage
- The documentation of the purpose, content, and assemblage
- General notes on how to use the assemblage
- Notes about how to disengage the assemblage (often referred to as regression notes).

For software systems a release note would commonly arise for new, enhanced, or repaired features, assembled into a trusted state verified by quality control procedures (typically, SAT).

World Bank context

There is probably nothing about the topic of quality management that does not apply equally to World Bank client countries and the world at large. Quality management is another layer of discipline laid upon the capacity development requirement of the recipient. Once again it may seem like a solution looking for a problem. The need for quality management and its various themes may not be apparent until some early failure of delivery.

If the World Bank client countries are to leapfrog ahead, they will need to adopt management technology more than IT. There is a broader application of quality management to an organization’s standard products and services, such as obtaining ISO 9001/9002 certification or (for IT) the certification level in the capability maturity model. This is not outlined in the chapters of the toolkit, but can provide opportunities for institutional development in private and public sector organizations in World Bank client countries.

What to expect from a project

Most of the products of a functioning quality management system are probably below the purview of the task manager.

Orientation should be provided to the client. This may be conveniently provided at the same time as project management orientation. The project should be conducted using a recognized formal method, customized for pragmatic application to the specific project. The project should:

- Identify the mainstream quality management method to be engaged
- Document the customized methods for the specific project to the extent recognized at this stage
- Subsequent customization should be the topic of information notices distributed to interested or affected parties
- Provide at least one set of the literature pertaining to the standard quality management method together with the customization notice, preferably in hard copy and soft copy
- Conduct an orientation seminar that outlines and explains the key processes, artifacts and nomenclature of the method, procedures of particular relevance to the client, and an overview of the procedures that the project team would use. Activities should be outlined for the specific project, with emphasis on the immediate stages, as the project foresees it.

There will be quality plans, human and physical resources allocated to quality functions, evidence of quality functions in action (such as notices of reviews and inspections, review commentary, inspection results, test plans, test results, acceptance plans and acceptance results, correction plans, quality assurance reports, and project quality assurance reports).
Acceptance results may be revealed in connection with the commercial cycle. During supervision the task teams may find useful indicators, in particular, in the quality assurance reports.

Budget for independent project audits, covering all aspects: technical, political, managerial, institutional, and financial.

Where to seek further guidance

External to the World Bank

Sustainability is a key issue. There is always a shortage of capital. This means that investments need to be well targeted. There is less capacity for experimentation and greater need to protect investments. There is a paradox: greater protection means higher investment. Investment in protection is typically less of a priority when it should be a dominant consideration.

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides an overview of the topic of risk management as it applies to the protection of assets by identification, assessment, and monitoring of assets, threats, vulnerabilities, and countermeasures. Disaster recovery (planning and countermeasures) is a special aspect of risk management applied to a complete or substantial loss of a significant ICT asset.

Risk management of the type described above is not common in traditional World Bank components. However, components for a risk management study or for implementing countermeasures could arise as standard World Bank business, in particular for mature beneficiaries. Risk management in this context does not include project or component risk. That would be a project management topic. Annex A to this chapter provides some notes in that regard.

Not included within the overview here, are commercial risk and survival risk related to the all or part of the recipient organization. For example, a product line may be at risk from take-over, competition, or absence of demand. This is deemed to be beyond the scope of ICT considerations.
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4. Risk management

Introduction

Asset risk pertains to the partial or total damage to assets. Risk management covers a cycle of vigilance and action that entails risk analysis, selection of actions to eliminate, mitigate, or avoid damage, implementation planning (the security plan), and implementation.

There are various methods that may be used to undertake a risk analysis activity. A generic approach is described here.

Risk analysis steps

The common steps in risk analysis are listed below.

- Initiation of the study — negotiating the scope and the people, resources, and time available to the study team; planning of the study; obtaining existing materials (for example security reports, reports of security violation, audit reports, previous risk analyses, current risk management plan, current business plans, and so on); and establishing the study team.
- Orientation for the client organization in the processes and nomenclature of the risk analysis and management methods to be used, including the specific process for the current exercise. Literature of the standard method should be made available.
- Identification and valuation of assets — refer to the next section for some examples of assets. Valuation may not be straightforward, for example it may include value of reputation, individual business functions, ability to attract capital, employees, or other resources.
- Identification of threats — potential sources of damage to the assets. Refer to the next section for some examples of threats. This requires observation, investigation, imagination, and experience.
- Identification of vulnerabilities. For ICT, vulnerability would be any situation that could cause total or partial loss of the availability, integrity, or secrecy of the asset. Once again imagination and experience are required. A matrix of assets versus threats and vulnerabilities (and compromise of availability, integrity, or secrecy) can be useful. Note that more than one asset can be exposed by a single threat and a threat may lead to more than one type of loss. An example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>Networks</th>
<th>Hardware / software / networks</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats</strong></td>
<td>People</td>
<td>People</td>
<td>People</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>Nature</td>
<td>Nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>Accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerabilities</strong></td>
<td>Physical access</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Logical access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logical access</td>
<td>Environmental requirements</td>
<td>Container vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Logical modification</td>
<td>Physical access</td>
<td>Software error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental requirements</td>
<td>Human error</td>
<td>Human error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electromagnetic interference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human error</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Estimation of risk — the probability of a threat arising or a vulnerability exploited and the extent of damage — usually on a per annum basis. The weakest link in the analysis is usually the attribution of these probabilities. Techniques to derive these include:

- Observed data from the general population (for example fire or break-in statistics)
- Observed data of the specific system (for example, failure rates, unauthorized access attempts)
- Best guess based on estimates of historical occurrences to determine actual occurrences, or by interview to rate likelihood, or a Delphi approach using a calculation on the input of several estimators.

Calculation of loss expectancy based on value of asset, probability of threat arising, and extent to which the threat would disable the asset — often expressed as an Annual Loss Expectancy report. Some loss is relatively simple to calculate, such as loss of hardware. Other circumstances might be more difficult, such as unavailability of service, breach of legal obligations, exposure to legal action, psychological effects, or the cost of lost data.

Identification of potential protective measures. This is a matter of imagination, experience, investigation, and design.

Estimate ALE protection afforded by each protective measure. The protection would usually have limits, for example fire protection systems may not provide any relief in the case of a coincident building failure.

Selection of cost-effective protective measures.

Examples of ICT assets, threats, and vulnerabilities

Assets

- People: using, operating, administering, maintaining, and developing ICT
- Hardware of information infrastructure: such as channels, cables, transmitters, receivers, routers
- Hardware of IT: such as database servers, application servers, work stations, processors, random access memory (RAM), disc drives, tape drives, keyboards, monitors, cables, connectors, communications controllers, communication media
- System software of information infrastructure: such as operating systems, utility programs, system and network monitors
- System software of IT: such as operating systems, utility programs, database managers, transaction processors, compilers, system and network monitors, diagnostic programs, configuration managers, distribution services
- Application software: such as source and object of bespoke systems, purchased packages, end-user tools
- Intellectual property: such as product and system specifications and source code
- Data pertaining to business and transactions with the organization’s clients
- Documentation concerning ICT
- Supplies: such as paper, printer cartridges, ribbons; exchangeable magnetic media; spare parts; diagnostic supplies; maintenance supplies

Threats

- Personnel: people belonging to the organization acting with malicious intent
- Assailants: people external to the organization
Vulnerabilities

The major assets within an ICT environment are vulnerable to four classes of threat: interruption, interception, modification, and fabrication. Examples are provided in the following paragraphs.

- **Interruption** — asset is lost, unavailable, or unusable
  - Hardware vulnerable to physical threats: such as operation outside environmental tolerances for heat, power, humidity, fire, flood, leakage, liquid spills, dust, corrosion, electrostatics, impact, vermin, sabotage, theft, and so on
  - Software vulnerable to physical and quasi-physical threats: such as accidental or malicious erasure or amendment or destruction or damage to the hardware or media container, planned but erroneous amendment, viruses, worms, date-sensitive logic bombs, theft, and so on
  - Data may be vulnerable to physical and logical threats, such as through vulnerabilities of the hardware and software that provide access, through denial-of-service attacks, virus causing data destruction, and so on

- **Interception** — unauthorized party gains access to an asset
  - Hardware — unlikely to be subject to threat
  - Software — copy, theft, reverse engineering, “trap doors” — an unpublicized entry point in software, unpublicized functions in software, operating system flaws
  - Data — through vulnerabilities in software, covert channels that disclose information more widely than was intended, by theft or copy of media, fraudulent logical entry, access to data via direct local connections, remote access through telecommunications, tapping disclosure, misuse

- **Modification** — an unauthorized party gains access to an asset and alters it
  - Hardware — unlikely to be vulnerable
  - Software — vulnerable to logical threats: such as accidental or malicious amendment, virus
  - Data — vulnerable to logical threats: such as erroneous software, sloppy access control allowing fraudulent or simply erroneous modification, tampering via application software after breach of access control, tampering via utility software, virus, intelligent attacks such as “small slices — rounding down attack”

- **Fabrication** — creation of spurious elements within an asset (such as transactions in a database)
  - Hardware — unlikely to be vulnerable
  - Software — unlikely to be vulnerable
  - Data — vulnerable to logical threats: such as erroneous software, sloppy access control allowing fraudulent or simply erroneous modification, tampering via application software after breach of access control, tampering via utility software, virus

**Protective measures**

Various measures that should be considered for an ICT environment are listed below. The lists are not exhaustive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical security:</th>
<th>Fire retardant materials in construction, furniture, and fittings</th>
<th>Location other than ground floor, below top floor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secure walls and structures</td>
<td>Fire retardant systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good practice</td>
<td>Techniques and topics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pest controls</td>
<td>Antistatic mats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust controls</td>
<td>Environmental monitors and controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supply monitors and regulators</td>
<td>Uninterrupted power supply (UPS) equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup power generators</td>
<td>Mechanical and electronic locks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antitheft screens and booths</td>
<td>Bolted workstation harnesses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting and surveillance equipment</td>
<td>Intrusion detection and alarm systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guards</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical and logical access control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure operating systems — servers and workstations (including US NCSC certification where available)</td>
<td>Secure data base management systems including restrictions on use of native facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification / authentication schemes (username / password)</td>
<td>More exotic controls: e-tokens, smart cards, retinal controls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function level access control</td>
<td>Value based access control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encryption public / private keys, E-commerce security certificates</td>
<td>Closing Internet access</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabling exchangeable media devices</td>
<td>Dongles (hardware access control devices)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shielding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shielding and ducting against physical, electromagnetic and light sensitivity</td>
<td>Logical shielding: firewalls, closed user groups, dial-back modems, dial-out only modems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software controls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards for development process including modular development and source code peer reviews</td>
<td>Operating system controls that securely isolate individual users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal program controls for access to data</td>
<td>Control of access to programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virus protection software and procedures</td>
<td>Policy and procedure prohibiting introduction of data via media or e-mail download</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procedures for prevention and detection of data access</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run–time data base journals</td>
<td>Audit trails and transaction logs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine backup— confirmed to be restorable</td>
<td>Routine and secure change control and configuration management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access control refresh procedures</td>
<td>Design incorporating division of duty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure disposal procedures for data on paper, disk by shredders, overwriters, burners</td>
<td>Tiger teams — planned assaults on system security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine electronic data processing (EDP) audit</td>
<td>Centralization of or otherwise restricting system and network administration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redundancy in hardware</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple central processing unit (CPU) machines</td>
<td>Redundant self–correcting RAM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple redundant disk controllers and channels</td>
<td>Raid disks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple redundant power supplies</td>
<td>Redundant local area network (LAN) and wide area network (WAN) circuits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spare and standby units and spare parts</td>
<td>Self–monitoring systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Redundancy in software</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk mirroring</td>
<td>Duplicate databases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Personnel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Installation of security officers with control over access rights</td>
<td>Routine dissemination of security policies, norms and expectations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedures for screening and monitoring personnel</td>
<td>Identification badges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple and overlapping responsibility for protecting against loss</td>
<td>Succession: planned and implemented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disaster recovery sites | A large-scale operation where an installation or a service is established such that following a catastrophe service can resume on a complete backup immediately or over a short time span — the more immediate, the more costly
Can be owned outright by the organization or available through some shared arrangement brokered by a supplier
Needs to be thoroughly understood and planned — not merely an idle second IT configuration. Could be in constant use providing some lower priority service
All the procedures need to be in place and be periodically verified
Need to remember that personnel may be lost in disasters too
Usually sufficient distance between sites so that chance of catastrophe striking both is small

Additional notes
- Corollary benefits to a risk analysis include:
  - Improved awareness of security issues
  - Identification of ICT assets, associated vulnerabilities, and existing security controls
  - Improved basis for the trade-off decision between security controls and production
  - Justification of investment in security measures
- There is a trade-off between prevention and recovery. Instinctively, preventive measures seem preferable; however, they may not be economically rational or pragmatic. The overall cost of recovery, including lost production and other damage consequent to some damage to an asset may be less than any preventative measure.
- An overriding concern is survival. Occasionally the monetary value of an asset may be indescribable — the cost of classified information or loss of human life. Other than economic concerns, rationalism should determine the extent to which protective measures would be selected and implemented.
- There may be obvious attacks for which there are obvious protections. Analysis may be deemed be unnecessary.
- It is important to recognize that experience suggests that more is lost (in dollar terms) to accident: errors and neglect by users, operators, programmers, and analysts, than intentional theft or damage. It is difficult to be definitive: underreporting of loss and damage (regardless of cause) is endemic — to protect risks associated with damaged reputation. In any event: it is best to use structured methods, have backup assets and procedures in place, and include fail-safe operation for at least core activities.
- ICT security should interact with general premises and other (such as financial) security systems that may be in place. There should be liaison with security personnel. There may be opportunity to extend existing insurance cover arrangements at least for ICT physical assets.

What to expect from a project
A large-scale modernization project should include the preparation of an ICT security plan, entailing:
- Security policy, goals, responsibilities for policy, limitations
- Current circumstances: risk assessment
- Security organization, procedures, roles and responsibilities for security procedures, procedures for unanticipated vulnerabilities
- Initiatives to upgrade security and to sustain the security policy, implementation plans (objectives, outcomes, schedule, responsibility, resources)
- Review mechanism.
Good practice

ICT specifications should include some measure of redundancy.

Sound operational procedures for security should attend any system design.

A sufficiently large investment might warrant a disaster recovery plan together with the capital investment that this would entail.

**World Bank context**

Risk management would appear as relevant within or without the World Bank context. In the World Bank context stress may be laid upon:

- The size of the ICT component (and financial exposure of borrower and lender);
- Resources for supervision of the ICT component;
- Borrowers and beneficiaries in environments of greater social instability, for example at greater risk of civil unrest — the information technology assets too may be at greater risk;
- Sustainability as a key issue:
  - Borrower or beneficiary may lose technical staff to the private sector in most World Bank funded ICT projects. If compensation cannot be changed, nonmonetary incentives need to be present, for example variety of work, staff development opportunities, travel through attachments, and so on.
  - There is always a shortage of capital. This means that investments need to be well targeted. There is less capacity for experimentation and greater need to protect investments. There is a paradox: greater protection means higher investment. Investment in protection is less of a priority when it should be a dominant consideration. It is better to sacrifice some functionality for a more secure installation.

**Where to seek further guidance**

**Within the World Bank**

Contact staff that has managed large and complex ICT components of projects.

**External to the World Bank**


*Good practice in developing sustainable information systems (Theme 6) / Supporting Guides* (esp. SG1), Department for International Development (UK), CCTA 1998
Managing project risk

Projects implement changes. Change is risky business. Risk management of a related but different variety pertains to project management (see chapter 1). Rather than vulnerabilities and threats that may lead to loss or damage of some assets, in the context of the project risk pertains to the process and its successful completion.

Risk for a project may be said to be failure to

- Meet the agreed business needs
- Provide the expected benefits
- Provide feasible solutions (organizationally, socially, culturally, politically, technically)
- Complete on-time and on-budget
- Deliver quality products (that is, those required and with the attributes agreed)

A well-managed project will include risk management so that the project team and the client are more aware of the potentialities, better informed in the selection of actions (including deferral of preventative actions), and better prepared and more responsive if the risks actualize. Risk assessment informs the client of the extent of obligations of all parties (including those of the client) and the effect of failure or delay in meeting these obligations. Thus, it should reduce surprise.

Risk management involves risk assessment, monitoring, and implementation of agreed actions. Risk assessment within projects is subjective and experiential. It is concerned with identifying threats, assessing them, defining passive and active responses, and implementing or requesting implementation of responses. It is a process continually repeated until project termination.

A risk assessment takes the form of a list of threats and for each risk an analysis. An example is provided in table 6.

Table 6 Format for analysis of threats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THREAT: a short description</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Long description of threat</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>High / Medium / Low²</th>
<th>Disturbance level</th>
<th>High / Medium / Low²</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Outcomes should the threat materialize</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributors</td>
<td>Events and actions that will contribute to the threat arising</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggers</td>
<td>Indicators that the threat has arisen or is increasingly likely to arise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative actions</td>
<td>Steps that can be taken to prevent or circumvent the threat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive actions</td>
<td>Steps that can be taken if the threat arises</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² Estimation beyond these suggested bands is usually highly subjective. It needs to be questioned whether effort to better evaluate estimates is justifiable.
Subsequent to risk assessment is decision making regarding which threats, if any, to address immediately, an assessment of the costs and selection of any actions that would be implemented, and gathering resources for those steps.

The risk assessment and management processes are ongoing through the life of the project with republication either as a convenient section of the regular progress reporting, or in exceptional circumstances.

The actions are not usually listed in the project's Gantt chart. The project plan includes actual committed steps and resources to be engaged. Risk assessment will identify circumstances that arise probabilistically. If the probability were sufficiently high and preventative action sufficiently low (and of certain effect), it would be reasonable to incorporate the preventative action into the project plan (and acquire the necessary resources to execute that action). However, if either does not hold, that is probability is low or the cost is high and perhaps of uncertain effectiveness, then the preventative or corrective responses are usually reserved in the project manager’s kit bag. By definition, the project has access to fixed resources calculated by the extent of its work program. To the greater extent, then, the project will not be able to effectively respond to threats without the intervention of the project champion, sponsor, and steering committee. In many cases the response will remain an obligation for external parties and never within the scope of the project.

**Possible threats**

Formally the project will be established for the delivery of an agreed product. The project will be judged, however, on the effective implementation of its products, whether or not they are within the agreed scope. Some types of threats that may arise are listed below, separated in two parts: threats concerning the process of the project and threats concerning the project’s products.

**Process threats**

- Changes in key personnel
- Dependencies not delivered adequately and on-time
- Total inability to deliver dependencies
- Slowness on the part of the client to accept intermediate products or components
- Unwillingness to pay — due to inability, lack of funds, or bureaucratic red tape
- Other party commitments not delivered adequately and on-time
- Other party unable to deliver its commitments
- Ongoing vital business imperatives drawing resources from project
- Complexity — there are many parameters, such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7 Parameters for complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size of project</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterogeneity of products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of client functions affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familiarity of project team with tool set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability and understandability of existing process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novelty, importance, and “realism” of requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology gap between existing and planned systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance levels in requirements perversely two edged: high tolerance can mean high discretion and perhaps difficulty in focus, whereas comprehensive and hard-edged means no slips but easier to plan and execute</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good practice

- Ineffective training and skills transfer
- Presence of subcontractors and their manageability
- Poor project-client relationship
- Strong technological imperative can lead to low client participation
- Not the client’s requirement — project foisted on them
- Continual process of improvement within the organization impinging on the domain of the project and disturbing requirements, or providing opportunity for conflict, or undermining acceptability of project products to end-users
- Internal resistance — through pressure of on-going business, for political reasons, or through jealousy of project’s presence, access to resource, access to senior management, and so on
- Estimated time and resources are inadequate
- Supplier unable to deliver products or personnel of adequate ability
- Inability of in-house resources to perform agreed tasks
- Loss of project profitability leading to corner-cutting or walk-out by supplier
- Insolvency of supplier
- Novel technology — no support in the input process
- Unfamiliarity with the tools engaged
- Capable supplier but has labor-supply or stability problem, inability to follow essentially sound process
- Tight budget, changed supplier circumstances or greed, leading to corner cutting
- Poor selection of supplier
- Damage to project assets
- Misunderstandings — ambiguous requirement or offer
- Unstable requirements
- Exploitation of change control
- Underestimation of problem or management dimensions in conversion, migration, support, and maintenance
- Reluctance to lose a poor supplier because of opportunity loss of restarting caused by ineffective contractual provisions or contracting problems — difficult to change, and a lengthy process

Product threats

Beneficiary does not implement or maintain use of the project’s products, because

- “Wrong” product — impractical in the context, unsustainable operation and maintenance cost, hinders rather than helps business
- Technological imperative drove the product rather than operational need or business opportunity
- Service levels not set and agreed or not met (This can be a key factor: the system needs to perform tasks deemed adequate and sensible by its users, and also to do so reliably during the hours of operation and according to agreed service levels. It requires only a small degree of underperformance to undermine a system.)
- Human machine interface ugly, unfriendly, or obstructive and hence system is avoided
- System is avoidable, allowing users to resort to paper or previous methods of work
- Inadequate technical infrastructure meaning poor performance of the overall system
- Poor system design as a result of time constraints
- Insufficient involvement by beneficiary during preparation
- Technical product in a change vacuum
- IS without context
- Benefits of changes not appreciated
- Benefits of changes too small or less than expected
- No commitment to change
- Delivered too late — so that alternative methods are engaged or business activities or priorities have changed
- Improper project — client relationship leading to resistance to use of new products
- External resistance (that is, from the client’s clients) to change too high,
- Internal resistance to change too high
- Client technically unable to effectively use, operate, or maintain
- Ineffective use and underutilization
- Relative technical obsolescence (an information system may be quite effective — merely dated in terms of the newness of its technology base and deemed unwanted for that reason)
- Commercial, technical obsolescence, that is, the product can no longer be maintained, or the cost of maintenance is very high
- Change in business imperatives
- Loss or damage — refer to risk management in the main text of this chapter.

World Bank context
All the above factors may apply in the World Bank context but emphasis may be placed on:
- Political stability and associated stability of key persons and requirements
- The source of funds may distort establishment of a project on sound footing; capital requirements are almost invariably technology-driven and often too quickly initiated without due consideration of real parameters
- Change issues beyond the introduction of technology may not be sufficiently addressed in project design, leading to the impact of technology being suboptimal
- Actual end-users may not be sufficiently engaged in the initiation and design of the project
- Technology, technology products, and technical infrastructure in the countries may not be sufficiently established.

What to expect from the project
The task team should anticipate a risk assessment that lists perceived risks, attributes probability (“high-medium-low” is usually as accurate as could be expected), and responses. It will be difficult to challenge the risk assessment as a result of the dominance of local factors; however, attention may be directed to complexity factors.

Progress reports should reflect revised risk assessment.
An example of a project risk assessment

1. **Threat:** Availability of any new stationery in sufficient quantity

| Description | The application design is likely to lead to redesign of input and output stationery for reasons of physical structure and content. The introduction of the new system requires that any new stationery be available. |
| Likelihood | High | Disturbance level | High |
| Effect | System cannot be deployed. Reduced system effectiveness and need for later data repair. Use of backup procedures such as raising manual checks. Schedule in delay. Cost increases. Delay in benefits. |
| Contributors | Indecision concerning form design. Delivery lead-times for new stationery stocks. Late changes in form design. |
| Triggers | No decision on redesigned forms and notices by dd/mm/yy. Stocks of stationery not delivered in time for acceptance testing. |
| Preventative actions | Early decision on redesigned forms as soon as practical after design is completed. Ordering new stocks in good time for acceptance testing. Use of plain paper A4 structures with graphics that can be merged at the time of printing or that can be done in-house using laser printers. |
| Reactive actions | Delay implementation. If possible, produce some samples or some small stock quantity in-house. Modify systems and procedures for interim use of existing stocks of old stationery. |

2. **Threat:** Dissatisfaction with system

| Description | The system requirements were prepared using representative requirements and assessments of needs and the build will also be based on representative inputs and estimates of delivery efforts. There may be errors and omissions in the process. The system requirements were framed by a concept of an entry-level system that excludes several areas of administration, or at least defers several areas of functionality to an unspecified subsequent project. Consequently not all expectations are included. |
| Likelihood | High | Disturbance level | Medium to Low |
| Effect | Dissatisfied client. Dissatisfied constituents. Dissatisfied supplier. Poor adoption of the system. |
### Contributors
- Unrealistic expectations
- Misunderstanding of limits imposed in terms of functional coverage within requirement specification
- Incomplete requirement specification
- Requirement specification is not understood by client, leading to drift in requirement
- Requirement specification not understood by supplier
- Shifting expectations from client
- Flawed assessment of work by supplier at bid or subsequently
- Incomplete design (business and technical)
- Design not understood by client
- Design not compliant to requirement specification
- Build not compliant to design
- Flawed design theory
- Deployment requirements not understood
- Project integration failure

### Triggers
- Change requests
- Difficulties in review processes
- Grapevine critique
- Monitoring attitude toward the project and the system from the client

### Preventative actions
- Communication at various project stages to explain project rationale and system scope, design, and implication
- Early communication and discussion of topics as they arise
- Early resolution of issues as they arise

### Reactive actions
- Changes in project scope
- Communication

### 3. THREAT: Late deployment of technical infrastructure

| Description | Technical infrastructure required for the system to operate may be delayed for several reasons
| Likelihood | Medium to High | Disturbance level | High |
| Effect | The project will be in delay
| | Potentially, parties may seek equitable compensation for delay beyond their control
| | Morale may suffer
| | Legacy systems will need to be retained for longer periods, possibly incurring costs
| Contributors | Delays in procurement process
| | Delays in commissioning by supplier(s)
| | Client premises and site not ready to accept equipment
| Triggers | Schedule slippage at issuing ITT(s), and dates of evaluations(s), award(s), and contract(s)
| | Schedule slippage in supplier(s) commencement and execution of delivery and installation tasks
| | Schedule slippage in site preparation
| Preventative actions | Monitoring schedules for all project contributors
| | Scheduling adjustments for affected projects
| Reactive actions | Penalty provisions in supplier contract(s)

### 4. THREAT: Ineffective skills transfer and training

| Description | The project provides skills transfer specific to the development and implementation process for the IS and training for users
| | If ineffective, the client will not be able to provide required service, or sustain required levels of operation, support, and maintenance
| Likelihood | Medium | Disturbance level | High |
| Effect | Operation of the system impaired  
| | Support and maintenance impaired  
| | Public attitude to client diminished  
| | Continuance of business threatened |
| Contributors | Poor selection of trainees and candidates  
| | Unreceptive trainees  
| | Poor trainers  
| | Poor training materials  
| | Inadequate facilities or environment for deployment  
| | Inadequate or inappropriate training  
| | Ineffective training measurement system  
| | Training not adequate for deployment environment |
| Triggers | Help desk evaluation indicates training problem  
| | Observation by supervisors and users  
| | System errors as a result of human errors |
| Preventative actions | Minimum qualifications set for training  
| | Help facilities on system  
| | Continual assessment by supervisors  
| | Refresher courses |
| Reactive actions | Revised training courses  
| | Retraining |

5. **THREAT: Changes in key personnel of project**

| Description | Changes in project champion, sponsor, and key personnel may weaken drive and knowledge within the project and diminish its chance of a successful completion |
| Likelihood | Medium |
| Disturbance level | High to Medium depending on phase |
| Effect | Loss of corporate intelligence  
| | Loss of momentum in decision making  
| | Loss of enthusiasm for project  
| | Changes in requirements and priorities  
| | Schedule slippage  
| | Lower morale |
| Contributors | Poaching  
| | Disenchantment of key personnel  
| | Increased career opportunities  
| | Diminishing job satisfaction  
| | Poor project performance and personal performance  
| | Diminished interest level |
| Triggers | Key people leaving, being redeployed, or being given additional duties |
| Preventative actions | Monitoring of key persons to recognize potential burn-out  
| | Succession planning  
| | Budget for replacements |
| Reactive actions | Recruiting competent and interested replacement |

6. **THREAT: Data cleansing or migration slippage**

| Description | Client and supplier need to work together to have data migrated to the new systems  
| | Client has to ensure that clean data are ready at the time of deployment |
| Likelihood | Medium |
| Disturbance level | Low for cleansing, High for migration |
| Effect | Databases not ready for deployment  
| | Integrity of databases compromised |
| Contributors | Ineffective collaboration in data conversion exercises  
| | Legacy architecture may not convert easily to new technology |
### Good practice

**Techniques and topics**

**Risk management**

| Poor quality in existing data stores leading to large work volume for client |
| Underestimation of the size of the task, especially for cleansing |
| Unavailability of staff for cleansing activities |

| Triggers |
| Imperfection in current reporting on existing databases |
| Data conversion for test data in development phase incomplete |
| Pilot site testing in delay for reasons of converted data |
| Converted data or conversion and take-on suite not ready according to deployment schedule |

| Preventative actions |
| Early planning and execution |
| Early commencement of cleansing |
| Plan monitoring |
| Quality reviews |

| Reactive actions |
| Delay schedule |
| Engage more resources |
| Segment taxpayers to be converted and implement with phasing of segments |

### 7. THREAT: Poor performance of client staff at direct project tasks

**Description**

Client staff not only support the development team, but are vital for several activities, such as procurement specification, design input and review, business-rule expertise for design and build, acceptance design and testing, complementary procedures development, technical infrastructure acceptance, T3 training, and so on.

Inadequate performance by client staff would affect project performance

| Likelihood | Medium |
| Disturbance level | Low to High depending upon task |

| Effect |
| Schedule delay |
| Imperfect design |
| Lower quality of products |
| Difficulties in making the system operational |
| Poor first-line support of system |
| Poor training |
| Cost change as a result of extensions and rework |

| Contributors |
| Person not dedicated to the project task |
| Low morale |
| Inadequate skill or experience for assigned tasks |
| Lack of management support and direction |
| Slow executive decision making |
| Unclear tasks or misunderstanding |
| Unclear accountability, responsibility, or authority for individuals |
| Inappropriate influence to obtain sign-off |

| Triggers |
| Morale problems detected by project managers |
| Tasks not completed or completed late |
| Tasks not completed for reasons of skill or experience |
| Quality reviews illustrating tasks not completed or product is of poor quality |
| Sloppy configuration control |
| 80:20 syndrome |

| Preventative actions |
| Policies, procedures, and standards clarified and communicated |
| Clear role descriptions |
| Project should not lead to habitual overtime |
| Task monitoring and mentorship |
| Continual monitoring of morale |
| Training |
| Reward structures for project personnel |
Reactive actions | Discipline  
| Changes in personnel  
| Attitude modification  
| Executive intervention

### 8. **THREAT: Client not ready for deployment**

| Description | Client premises need to be established and ready and officers selected, appointed and trained |
| Likelihood | Low to medium depending on site | Disturbance level | High |
| Effect | System not deployable  
| Poor quality sites may lead to public dissatisfaction, staff dissatisfaction, and disaffection with system  
| Schedule delay  
| Direct cost increase  
| Delay in opportunity benefit |
| Contributors | Officers not ready: appointed and trained and aware  
| Sites not ready: late and inadequate capital works, site preparation, furniture and fixtures, data communication infrastructure  
| Supplier(s) failure on various goods and services  
| Client failure on various services |
| Triggers | Site not ready  
| Implementation tasks late  
| 80:20 syndrome |
| Preventative actions | Monitor implementation projects  
| Add penalties for delay in contracts  
| Plan backup source of supply  
| System design and implementation should lead to disruption of existing practices only when there are clear benefits |
| Reactive actions | Cancel supplies contracts and use alternative source of supply  
| Delay implementation |

### 9. **THREAT: Late deployment of WAN**

| Description | The WAN will be used in the system to aggregate data for national statistics, system management, payment and account transfers, and other data or software distribution |
| Likelihood | Low to medium depending on site | Disturbance level | Low to medium |
| Effect | Proof of design would be delayed  
| Transfers may need to be done by slower physical means and be less reliable |
| Contributors | Late delivery by WAN supplier(s)  
| Installation and commissioning difficulties  
| Instability of network design and delivery schedule for subsequent sites |
| Triggers | Progress reports of WAN project  
| Information from other earlier WAN users  
| WAN service unavailable for initial sites  
| WAN service unavailable for subsequent sites |
| Preventative actions | Monitor progress of WAN project  
| Seek information from other users |
| Reactive actions | Use of magnetic media and physical transportation  
| Seek alternative WAN service |
### 10. Threat: Poor performance of WAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>The WAN will be used in the system to aggregate data for national statistics, system management, payment and account transfers, and other data or software distribution. Volume of usage is anticipated to be low.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Low to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Transfers may need to be by slower and by physical means that will weaken achievement of design objectives and introduce operational impediments. End-of-day procedures may not be completed in the allotted time period. Access to sites impaired.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributors</td>
<td>WAN is untested. Contention on channels by other users of the network. Bandwidth requirements of new application system beyond expectation, such as volume usage resulting from design or from volume of business transactions beyond expectation. Interoperability problems between new technical infrastructure and WAN. Unreliability of WAN availability due to drop out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggers</td>
<td>Progress reports of WAN project. Information from other WAN users. Performance monitoring and diagnostic analysis when new system is deployed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventative actions</td>
<td>Simulation of volumes by supplier during load testing. Use of efficient protocols anticipated within technical architecture. Decentralized design of new system. Proper load and performance testing by WAN project. Warranties within the technical infrastructure contract concerning interoperability with the WAN. Restricting ad hoc access to new system sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive actions</td>
<td>Resolution of interoperability issues between new system technical infrastructure and WAN suppliers. Purchase of increased bandwidth for the WAN service. Use of magnetic media and physical transportation. Seek alternative WAN service.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11. Threat: Poor performance of technical infrastructure for deployment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>The technical infrastructure may not perform to expectations for reasons of speed and throughput, interoperability, or hardware or software failures.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Staff disaffection toward new systems. Public disaffection toward new systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributors</td>
<td>Interoperability problems between components in any particular contract. Interoperability problems between components in different contracts. New application system unexpectedly resource-hungry. Poor sizing of technical architecture. Poor sizing or changes in demographics concerning business volumes. Bad batches of equipment. Poor quality of hardware and software. Errors or omission in commissioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triggers</td>
<td>Observation of acceptance test performance. Observation of pilot site performance, especially for load tests. Monitoring calls to help-desk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 12. THREAT: Poor performance of the second new complementary application subsystem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>The new subsystem is vital for timely, accurate, correctly-formatted input data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Likelihood</strong></td>
<td><strong>Disturbance level</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect</strong></td>
<td>Untimely or inaccurate data to accounts providing opportunity for spurious interest and penalty notices, inaccurate account enquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potentially, disaffection with new system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributors</strong></td>
<td>Software failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interface design imperfections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indirectly, end-users’ attitude to new complementary application subsystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triggers</strong></td>
<td>Acceptance testing reporting failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exception reports in payment posting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preventative actions</strong></td>
<td>Comprehensive testing of new complementary application subsystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comprehensive two-way interface testing between the system and the subsystem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reactive actions</strong></td>
<td>Repair subsystem or interface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 13. THREAT: Unavailability of client's staff for direct project tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Client’s staff are vital for procurement specification, design input and review, business-rule expertise for design or build, active participation in the development environment, acceptance design and testing, complementary procedures development, technical infrastructure acceptance, T³ training, and so on</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Likelihood</strong></td>
<td><strong>Disturbance level</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Effect</strong></td>
<td>Schedule delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imperfect design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower quality of products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulties in making the system operational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inability to provide first-line support of system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inability to undertake training obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost change — either because of engagement of alternative skill or extension of contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributors</strong></td>
<td>Higher priority task intervenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Person has more than one task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sickness, resignation, or other unavailability of key persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skills upgrade of team increases marketability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project participation retards rewards within client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Triggers</strong></td>
<td>Person physically unavailable for various project task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morale problems detected by project managers, leading to suspicion of underperformance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perceived priority of project diminishes either officially or through perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Personnel turnover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preventative actions</strong></td>
<td>Responsibility overlap between team members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Continual monitoring of morale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Succession planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preventative actions</th>
<th>Reactive actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Various parties contribute toward specifications</td>
<td>Increase quantities or sizes of purchased equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postqualification trials on hardware and software</td>
<td>Invoke performance bonds or penalty provisions in supplier contract(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prime contractor relationship built into contract(s)</td>
<td>Defer deployment — but see Threat 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimizing the number of supplier contracts</td>
<td>Invoke support and maintenance and warranty provisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalability provisions in specifications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion of support and maintenance and warranty provisions in contracts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn-in and commissioning of systems before deployment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 14. Threat: Resistance to change within client

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>The system needs to be fully and properly used if benefits are to be realized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Effect      | System not used properly  
Data not fully captured  
Sabotage of equipment or databases |
| Contributors| Morale of user community  
Inadequate training  
Inadequate communication and conditioning  
Lack of buy-in by key trendsetters  
Poor system performance  
Unexplained, not-understood new or additional duties  
Changing of staff power bases  
Incomplete system design — necessary functions not included in design |
| Triggers    | Observation of staff attitudes  
Help-desk logs  
Organizational conflict concerning implementation of new system |
| Preventative actions | Training  
Communication  
Management is tolerant of disturbance caused by implementation |
| Reactive actions | Retraining and reconditioning  
Consultation  
Disciplinary actions |

### 15. Threat: Security of project offices and their content (including assets of contractors and subcontractors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>The key assets of the project are development tools and information on paper and disk, the loss or damage of which would retard progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Effect      | Disruption of schedule  
Loss of information  
Rework  
Project termination |
| Contributors| Software viruses  
Fire and other accidental damage  
Deliberate damage  
Theft of equipment or of media holding data |
| Triggers    | Event causing damage  
Other security violations at client’s premises |
| Preventative actions | Secure premises  
Backup of data off-site  
Antivirus measures  
Insurance |
| Reactive actions | Purchase new equipment  
Resort to latest backup  
Rework as necessary |
Change management

It is in the nature of organizations to change, and the most successful ones are those that anticipate and adapt to changing circumstances. Change needs to be predicted, planned, and managed. This is the key to real success.

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides an overview of a very wide topic of organizational development interventions attendant to ICT that seek to change human activity systems.

Issues related to change are not specifically about ICT. An ICT component may be used as a change agent (to motivate some development objective), or the component may be an element of a wider reform. But an ICT component is always about realizing change against the uncertainty of a successful outcome. The issues are to do with people, business activities, and work practices and can have a significant impact on an organization.

This chapter defines change management by making a distinction between change control (a vital part of project management) and change management, that is planning for and managing the impact of change. It is this latter topic that is the subject of this chapter. The chapter focuses on the need for awareness of change issues (perhaps a neglected aspect of many World Bank ICT components), and provides a checklist for preparing and planning for change, overcoming resistance, and encouraging acceptance of change.

Task managers should be aware of resistance to change. It will impact the absorptive capacity of an organization. Some gauge of this should be attempted in the institutional appraisal. Timeframes should not be too ambitious. Contractors are likely to be able to move more quickly than the organization can take change.

Structure of this chapter
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5. Change management

What is meant by change management

There is room for some confusion about this title. It could mean change management or managing change. What is the difference? There is none! The terms are often used interchangeably. But this chapter is going to differentiate by ascribing the meanings as follows:

- **Managing change** will be taken to mean controlling change within a project. Change in any project needs control. In the context of ICT it requires particular care. Proposed changes should be properly assessed and approved ideally before a project is started. Change after a project is started is likely to delay completion and defer benefits. When, inevitably, changes arise they should not be obstructed. But if there is no formal mechanism to control changes, an ICT project can quickly go out of control with delay and cost build up, until what is delivered bears little resemblance to what was approved. To avoid this a change control procedure should be set up. Changes can be managed more easily if there is a firm foundation of approved plans, specifications, and an infrastructure of procedure on which to base the management. Change control is therefore, a function of project management and is therefore, dealt with in chapter 1.

- **Change management**, the subject of this chapter, is different. It will be taken to mean managing the impact of change, some of it planned some unplanned, both within and beyond the project. A great deal is written about change management, business culture change, and so on. The subject is often clouded by management consulting jargon and becomes too esoteric for the issues to be sufficiently grasped and acted upon. The jargon may also disguise the fact that there is no substitute for clear direction and firm management, and that all good managers should see change management as part of their job, rather than as a separate, or one-off function. Equally, most changes will not be accomplished by management edict. They require explanation, understanding, and total acceptance by those affected.

A reform program of any scale will mean significant change. The impact can be felt across the organization. It will have implications for the culture of the organization, its stakeholders, and its customers. It must be anticipated that the change will be continuous but that there will inertia and many obstacles to overcome, including staff uncertainty, fear, and morale and motivation problems. There will be a need to pay attention to:

- “Soft” human resources (HR) issues with positive efforts to obtain commitment and participation
- Management commitment at the most senior level: implementation will present major difficulties and obstacles, and will require determination to surmount
- Support for internal management: the reform may attract negative attention from public sector unions, the press, and the public; internal management will require political support if the changes are not to be deflected

Change management is not a complete, alternative way to manage. There is still a need for management of project, risk, quality, and benefits.

**Business change management**

The successful introduction of ICT can involve fundamental change affecting most or all of an organization. Change needs to be predicted, planned, and managed. It requires the commitment of senior managers and staff acceptance. Some if not all stakeholders will inevitably see change as a threat. Considerable effort may be required to overcome resistance. The process will be assisted by:

- Avoiding change for its own sake
- Gaining the support of effective champions of change within the organization
- Being prepared to explain changes to doubters, and modify plans where there is a case for doing so, for example, because someone has a better idea or because the change seems likely to provoke more trouble than it is worth.
Checklist for change management

While the following checklists contain some points that can be considered as specific actions, they describe more a way of thinking, an approach, an awareness of change issues in the planning and management process. The project plan can have some specific actions included that will result from change management awareness — the most obvious would be HR activity in the form of workshops and training. Such a program would not only develop technical skills but increase awareness of IT and provides a forum for keeping people aware of developments. The project timeline may be lengthened or extra stages may be added.

Preparing for change

- Always identify the basic problem or opportunity and the desired outcome.
- Plan changes to achieve specific results.
- Change as little as necessary to achieve the desired improvement.
- Evaluate the driving and restraining forces before planning the change.
- Anticipate the problems likely to be generated by the change.
- Consider how changes may interfere with work in business areas not concerned with the change.
- Decide who needs to be involved in planning the change and who is likely to be affected — invite them to participate in planning.

Planning the change

- Will the benefits outweigh the costs in time, effort, resources, disruption, and so on?
- Plan the change in terms of what needs to be done, in what order and by whom.
- Cost the change in terms of time and resources needed.
- Put yourself in the shoes of those affected by the change, from planning to consolidation.
- Ascertain the degree of support the change will have from top management.
- Decide how change will be monitored during the change and consolidation period.
- Work out criteria to judge whether people affected by the change are behaving appropriately and achieving the expected improvements.
- Devise early warning systems to detect difficulties, discontent, shortages, interference and unexpected snags.
- Keep a “fire-fighting” reserve of time and resources to deal with unexpected problems.

Overcoming resistance

- Appoint a change champion who believes in and is committed to the change.
- Get the support of key opinion leaders whose attitudes can make or break the project.
- Identify people who are likely to resist the change, and find out their reasons for doing so.
- Make sure potential resisters understand the potential benefits of the change.
- Let resisters know how their opposition would affect people expected to benefit from the change.
- Seek areas of agreement with resisters.
- Remain open to the possibility that the change may have unexpected drawbacks.
- Invite resisters to contribute to the change by suggesting modifications.
- Show genuine willingness to make justifiable modifications in line with their suggestions.
- Insist that emotional opposition be converted into constructive suggestions.
- Have change supporters present at any important discussion where resisters are likely to be expressing discontent.
- If necessary consider how resisters can be given special incentives.
- Consider taking on resisters by giving them roles in the design or implementation of the change.
How to encourage commitment to change

- People will be more committed if they have helped plan the change.
- Those affected should have as much understanding of the change and its consequences as possible.
- Explain in simple and specific terms how people will benefit from the change.
- Always maintain the self-esteem of those affected by the change.
- Avoid creating win-lose situations wherever possible.
- Collaborate with formal and informal leaders to gain their support.
- Look for ways to change negative concerns into positive opportunities.
- Generate as few surprises as possible.
- Remember that change of any kind demands considerable unlearning as well as learning.
- Lead by example on the job — demonstrate behavioral support and commitment.
- Be willing to admit mistakes and learn from failures.

Related issues

To foster good communications and a participative style of management:

- Treat staff with respect and pay attention to concerns regarding new equipment and work practices.
- Take advantage of staff familiarity with work processes and cultural circumstances, which can hinder or support the introduction of new ways of working.
- Review organizational structure and job design, paying attention to overlapping jobs, boring and routine jobs, unnecessary tiers of management and supervision, and tasks that are outside the project needs.
- Provide a supportive work environment.
- Make the best of the workspace available, although ICT introduction may require additional workspace.
- If required, improve the building infrastructure and the services for its upkeep, so that technology is not exposed to rain, vermin, dust, or humidity).
- As part of this planning process do not ignore health and safety issues.
External expertise, skills, and capacity

“Here lies a man who knew how to enlist into his service better men than himself” (Andrew Carnegie, who coined his own epitaph). With advances in technology and the increasing number of complex systems and specializations, the principle behind Carnegie’s epitaph is even more relevant today.

What this chapter is about

Organizations cannot bring about and sustain improvements in the performance of business activities unless they have the necessary skills to carry out these activities using effective work practices and sound information systems. Organizations need to develop their capacity, in particular the capacity to identify and solve problems, to manage information, and to use IT as a tool for implementing business strategies. But the range of skills needed is very wide and, for certain skills, very specialized. Organizations cannot rely entirely on their in-house capacity. This is particularly so during any project involving business change.

In the planning and implementation of an ICT component task managers should assess what external expertise may be needed: what type, in what quantity, and when. This chapter identifies a set of skill profiles for expertise that may need to be brought to bear.

This chapter also gives some pointers on capacity development in the context of an ICT project component. Task managers should assess what capacity may need to be built within the organization and plan to achieve it.
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6. External expertise, skills, and capacity

The need for external expertise

A project concerned with business change involving the introduction of ICT should not be contemplated without the provision of some form of expertise (whether a consultancy operating as external expertise, or someone from within the organization), an essential ingredient of a sustainable IS project. Different expertise may be required at different stages of the project lifecycle, or several may be involved at any one time. Usually expertise will be required to assist the organization to define, choose, and contract for a system. Most projects will require a multidisciplinary team of external experts through all stages of the loan and component lifecycle. The skills needed from time to time would entail knowledge and experience in terms of:

- Sector or business domain: each sector has its own strategic dimension, particular requirements and, in one or two sectors, an emphasis on particular technologies, which makes previous experience a useful asset
- Management of the design, deployment, and operation of IS
- Technology (that is, of the relevant IT hardware and system software)
- Commerce (that is, the ability to negotiate fair commercial conditions, such as for a fair and realistic contract).

The need may be obvious, as in the case of a project that is in trouble but sometimes problems are less obvious. It is much harder to recognize problems that may evolve along the planning and development route. Remember, consultants cannot work miracles. Expertise is often used too late in ICT projects, especially when it is brought in as an emergency measure to help solve pressing problems. The appropriate use of expertise in the early stages of a project or strategic development creates a good foundation and is more likely to be cost-beneficial than fire-fighting measure later on.

Working with external expertise

Managing this expertise will be an essential ingredient to success.

External expertise is that which is brought in from outside the beneficiary organization. It may be local, regional, or international. Local or regional expertise is less expensive and may establish useful, affordable relationships to meet the organization’s future needs beyond the life of the project. In the field of ICT, however, the best resource may be international.

The organization should have ownership and commitment and should provide the project manager (see Project management below). The tasks of external experts should be to advise, facilitate, build capacity (see section in chapter 6), transfer knowledge, and provide training. This should be based on a joint undertaking between the expert and the client. Best results are achieved when there is mutual trust and full understanding. The aim of should be to help bring about effective and beneficial change, not simply produce neatly bound reports. In the best assignments human interaction changes minds and stimulates action long before a report is written.

This ideal may be incompatible with the demanding contractual obligations when the external expert may, in certain circumstances, have to act as contractor (rather than adviser), or almost as an internal resource adopting a near line management role. Notwithstanding, the relationship should be one of partnership and shared objective.

External experts should provide impartial and independent service. They should advise and act solely in the best interests of their clients. They should accept responsibility for their work, including any subcontracted work. They should bring to the project:

- Specialist knowledge of IS techniques, technology, and management
- Experience from a range of clients
- High level of intelligence
Good practice

- Good analytical skill and the ability to synthesize
- Ability to present and persuade
- Good report writing skills.

Briefing

It is counterproductive to expect external experts to find out everything for themselves. It is the responsibility of the hiring party to provide as much information as possible. A briefing should cover:

- Background information, including history, corporate philosophy, culture, key people and their responsibilities, and relevant documentation
- A discussion of the problem and the work to be undertaken
- Scheduling of time for senior and middle management interviews
- Ensuring the terms of reference (TOR) are thoroughly understood and there is awareness of the depth and emphasis of various parts of the TOR
- Methods and techniques to be employed and what sources to be investigated
- How outputs are to be delivered and how each expert is expected to contribute
- Procedures for monitoring progress and altering course
- Where mixed teams are involved, ensuring individuals have clearly defined responsibilities
- Discussing and agreeing on arrangements for knowledge transfer
- Identifying existing arrangements for carrying forward recommendations.

Assessing the need

Assessing the need for external assistance should be part of the planning process. It will require some knowledge of the organization’s capacity (see chapters 2 and 10). Consideration should be given to:

- The stages of the plan that require inputs from external expertise
- The activities within the stage that require help
- Skills, knowledge, and experience that the expert must have
- Where this expertise may come from, depending on the nature of the component, its complexity, and the project strategy (for example, a turnkey model would mean a greater portion of expertise coming from a single contractor)
- How long the inputs may be required.

The following paragraphs look at these points in turn.

Examples of when to use

External assistance may be needed throughout the lifecycle. Remember, depending on complexity, help may be needed for both the loan preparation and the analysis and design phases of the component, through implementation and closure. Inputs may be appropriate in the following circumstances:

Management and organization

- Where there is lack of experienced management personnel to guide and control matters
- Where there is wide divergence of view on IT and IS matters which is hard to reconcile

Planning

- Where assistance is needed in planning strategically for IT and IS
Good practice

Techniques and topics

External expertise

- Where assessment is needed of IT and IS investment
- Business analysis, feasibility study, full study
- Advice on methods, procedures and standards
- Specialized IT and IS training, such as project management

Development and implementation

- To supplement in-house resource with essential expertise
- Where there are delays

Stages

- Loan and project preparation
- Analysis, specification, and design
- Implementation, supervision, and quality assurance
- Closure and completion

Examples of activities

The activities required of external experts would depend on the project or component (whether a component to produce an IS strategy or implement a system), its complexity (whether a low complexity hardware supply and installation or high complexity business change and automation). Examples of activities that may need help from external expertise follow:

Loan preparation

- Problem analysis
- Institutional appraisal and diagnostics
- Assessment of IT maturity — internally, locally, and regionally
- Project management training

Implementation

- Strategic or business planning
- IS strategy development
- Business diagnostic or business analysis
- Feasibility study
- Full study
- Design of business change program
- Capacity development
- Project management
- Procurement
- Training
- Technical audit

Range of skills

Most organizations will need a range of skills to run their business and, in considering their ICT resource needs, will have to determine whether the resources are available in-house or have to be identified externally. It helps to categorize the types of skills into broad headings and then subheadings as shown in table 8.
Typically a business change project involving ICT will require inputs from a similar range of experts, including:

**Analysts**
- Business — experts who have a good understanding of the sector and business at strategic, tactical, and operational levels. For example, a project to modernize a customs department would require customs officers, even if the project were largely to automate entry processing using package software. The task is to help identify the problems with the business activities and advise on the opportunities for improvement.
- Business and systems — experts with experience in the sector or the target business function (for example, financial management), and in the use of soft systems analysis, structured systems analysis, and modeling (human activity systems, business activity, and work practice models). This may require two (or more) specialists.
- Analysis and programming — experts who will combine investigative, analytical, and design skills with the ability to use software tools or programming languages to produce properly engineered and tested software.

Depending on complexity, this type of expertise will be needed to scope and prepare the loan as well as to be involved at a greater level of detail in the analysis, design, and development of the ICT component. In a medium to high complexity IS component the source of expertise will almost certainly be external to the World Bank and the target organization (avoid “we can do it ourselves”).

**Technical specialists**
These are experts who can advise on or perform the technical aspects of the project. There is a variety of expertise that may be required, including:
- Programming — ability to use software tools or programming languages to produce properly engineered and tested software solutions to meet defined needs
- Database — to provide specialized expertise and practical assistance in the database design and the use of database management systems (knowledge of a proprietary database may be needed)
- Operating system — knowledge of operating systems such as Unix, Microsoft NT
- Hardware — knowledge of hardware and platform architecture configuration and selection, sizing, and so on
- Communication — knowledge of standards and specifications; options include telephone infrastructure, radio, satellite, the Internet, line of sight microwave
- Networks — knowledge of LAN and WAN (see also communication) design and installation including cabling, channels, hubs, switches, routers and so on
Good practice

- Environment — knowledge of physical environment design for ICT including electrical supply, health and safety, security, maintainability
- Security — knowledge of electronic and other technical security tools including telecommunication modems, firewalls, and guarding against criminal or negligent abuse
- Contingency planning — ability to plan, design, and test procedures and mechanisms to protect the availability, integrity, and confidentiality of IS and services from catastrophic failure

Project management

Project management (see chapter 1) arrangements must be designed with the recipient organization and:
- The project manager should be appointed from the recipient organization.
- Project control should be carried out by a project board (or steering committee) at formal assessment meetings and by the project manager at checkpoint meetings.
- Project management training should be included as necessary.

However, depending on complexity and the project or procurement model adopted, external project management expertise should augment the recipient organization capacity. This may be in the form of:
- Consultancy advice provided to the component
- A management firm appointed to provide a range of services (business or system analysis, specifying, design, procurement, software build), including a project management role
- A counterpart project manager provided by the contractor for software or system.

Procurement

Experts with experience in the complexities of ICT procurement can assist in managing the procurement, ensuring good practice, and a transparent process. This knowledge may reside in the business or system analysts. More specifically, the experts can provide help with:
- Finalizing the functional specifications and bidding documents
- Developing appropriate contracts
- Conducting market surveys of possible suppliers
- Producing evaluation criteria and conducting the evaluation
- Carrying out negotiations both technical and commercial or contractual
- Finalizing maintenance and support requirements.

Human resources

A range of HR expertise may be needed, from HR development (assess training needs, develop training plans, modules, training material, and deliver training), to addressing strategic HR issues which may be beyond the ICT component but part of the wider project, ensuring the sustainability of the ICT investment: such as HR policy, senior management development, succession planning, comparative pay studies (particularly for ICT resources), job descriptions, and staff appraisal systems.

Technical audit

Expertise may be needed for
- An independent technical, political, managerial, institutional, and financial audit or assessment of the project or the ICT component
- Software production quality management against acknowledged external criteria (primarily ISO9000)
- Review of business and systems operation or performance, where the performance falls short of the expected, or where an independent assessment of the efficiency of the operational system is required.
Good practice

Technical authorship

Normally the supplier of the software will provide software manuals. System manuals may need to be specially drafted (usually contracted from the software or system supplier). Depending on the complexity (what business processes have been automated and what other processes have been reengineered), manuals of complementary procedures may be required. This should not be underestimated and may require combined business expertise with specialization in technical authorship.

Information system services

A specialist may advise on issues related to running an effective IS service function.

Other activities

- **Audit and control specialists** — to introduce modern systems for audit and control that link to the updated business activities, work practices, and information systems
- **Work study and organizational analysts** — for the study of human and organizational aspects of business activities and advise on optimum staff numbers, grading, and so on
- **Information and data management** — to ensure data are accurate, complete, consistent, locatable, and meaningful when presented to the user (this may be carried out as part of business analysis or as a health check)
- **Records management** — where existing paper-based records need to be modernized
- **Investment appraisal**

Sources of skills

The guidance that can be given here on the sources for the required skills is limited, given the number of different sources. These will range from an in-house expert from the business, to management consultancy firms that developed into the IT field from accountancy and audit, IT and IS consultancy firms, other independent consulting firms, software producers, hardware manufacturers (both of which may also offer some consultancy services), system integration companies, turnkey contractors, training providers, and so on. Only some general pointers can be provided:

- The World Bank's resources are limited for the skills and activities discussed in this chapter. From its own staff the World Bank is unlikely to be able to field resource:
  - For anything but short term input (although it may be provided over the long term, that is over the project lifecycle)
  - For implementation (other than supervision).
- With a few exceptions the World Bank is unlikely to be able to provide its own business experts and analysts, or staff to specify (other than low complexity requirements), design, system engineer, or manage projects. In medium to complex requirements there is also a limit to the diagnostic work that can be carried out during project appraisal, posing a risk to the loan design.
- The World Bank's role in milestone reviews is limited. Although these add value, they are not full health or “fit-for-purpose” checks, but only “no-objections”. There is risk to borrower and World Bank reputation if the impression is given that the World Bank is doing more than confirming that the submission conforms to the requirements of the loan (see section on Technical audit) and the World Bank's guidelines in terms of procurement.
- Generally, the source should show experience in solving the type of problem under examination.
  - Determine if the strength and standing of a large company is needed. Large companies should be able to call upon more resources, be capable of carrying out more research, and be better able to stand by their work and take responsibility for putting it right if things go wrong. But they are usually more expensive.
  - Smaller firms often offer better value for money on small assignments.
  - But the prime factor in choosing expertise remains the quality and experience of the individual who eventually carries out the assignment.
The full range of skills are unlikely to reside in one organization, although larger organizations will be able to identify the resources with the right skills, either from a panel of experts or from a database trawl of consultants registered or via an agency. Where a consulting firm or contractor is being called upon to identify specialist resources, ensure that they have sound policies for recruiting and quality assurance.

Care should be taken with potential conflicts of interest:
- Continuation of the service supplier from phase to phase (see section within chapter 8 on procurement conflicts and the World Bank procurement website for guidance note www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum)
- Consultancy from hardware manufacturers (or suppliers), where an interest in maximizing the sale may conflict with impartial advice
- A turnkey approach will reduce risk by measuring achievement of outputs and products rather than inputs and, by bundling deliverables, simplifying contractual arrangements giving clear recourse to one supplier. However, the approach has its own set of risks, including possible sub-optimal parts of the whole. Turnkey requires careful procurement and management — see section within chapter 8.

Capacity development
Capacity development in the context of ICT components relates to the development of core competencies within the beneficiary organization, for sustained, efficient, and effective use of the ICT resources. The core competencies are:
- Business management encompassing business activities, business rules, and business events
- Information management encompassing information, data, and records management
- IT management
- Project management encompassing benefits, risk, and change management.

Project management is concerned with the full lifecycle of planning, defining requirements, implementing, monitoring, and reviewing.

Key considerations for capacity development
- Requirements should be based on what is required to have a sustainable project.
- There should be a plan that is flexible, based on an agreed set of core competencies.
- There should be activities and outputs within the plan (contained within the log frame) capable of measurement.
- Development should start during the process of identifying opportunities and project preparation, and continue until project completion.
- Remember it will take time — often longer than it takes to put in an ICT system.
- Ensure that line management give the right priority to developing capacity, and will release staff (despite possible conflict with day to day work targets).
- Ensure external expertise obligations include capacity development (not just training) and the experts are capable of skills and knowledge transfer.

Good practice tips for capacity development

Strategic
- Involve the beneficiary organization from the outset in project design, implementation, and review.
- Plan for skills and knowledge transfer from external expertise.
- Base plans on business and IT and IS strategy for the organization (see chapter 9).
- Ensure HR strategy, policy, and management are supportive of developing and sustaining capacity.
- Include capacity development inputs (beyond the primary inputs of external expertise) to address gaps.
Good practice

Techniques and topics

External expertise

Preparation

- Carry out audit of core competencies and capabilities in the business area.
- Provide awareness training to senior management.
- Include visits to other organizations that have undergone similar project interventions.
- Establish sound project management arrangements.
- Support the appointment of the project manager from the beneficiary organization (supported by external expertise as necessary).
- Train the project manager and team in project management skills.
- Identify, with the beneficiary organization, team members to work with the external expert during the preparation and inception phases.
- Incorporate capacity development in the terms of reference for external expertise and include mechanisms for measuring their performance.

Implementation

- Ensure each phase has capacity development inputs, activities, and outputs, and they can be measured.
- Encourage close working between beneficiary organization staff and external expertise.
- Pace progress so that the organization can understand, participate, and contribute.
- Ensure the team has a good understanding of how the business area ought to function and how it actually functions (including strengths, weaknesses, and problems).
- Make full use of the IT skills available within the organization even if this extends the project.

Constraints

- Lack of commitment to capacity development
- Shortages of skilled staff within the organization, or nationally
- Rigid, nontransparent, and bureaucratic public sector recruitment policies
- Inadequate incentives (both penalty and reward) and loss of competent staff to other employers
- Poor quality education and training facilities.

Where to seek further guidance

Elsewhere in this toolkit

Project management (chapter 1), quality management (chapter 3), risk management (chapter 4), change management (chapter 5), and benefits management (annex A to chapter 10)

Procurement planning (chapter 8)

Within the World Bank

When considering an ICT component try and identify a previous project with a similar component. Consult with those closely involved on lessons learned and, in the context of this chapter, how problems might have been mitigated by the timely provision of expertise.

Seek advice from the appropriate ICT resources within the World Bank and outside.
Intellectual property rights and licensing

Copyright is the right of the originator, or successors in title, to control the reproduction of the work. The rights belong to the originator or “author”, unless the contract commissioning the work provides otherwise. In many countries the law has now been clarified, putting owners of software in the same position as regards copying as owners of “literary” works.

Chapter summary

This chapter provides an overview of the meaning of intellectual property rights (IPR) in the context of ICT, particularly software. IPR is important since it determines the purchaser’s freedom to use and maintain software products. The purpose of this chapter is to raise awareness of task teams and to ensure projects are entered into and contracts issued that adequately protect the borrowers’ position. It outlines a preferred policy for ICT outputs of World Bank funded projects, whether they are written or technology related deliverables.

The subject is complex and, particularly for bespoke software, there are no simple solutions to the conflicting requirements of buyer and seller. Annex A to this chapter gives further guidance on IPR for bespoke systems and outlines issues related to source code.
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7. Intellectual property rights and licensing

What is intellectual property?

The inherent flexibility of the computer has led to a vast software industry from which new applications are emerging daily. This gives the market impetus to support development and inventions, the products of which are exploited by licensing. The industry depends on the protection and enforcement of IPR for its existence.

The application of intellectual property law can be of concern for some fundamental reasons. IS can be at the very heart of the way an organization operates. The organization’s ability to continue to operate efficiently, or to maintain its competitive advantage may depend on protecting its right to operate, maintain, and enhance the system it has purchased. These issues have led to developments in intellectual property and contract law, giving the subject an added complexity.

It is important that World Bank funded IS projects are carried out with an awareness of the issues and that steps are taken, through contractual measures, to protect the parties involved. This goes beyond ownership of the copyright in written work. Consideration must be given to the IPR and licenses for all work produced throughout the project lifecycle.

As a generic term

In this toolkit the term IPR is used generically (unless the context requires otherwise), to refer to all forms of rights:

- Copyright
- Patents
- Design (registered or unregistered)
- Trade and service marks
- Trade secrets

IPR is contained in publications, reports, drawings and designs, hardware and software. In the latter context, especially for bespoke software, the issue has particular complexity (and is discussed in more detail in annex A). For the purposes of World Bank funded IS the most important form of IPR is copyright.

The principle

Copyright is the right of the originator, or successors in title, to control the reproduction of the work. It is governed substantially by statute and has extensive international implications through international conventions, allowing protection in signatory countries. The rights belong to the originator or “author”, unless the contract commissioning the work provides otherwise. Any related contract should therefore clarify, by confirming or otherwise, this ownership.

Why is it important to consider IPR?

Impact on system sustainability

An organization’s ability to continue to operate efficiently, or to maintain its competitive advantage may depend on protecting its right to operate, maintain and enhance the system it has purchased. Failure to consider the implications of IPR and to make adequate contractual provision could threaten this right. It is important therefore, that the IPR implications are considered carefully.

Protection of World Bank’s position

In accordance with international obligations, the World Bank should observe strictly the rights and obligations associated with IPR. The World Bank must ensure that it is not responsible through encouragement, negligence, or failure of procedure, for the infringement of IPR. This applies to the IPR arising from work it has directly commissioned, the rights
of third parties (for example third party software), or for IPR related to work that the World Bank is partly or wholly funding.

**Written work**

These guidelines refer to the different purposes for which expertise may be hired throughout the lifecycle of a project (section of chapter 6). Each stage will include written deliverables, reports or recommendations, to which there will be an IPR issue attached. For example:

- Assistance with project preparation
- Feasibility study
- Strategy study
- Business analysis
- Operational and training manual
- Drafting of functional specification

Many of these deliverables will contribute fundamentally to the development of a beneficiary’s organization and therefore, regardless of whether proprietary tools have been used in the preparation of the study or review, the beneficiary must be in a position to make use of the results by building upon, adapting, or releasing the contents to other experts engaged in the project.

**Technology related work**

This includes the existing, off-the-shelf or shrink-wrapped packages, which are always purchased on the basis of a license, and any specially commissioned software. The latter can include a full-bespoke system provided through a formal development contract, or an application developed by experts from a standard (usually database) software package. The latter requires equal care in considering IPR (and other contractual) issues.

**What is the policy?**

It is policy to observe strictly the rights and obligations arising from IPR. Efforts should also be directed at ensuring that staff, consultants and borrowers do not infringe IPR. The following paragraphs give guidance on policy on IPR, as it applies to ICT components.

**For written deliverables**

Where there is a contract of employment (that is, the originator is operating as employee) the IPR (unless the contrary is stated) will vest with the employer. This would apply to World Bank employees. The position for other World Bank funded operatives is less certain and therefore, specific provisions should be included to ensure the position is protected.

Where expertise is contracted, whether via a company or as a single individual, the expert will assume rights, unless specific measures are taken. The policy is not necessarily to claim ownership of the IPR,\(^3\) which the World Bank or its borrowers may not be in a position to defend or exploit commercially. Instead, a broad license from the owner will give sufficient rights. Contracts should therefore seek to:

- Oblige the consultant to take steps to ensure they have ownership of IPR
- Ensure the consultant grants the buyer a license
- [Give entitlement to sublicense]
- Oblige the consultant to indemnify for any infringement of third parties’ IPR
- Oblige the consultant to apply for registered protection of IPR, if required by the buyer.

\(^3\) Although for work contracted for the World Bank’s own use the standard approach is to claim copyright.
In the case of World Bank funding, contracts for external expertise should contain the appropriate clauses (OCS have simple and complex model clauses for use in the special conditions of World Bank consultancy contracts). Where the borrower is contracting the expertise, the borrower should be advised to safeguard their contractual position in a similar manner.

**Technology related work**

**Standard software**

For any software bought with the World Bank’s own funds for the use of a project where the software may be transferred to the borrower at the end of the project, the beneficiary organization should be named at the time of purchase, as the licensee. Any consultant using the software should have contractual obligations placed upon them not to infringe the IPR for World Bank-purchased software, to which they have access.

**System software**

Guidance should be provided to the borrower to ensure their interests are protected. Sample bidding documents (SBD) contain model conditions; as with any models they must be carefully reviewed for suitability in the context of the project, but they form the basis of a recommended approach. These clauses have a similar purpose as the clauses for the consultant’s written work, except that provision for “site license” only is sought.

While the principles may be similar to “literary” copyright, there are different types of software, or parts to the software making up an IS, which call for an expanded set of clauses to deal with copyright:

- Specially written software (written by the contractor for the purchaser)
- Contractor's own software (existing software incorporated into the system)
- Third party software (supplied by the contractor through license arrangements)
- Embedded software (machine readable software, supplied as an integral part of the hardware).

The contract should therefore, seek to:

- Grant licenses for the purchaser to use the software
- Confirm that the purchaser does not acquire ownership of the IPR in the specially written, contractor’s, embedded, or any third party software
- Grant a perpetual, irrevocable, nonexclusive license to the purchaser to use, reproduce, modify, adapt, and enhance the specially written software
- Grant a nonexclusive license to the purchaser for the contractor’s software
- Grant a sublicense to the purchaser for third party software (or procure for the purchaser the granting of a license for the third party software)
- Similarly for the embedded software
- Arrange for the purchaser to be supplied with the source code of the specially written software
- Give the purchaser the right to engage a third party to use, reproduce, modify, adapt, and enhance the specially written software, and to use the contractor’s, embedded, and third party software, subject to the agreement
- Give the purchaser the entitlement to copy for archival and backup purposes the specially written, contractor’s, embedded, and third party software.

In addition, the contract should provide an IPR indemnity in favor of the purchaser for any infringement of third parties’ IPR, and guarantee the contractor that instructions issued by the purchaser to use third party items will not violate the third parties’ IPR. The contract should also warrant confidentiality by having both parties warrant that they shall not disclose confidential information, including the source code, to third parties.
There is no entirely satisfactory way in which to cover IPR in IS. Further guidance of the manner in which IPR applies to systems, including an explanation of source code and the implications of bespoke software, are contained in annex A. If there is any doubt, expert advice should be sought.

**Hardware**

While general copyright principles apply to the design of computer hardware and patent protection is of great importance to the large subsystem or component manufacturers, these have less relevance in the context of a loan for an IS project. The same may be said of other types of IPR such as semiconductor chip rights, design rights, registered design, and trademark. It would be for the hardware or turnkey system supplier to ensure that there is no infringement of these IPR, and contracts for supply should include the supplier's indemnity to this effect.

**Who has responsibilities?**

The following paragraphs summarize the responsibilities of the various parties involved.

**Task managers**

The prime responsibility rests with the task manager who, in designing the projects, must consider the IPR implications and arrange for contractual inputs that ensure project sustainability, while protecting the World Bank's position. The task manager must arrange for the borrower to receive appropriate advice to ensure that IPR issues do not jeopardize the investment.

**External expertise**

Experts will be expected to work within the World Bank policy on IPR. They must also have sufficient understanding to give advice to the borrower or to flag the issue as requiring expert (specialist legal) input.

**Procurement advisers and agents**

For the supply of goods (hardware and software) procurement agents should routinely disclose the principal for whom they are acting and the end-user of the equipment. They should have standard clauses that instruct the supplier to arrange for the software licenses to be made out in the name of the end-user.

Where procurement agents are involved in the procurement process for an IS, they should consult with the borrower and the appointed experts on the requirements for IPR clauses. If it proves necessary during negotiation with the preferred bidder to move away from the standard wording, this also should be done in consultation and with great care.

**Borrowers and beneficiaries**

Borrowers should be advised of their responsibilities for IPR. Care should be taken to ensure that the buyer understands the importance of the IPR, adopts the model clauses, or obtains appropriate advice where it is necessary to move from the model clauses.

**What are the risks?**

The risks are substantial and have the potential to threaten:

- The sustainability of the project
- The sustainability (maintenance and enhancement) of the system
- Legal action for breach of copyright.

The following table expands on these risks and relates them to the types of contract:
### Good practice Techniques and topics Intellectual property rights

#### Table 9 Risks from inadequate contractual provision for IPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Risk and Implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contracts for expertise</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Written deliverables | Originator claims copyright and refuses to allow written deliverable from their input to be released for the benefit of the project or to inform later stages and phases. Ultimately this could mean:  
  - The contract for expertise has to be repeated with consequent waste of funds  
  - Time delay implications for the borrower and the project. |
| System delivered as part of a contract for expertise | The provision of a system in an ad-hoc way (whether intended or occurring in an almost accidental manner) may result in the issues of IPR ownership and maintenance not being adequately considered. It has potential for  
  - No maintenance being provided  
  - Inability to negotiate cost effective maintenance  
  - Legal action for breach of copyright. |
| **Contracts for hardware, software, and system** | |
| Insufficient thought given to contractual provisions for IPR | Borrower is left in a position where there is:  
  - No maintenance being provided;  
  - Inability to negotiate cost effective maintenance  
  - Legal action for breach of copyright. |
| Business case includes competitive advantage provided by the system | Supplier on-sells system to other parties and removes the competitive advantage |

#### Where to seek further guidance

**Within the World Bank**

Legal advisers

**External to the World Bank**

Specialist lawyer on advice from the internal legal advisers. Ensure the borrower or beneficiary seeks assistance from their legal advisers.
IPR in bespoke systems — further guidance

Software licensing

The crucial point, all too often omitted from software contracts, is who owns the software. What is the nature of the right to use it that appears to be conferred? In many countries the law has now been clarified, putting owners of software in the same position as regards copying as owners of “literary” works. There remain considerable problems for the software owners in enforcing the law.

The problem is most acute with PC software. While the position on standard (“shrink wrapped” or off-the-shelf) software is relatively clear, the licensor has potentially the most to lose by neglecting to protect their rights, for their customer may proliferate the package among other potential customers.

In the case of bespoke software the position may be reversed, for the customer may be paying for new software which he hopes will give him a commercial edge over competitors, but may find that the software is sold to competitors at a reduced price. If the transaction does not involve commercial advantage, there is benefit in allowing the software supplier to keep the title since they may increase the number of users of the package and thereby increase the viability of support and enhancement.

There are further issues related to licensing and source code that need to be addressed. These are discussed below.

Source code

Computer programs exist as source code in a high level (eye readable) language that is not directly operable by a computer; and object code, a version on which the computer can work (machine readable). The process of moving from source to object is by compilation and there may be other intermediate codes produced on the way. Both source and object codes are protected by copyright.

The source code is more vulnerable to infringement, as it reveals the originator’s planning and programming methods to achieve a given function. For this reason the source code is usually guarded because, while protected by copyright, the underlying ideas are only protected as trade secrets or confidential information.

In entering into a contract the first position should be for the system supplier to provide the source code for the specially written software to the buyer. It may be necessary to negotiate from this position and agree for each version of the source code to be deposited with a third party (held in escrow), who then is placed under an obligation to deliver the current version to the buyer in the event of failure of the software maintenance contractor (which may be the system supplier), whether a failure of capacity, such as liquidation, or failure to fulfill the terms of the contract.

Contractual contradiction

It is worth expanding on the impact and practicalities of the contractual relationship between buyer and supplier. From the system supplier’s point of view the chief aspect of the title is the anxiety that in parting with all rights the supplier may limit the ability to profit from the programming skills acquired during the course of the work. The recommended approach whereby the supplier retains title and the purchaser is granted a license addresses this issue. It has the added advantage of encouraging the supplier to keep the software maintained. Further, the supplier may sell to other purchasers, expanding the ability to support and improve the software, thus potentially reducing the costs to the buyer.

Where it is important for the buyer (for example, where competitive advantage may be involved) that the title passes to them, there remains an issue of the programming tools and skills used. Tools are different from skills: tools include actual
Coding, a sequence of instructions for handling a type of file which has become a standard for work on particular machines, in particular languages. Skills are wider and not so much solutions to particular programming problems as the approach to programming and design. The supplier may be prepared to grant the title but wish to retain the programming tools and skills.

There is an implicit contradiction in this definition as the title to the software is the title to the programs written and includes the programming tools and skills. This can be tackled by reserving to the supplier the programming tools and skills, but this merely transfers back to the supplier a license to use these tools. This is satisfactory provided the supplier does not try to use them for another client. It is evident that these issues are breaking new ground and are insufficiently tested. Consequently, there is no entirely satisfactory clause that covers the point, but if both sides are aware of the issues the need for legal intervention may be avoided.

**Maintenance**

It is important for a World Bank funded IS that adequate provision for maintenance is built into the arrangements. The originator of a system cannot assert rights in intellectual property to prevent the buyer of a system from maintaining that system at an economical price and in an efficient manner. Further, the buyer needs to be able to continue to maintain the system in the event that the contractor or software supplier goes out of business.

Maintenance of software requires the correction of errors in the program as supplied, or the enhancement of the facilities or performance. It usually involves reproduction of parts of the program. The software license should include express provisions for maintenance either by the licensor (at reasonable prices), or by the licensee. In the latter case the license must include the right to copy or adapt for this purpose. Either way the source code is essential to effect maintenance.

**Escrow arrangements**

It is common for invitations for bids to specify that the buyer would require a copy of the source code for all software. It may be appropriate (and hence be possible to negotiate) for a largely bespoke system where the nature of the business of the buyer makes it essential for reasons of competitive advantage. It is likely to increase the cost of the development.

Except in the circumstances described, provision of the full source code is unlikely. Most contractors will offer the alternative of placing the source code in escrow. This is an arrangement whereby for a fee a third party will enter into an agreement to receive the source code, releasing it to the buyer only in the event of certain conditions: usually only if the buyer is being prevented from economic or efficient maintenance, or the contractor or software supplier is going out of business.

Several recognized escrow service providers exist, operating to standard terms and conditions.

**Open-source software**

**Definition**

Open-source software (OSS) are software programs whose licenses give users the freedom to run the program for any purpose, to modify the program, and to freely redistribute either the original or modified program without further limitations or royalty payments. It is differentiated from “shareware” or “freeware”. While there is agreement on the broad term “open source”, the term has lost some of its precision. Examples of OSS include the Linux operating system (possibly the most visible), Netscape’s Navigator, and the Apache web server.

The basic idea is simple: when programmers can read, redistribute, and modify the source code for a piece of software, the software evolves. This can happen rapidly. It is argued by proponents, and disputed by commercial software developers, that OSS improvements are more rapid and produce better software than the traditional closed model. Data on market penetration are confusing or incomplete.
OSS does not just mean access to source code. Distribution terms are intended to comply broadly with the following criteria:

- Free distribution: the license shall not restrict any party from selling or giving away the software as a component of an aggregate software distribution containing programs from several different sources. The license shall not require a royalty or other such fee for sale.
- Source code: the program must include source code. It must be the preferred form in which a programmer would modify the program. Deliberately obfuscated or intermediate forms are not allowed.
- Modifications: the license must allow modifications and derived works and allow them to be distributed under the same terms as the original.
- Integrity of the originator’s source: the license may restrict source code from being distributed in modified form only if it allows the distribution of “patch files” with the source code for the purpose of modifying the program at build time. The license must explicitly permit distribution of software built from modified source code.
- Discrimination: the license must not discriminate against any person or groups of persons or any specific fields of endeavor.
- Distribution of license: the rights attached to the program must apply to all to whom the program is redistributed without the need for execution of an additional license by those parties.
- Not specific to a product: the rights attached to the program must not depend on the program being part of a particular software distribution.
- Not restrict other software: the license must not place restrictions on other software distributed along with the licensed software. For example, the license must not insist that all other programs distributed on the same medium are OSS.

**OSS copyright and licenses**

The simplest way to make a program free is to put it in the public domain without claims of copyright. This allows sharing and improvements. However, it also allows conversion to proprietary software. The alternative is a copyright statement and distribution terms, a license arrangement sometimes referred to as “copyleft”, a reversal of the original meaning. Versions of licenses are available from the Internet — see www.opensource.org/licenses/ — as are details of other products. This site and other such sites also reveal some of the debate and controversy surrounding the subject. The thinking behind OSS is still evolving, as are its implications in the commercial world.
Procurement planning and project models

Procurement planning should start at the beginning of the project. Decisions on the nature of the system will have direct implications for procurement, for the phasing of the project, for the type of expertise required, for the number and scale of procurements, for the choice of SBD and types of contracts and for the relationships between the parties involved.

What this chapter is about

ICT and IS procurement has particular complexity. It requires care to avoid problems. This chapter is not the definitive guide to ICT procurement, but it discusses the importance of procurement and the need to develop a procurement strategy and plan during the project preparatory phases.

In some respects, measures for optimal ICT procurement conflict with the World Bank’s well-constructed and robust procedures for procurement. For good reasons, the World Bank’s procedures are dominated by transparency and administrative imperatives. But these would not constitute best practice in a commercial environment and there is a trade-off between openness and transparency, and lower quality components and projects. Some of these issues are discussed and guidance given on an acceptable approach and safeguard measures.

Brief notes are given on the selection of SBD.

Finally, several examples of possible commercial structures for ICT components giving options for the phasing and the role/relationships of external contractors are given.
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8. Procurement planning and project models

Importance of procurement

A successful ICT component is not solely a procurement issue. The problems and risks to success should not have to be “sorted out” during the procurement process. There are procurement risks. If the requirement is not specified properly, if the right supplier and solution are not selected, and if the contract is weak, then business, financial, and legal problems can arise. Only some of these risks will be addressed by good procurement practice. Nevertheless, a well-managed procurement is fundamental.

Conflict with World Bank guidelines

ICT procurement shares with other World Bank funded procurement the need to be free, fair, and transparent. There are also common issues of value for money. However, there are several complexities to ICT procurement (for which it has been necessary to produce special SBD). These complexities can appear to lead to conflict with World Bank procurement guidelines:

Proprietary products

There is a particular difficulty with the World Bank’s normal strict obligation to open procurements for functionally equivalent products (refer to Guidelines — Procurement under IBRD Loans and IDA Credits):

- The software industry is dominated by de facto monopoly suppliers.
- Organizational and national IT and IS strategies can lead to strong borrower preference.
- Certain sectors have limited product choice.

Therefore, the World Bank’s approach to brand names and single sourcing needs to be considered at an early stage of procurement planning. Single sourcing (or direct contracting) would need to be justified per World Bank rules. The World Bank effectively makes a special case for ICT procurements (see procurement guidance note www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum). In documenting and reviewing requests for exceptions to the guideline on use of brand names at least one of the following four main arguments must apply strongly:

- Required hardware and software platform for proposed application
- “de facto” industry standard
- Enterprise technology standard
- Prior material investments

A case may also be developed based on the organizational or national IT and IS strategy, for example the standardized use of one of the major relational database management systems, or specialized products such as geographical information systems (GIS). A “prior material investment” justification would usually apply in these circumstance but not if the adoption of the strategy is recent. In these circumstances the case should be reviewed objectively. In the event that an initial decision goes against the case, it may also be reversed through the persuasiveness of task managers, the client, or the consultants.

Conflict of interest

Complexity can create a strong argument for consultant or contractor continuation from phase to phase. See section Contractor continuation below.

Two stage bidding

For more complex ICT requirements the exact solution and what the market may have to offer can be uncertain. Specifications are often best expressed in business and functional terms and in a nonprescriptive manner, leaving the
market to proffer solutions. A two-stage bid process allows the bids to inform the requirement, allowing adjustment to an optimal solution and reducing risk to both buyer and seller. The process however, increases the effort for buyer and seller, is less obviously transparent, and can lead to accusations of misprocurement (partly because the supplier that submits the best offer at stage one may not, ultimately, be successful).

These issues are discussed further below and in more detail in the IT guidance notes produced by the procurement unit (and available from their web site opcs.worldbank.org/p/index.html)

**What is being procured?**

Let us start at the beginning. What is being procured? For ICT components in the context of a World Bank loan there will always be some procurement aspect — at least one procurement and at one or more levels of the delivery (for example for a turnkey, or for technical assistance, or for technical infrastructure or some combination).

The difficulty in structuring the guidance in this chapter arises from the question “what is being procured?”:

- **Goods** (hardware and off the shelf software — and maintenance)? This should be relatively straightforward and is not really the subject of this chapter. However, if the quantity or value is high enough, the risk from exposure is such that great care needs to be taken. Advice should be sought on technical issues — a small technical oversight can lead to major waste. In addition there may be a range of soft issues, such as whether the major investment is really going to produce the benefits anticipated.

- **Services**? If services, is it consulting services, or support and maintenance services?

- **A system**? If a system, how complex? Will it be broken down into packages, and if so how?

For medium to high complexity systems there is another significance to the question “what is being procured?” and that is: “what is the system to do?” Defining the data needs is relatively straightforward (what outputs, what reports, what management information may be needed) but automated processes are more difficult, leading to possible ambiguity in specification:

- What processes?
- How do they work?
- What are the business rules?

Here are further questions:

- Are there multiple sites? If so what processes will be undertaken at the local level, what at the central? How will the data be shared between the local and central offices, and how frequently is it necessary to update data between the two?

- What of the capacity of the organization? What help will they need? What support and maintenance services will be needed? What level of maturity of service is available from the private sector locally or regionally?

The answers to these questions will have significant implications for the system: for its complexity, cost, how it is to be delivered, what expertise will be required. The earliest consideration needs to be given to these issues and what they will mean in terms of the procurement strategy.

**Procurement planning**

The previous section should have illustrated that it is difficult to separate the planning associated with the design of the system from that associated with procurement; both are important and interrelated elements in the strategy for the component. A systems plan that does not take account of the procurement process from the beginning is likely to run into difficulties later on. The procurement planning should create a structured decision chain that complements the systematic management of the rest of the component.
Procurement planning therefore, should start at the beginning of the project. Decisions on the nature of the system will have direct implications for procurement, for the phasing of the project, for the type of expertise required, for the number and scale of procurements, for the choice of SBD and types of contract and for the relationships between the parties involved. As examples, decisions on the following will have an impact on the procurement arrangements:

- Scale of the system
- Package or bespoke software
- Use of prototyping, replicating
- Any sole source need
- Capacity of the beneficiary organization
- Need for external expertise

Having considered the implications from the outset and established a procurement strategy and plan, the decisions should be revisited at the various stages mapped out in the plan. At each stage additional information will be available to inform decisions.

An iterative approach allows the procurement to be “sliced” into manageable portions: for risks to be identified, steps to be taken to minimize risk, and risks to be revisited in the light of developments. New risks may be identified or at least become recognized as having a greater significance. Factors influencing the procurement strategy are primarily concerned with risk identification and reduction and (in addition to the issues of scope listed at above) will be influenced by:

- Length and rigidity of timescales for implementation
- Priorities of the business benefits to be achieved
- Possibility of change to the organization’s operations
- The technical and project management skills available.

Remember, project and procurement timescales are always longer than anticipated.

**Hiring consultants**

Issues related to hiring consultants for an ICT project are not significantly different from those in projects without any ICT component.

The procurement plan should include consultancy inputs. Remember, depending on complexity, help may be needed for both the loan preparation and for the analysis and design phases of the component, through implementation and closure.

Potential external assistance needs, including consultants, are discussed in chapter 6. Using consultants is invariably expensive and there is a clear need for a businesslike approach. By following good practice good results and value for money can be achieved.

**Defining requirements**

It is obviously very important to analyze accurately the problem which needs consultancy support. Some effort should be expended in consultation with the organization. Sometimes it is apparent that there are problems but there is no clear way of resolving them. In such cases an initial survey will help define a fuller study. With particularly difficult tasks more than one study may be needed. Remember to define tasks as specifically and correctly as possible in order to avoid imprecise or unwanted recommendations and unnecessary costs.

**Terms of reference**

The terms of reference should include the following principal elements:

- Definition of the problem and statement of the task to be undertaken
Good practice

- Relevant background information
- Scope functionally, organizationally, and geographically
- Timescale and phasing
- Statement of skills, experience, and qualifications
- Description of how the consultants and organizational staff are to work together
- Description of knowledge transfer
- Outline of control mechanisms envisaged for steering and monitoring the work
- Statement of any constraints, standards, or particular quality requirements
- Output, deliverable or product.

Sizing

It is good practice, and often necessary for budgetary purposes, to try and size the task before approaching the industry. Is it a twenty-day or a forty-day task? Must it be completed by a required date? This can be done by breaking down the task into a number of parts (a logical sequence of events), and then estimating how long each will take: for example, research and familiarization, interviewing, analysis and consultation, report writing, meetings and presentations, final editing. For interviews a list of locations and the number of people will give further indication of size and cost.

Complex systems

Components that involve large, complex systems engineering work should be done in phases. A typical phasing strategy consists of:
- Project strategy and system scope
- Request for information (RFI), prequalification, and request for proposal (RFP)
- System engineering
- System implementation
- System maintenance

Linked to these phases there are likely to be four procurement tracks:
- System specification and project management support services
- System engineering/integration services
- Supply and maintenance of technology
- Independent technical audit services

There are conflicts of interest issues here — see options for Contractor continuation below.

Turnkey

Said to originate in the building and furnishing industries, the idea is that at the end of a contract the contractor provides “a key” to a new computer room with a fully working system. Responsible for the provision of hardware and software and maintenance for both, the main advantage of a turnkey or single responsibility contract is that the purchaser only deals with one supplier. Thus, in the event of nonperformance, it is not necessary to decide if the failure is one of hardware or software. The clear line of recourse is to go to one supplier for a remedy. This contrasts with the situation with two contracts (one for hardware and one for software), where each supplier may be tempted to blame the other. Performance of the maintenance and support provisions of the contract (and it is important that this is procured and contracted simultaneously) is measured by the availability of the system to meet the requirements specified. In other words the requirement is defined as far as possible in terms of a product (although in fact it is largely services being procured).

This arrangement is particularly valuable where the beneficiary organization lacks the capacity:
To manage multiple contractors and suppliers
For system administration and diagnosis of system faults.

The negative aspects of the turnkey concept are:

- The risk that the supplier will deliver the minimum that can be got away with, or having underestimated the costs (and with the contract price being fixed) will attempt to economize, very often in the areas of documentation and maintenance support
- By leaving the contractor to devise a system solution rather than prescriptively give specification details for the system elements there is a risk of the different elements being suboptimal.

It is important therefore, that the turnkey supplier is managed, and that those responsible for approving the award of a turnkey contract satisfy themselves that the solution provides sufficient quality, and that proper arrangements for maintenance of both hardware and software have been included, before awarding the contract.

Contractor continuation

Conflict of interest

In most medium to complex components there will be need for preimplementation consultancy work, appointment of a system supplier, system supply, and the management of system implementation. However, borrowers often face a counterproductive impact from World Bank conflict of interest guidelines. These recognize that the involvement of a company in any upstream work (such as consultancy in drawing up plans or system specification) will have the potential for:

- Bias in system specification — designing with a given product in mind is of concern as it may skew the specification in favor of the incumbent’s (potentially substandard or inappropriate) solution
- Information asymmetries — can translate into unfair advantage for downstream work
- Personal or early knowledge (a form of information asymmetry) — particularly when this type of advantage operates in a dishonest way.

These may lead to a suboptimal solution or criticism that the process was not handled in a transparent manner.

Conflict of considerations

On the other hand, expertise in system applications for many business areas often resides with companies that have acquired their knowledge through involvement in all phases of the project cycle. Thus, firms best able to define the business requirement and its scope are often best able to implement the project. Further, the flexibility to contract a single party to take responsibility for all stages of a project provides important continuity benefits as well as advantages of simplification of the contractual relationships. But expert firms best able to deliver upstream work often abstain from participation in order not to disqualify themselves from the implementation phases. This may deprive World Bank clients of a significant talent pool at a time when this talent may have the most impact. The uncertainties of a complex system component may, in any event, discourage the buyer, and probably the seller, from entering into a single responsibility contract from the outset.

A time-tested approach is to divide the project into phases and enter into no wholesale commitment with a single contractor. Division into phases however, should not be at the expense of acquiring the best expertise for each phase.

These conflicting considerations are difficult to resolve. To what degree should risks of conflict of interest be offset by the negative impact of disallowing contractor continuation? If contractor continuation is desirable, under what safeguards can it be allowed?

---

4 See Guidelines: Selection and Employment of Consultants by World Bank Borrowers, section on Conflict of Interest.
Services rather than goods and works

World Bank guidelines already acknowledge that continuation of downstream contracts is often in the interests of the borrower. If the implementation contracts are viewed as goods contracts, the policy is unequivocal — “A firm which has been engaged to provide consulting services for the preparation or implementation of a project…shall be disqualified from subsequently providing goods or works under the same project.” From a business perspective however, contracts for a systems project (software engineering and system integration) are more properly considered as consulting services, with enhanced delivery obligations and liability on the part of the contractor; and the concept of competitive continuity in consulting services is already established.

Conflict of interest in perspective

Bias in system specification — expert firms can rapidly demonstrate the bias in a rigged specification and encouraging expert review by the IT industry can create an environment of accountability. However, bidders may prefer not to challenge publicly, thus casting doubt on a client’s impartiality. Further measures are necessary.

Information asymmetries — inside knowledge may in any event be gained from other types of contact between client organization and bidding firms. Good practice in disclosure obligations, high documentation standards, allowance of sufficient preparation time and flexible and liberal bid clarification and site visit provisions, all will help to close information gaps. Provided that bidders perceive the evaluation process as being fair they will not be discouraged if:

- They believe they have full information on the problem to be solved
- They are confident of their capacity relative to the incumbent
- They deem their capacity is sufficient to overcome any information advantage of the incumbent.

Personal or early knowledge — this type of advantage can operate as a result of normal business practice, such as prior engagements outside the scope of the World Bank’s loan. It is considered “fair game” by all parties in competitive procurement. Competing bidders know that if past performance had not been acceptable there would be disadvantage to the incumbent. If satisfactory, then this is fair advantage. It is when this type of advantage operates in dishonest ways, with knowledge fraudulently acquired, that there is a problem. Unfortunately, fraud is neutralized neither by existing conflict of interest guidelines, nor by the measures proposed here. To conclude, personal or early knowledge is not per se a condition of conflict of interest under the World Bank guidelines, which do not prohibit it from being used even as a qualification criterion. Therefore, the issue narrows to the concerns of specification bias and information asymmetries, for which the safeguards are the same.

Continuation options

Continuation of the incumbent between upstream system specification work and downstream system engineering or system integration contracts can be allowed as a procurement strategy under certain circumstances and subject to safeguards:

Specify-and-engineer option

- Under this type of continuation, a firm may provide consulting services to develop the specification and the functional design of a complex system and continue to perform the engineering of the same system (detailed design, construction, testing, and deployment) under two scenarios:
  - The incumbent qualifies for, and wins, a subsequent competitive procurement of the system engineering services.
  - The borrower, in agreement with the World Bank, decides to forfeit competitive bidding of the downstream systems engineering contract and assign it directly to the incumbent.
- This continuation option facilitates procurement of complex, technology neutral IS because neither the client nor the contractor is forced, at the time of the upstream system specification contract, to make decisions related to the downstream contract, for which neither party, typically, has sufficient information.
- Under the specify-and-engineer option, procurement of the technology platform must occur separately and the incumbent firm is disqualified from that procurement.
Other procurement strategies may be indicated for technology neutral systems. Seek advice from procurement staff.

Specify-and-integrate option

- Under this type of continuation, a firm may develop the specification and the functional design of a system and then compete for the system integration contract for the same system, including system engineering (detailed design, construction, testing, and deployment); supply of the hardware and commercial software; and installation, conversion, training, and testing services, to achieve operational acceptance of the system.
- This continuation option will facilitate procurement of certain complex IS such as process control, payments processing, environmental monitoring, and electronic government systems. In these and similar systems, technology integration problems are of paramount importance, and expertise on the entire project lifecycle resides most frequently with specialized systems integration firms.

Safeguards

Both continuation options need special safeguards to avoid real or apparent conflict of interest. The objective of these is to prevent the exercise of bias in the upstream contract and to ensure that any remaining unfair advantages of the incumbent is rapidly identified and corrected during procurement for the downstream contract.

At a basic level, continuation options must be:

- Agreed upon between the borrower and the World Bank in advance of the request for proposal for the upstream contract. Ideally this would happen as part of the project procurement plan or at the earliest time during formulation of the procurement strategy for the IT component of the project.
- Announced as part of general and specific procurement notices and in invitations to bid.

Upstream contract safeguards

The terms of reference for the upstream contract must include the following provisions to neutralize the information asymmetry advantages of the incumbent in competing for the second-phase contract:

- Contractor must be clearly obligated to develop a system specification suitable for implementation with technology provided by a majority of the relevant industry suppliers, and to document explicitly any design aspect that may operate in favor of their own downstream solution.
- Incumbent contractor must be required to document all critical parameters of the client’s business that affect the subsequent design of a technical solution. There should be an explicit understanding that these reports will eventually be shared with other bidders, as part of the technical specifications for the downstream system engineering or integration contract.
- For system specification and functional design outputs (including entity-relationship diagrams, data flow diagrams, data dictionary entries, and display output specifications), the incumbent contractor must adhere to industry-standard documentation and diagramming conventions and include all essential business functions (that is, those directly addressing business requirements irrespective of technology constraints).
- The incumbent contractor is disqualified from participating in all work related to the preparation of bidding documents for the downstream contract.

Downstream contract safeguards

Preventive safeguards discussed for the upstream contract must be complemented during procurement for the downstream contract.

- Full documentation, as part of the technical specifications, of the client’s technology strategy, business operations, peak transaction volumes, and so on. The documentation should be consistent with the related requirements for the upstream contract specified above.
- Unless fraud is alleged, hold bidders accountable for raising issues of specification bias exclusively through the bid clarification process.
Conclusion

Two medium-term policy decisions of governments and the World Bank would be strong safeguards for fairness in contractor continuation and would help improve IT procurement across the board. They are:

- Encourage the use of Internet-based public procurement systems that allow communication among the parties in a bidding process at any time, from anywhere in the world.
- Establish a fast-acting dispute resolution mechanism, such as a standing panel of experts from several countries charged with resolving disputes through virtual deliberations over the Internet.

The enhanced documentation standards and process safeguards specified are likely to facilitate contractor continuation options in complex systems procurements, when these options would be to the advantage of World Bank borrowers.

Some of the items included above must be implemented at the time of drafting the terms of reference for the upstream system specification contract, and they require no change to the standard bidding documents. Other items involve changes to the invitation for bids and the instructions to bidders in the downstream system engineering or integration contract. Check that the documents proposed are suitable.

This is a complex and critical area. A more detailed discussion of this topic and World Bank policy is provided by IT procurement guidance notes available on the procurement web site: www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum. If in doubt seek advice.

Models

Annex A provides eleven different models of possible commercial structures for ICT components — the parties, their responsibilities, and the number of contractors involved. Notes against each structure describe their merits and suitability for different circumstances. Some assume substantial in-house capacity (reduced need for external expertise). Some place more emphasis on transparency than, possibly, on the optimum solution. Nine of the models would be acceptable under normal World Bank guidelines. Two (10 and 11) would not satisfy the normal transparency drivers and should be considered in the context of the contractor continuation considerations described above.

Evaluation

The more complex the requirement, the greater the pitfalls in using price as the sole or major evaluation criterion. In general the World Bank’s guidelines have price as a lower weighting for goods than for services (where issues of understanding track record and individual experience become dominant). A system procured on a turnkey basis provides a useful example. Although requirements may be phrased as if the supply is of products (hardware, software, system availability), in reality the contractor is providing a series of services (analysis, design, integration, installation, training, support and maintenance). As such evaluation criteria for services with a low weighting for price may be more appropriate. Broad guidelines have been developed for inclusion of nonprice factors, consistent with the nature and complexity of the goods and services being procured. See the IT procurement guidance note available on the procurement web site for further comment: www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum.

Support and maintenance

In the past there have been problems with procurement of IT maintenance and technical support services. Care should be taken to avoid these problems including:

- Linking of supply and maintenance contracts
- Extending validity of performance securities into the maintenance period
- Contracting arrangements that reflect commercial terms and conditions
- Using World Bank funds to finance up to three years of maintenance
- Treatment of warranty services as special type of maintenance period services.
The World Bank’s IT SBD may need to be amended accordingly. See the guidance note on the procurement website: www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum.

Choice of SBD

The World Bank’s SBD for IT comes in two broad varieties: for goods together with installation and support and maintenance services, and for services alone. Many comprehensive services combine both aspects. In conceptualizing projects, allowances are needed for procurement and contract models that encompass both goods and significant consulting as well as other services such as expert support, facility management, operational support, training.

Further assistance with choosing the right SBD is provided in part 1, chapter 3. See also the guidance note on the procurement website: www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum. This is summarized as follows:

Single stage
Use single-stage SBD for supply and installation of low to medium complexity IS where the required solution is certain and does not need to be informed by the bid process.

Two stage
Use two-stage SBD for supply and installation of medium to high complexity IS, system engineering or system integration procurements where the required solution is uncertain (and needs to be informed by the bid process) or where the buyer is open to different solutions.

Language versions

While many countries will use or accept English as the language for commercial transactions, particularly those involving international suppliers, certain non-English-speaking countries will expect to issue bid documents in their own languages. Translations of SBD are not available in all the languages. This could have an impact on bringing the World Bank’s latest pooled knowledge of best practice to everyone. Even greater care therefore needs to be exercised in selecting the SBD and in adapting the version selected to the procurement need.

Where to seek further guidance

Elsewhere in this toolkit
See also sections on external expertise and developing capacity

Within the World Bank

When considering an ICT component try and identify a previous project with a similar component. Consult with those closely involved on lessons learned and, in the context of this chapter, how problems may be mitigated by the timely provision of expertise. Seek advice from appropriate ICT resources, even if it is only to know where to seek advice, within the World Bank and outside.

The World Bank procurement department, the staff of which have a breadth of experience, are seeking to incorporate the lessons they have learned in guidance material and SBD. In particular in the ICT context the procurement staff understand the potential conflict between transparency and optimum results, and will be able to give advice on the latest thinking in relaxing or interpreting the strict procurement guidelines to suit the particular circumstance.

See also the procurement web site: www.worldbank.org/itprocurementforum.

External to the World Bank

1. Implies sufficient in–house capability for the strategic planning and design work.
2. Implies sufficient in–house capability for the development and implementation work.
3. Implies sufficient in–house capacity to manage several suppliers (including the in–house supplier).
4. Fits the standard ‘transparency’ drivers.
5. In principle, leads to better subcomponents of technical infrastructure.
6. Increases administration work load.
7. Can lead to interoperation problems.
8. Can lead to conflict concerning under–performance (1) between technical infrastructure and application and (2) between technical infrastructure suppliers.

1. Implies sufficient in–house capability for the strategic planning and design work.
2. Implies sufficient in–house capability for the development and implementation work.
3. Fits the standard ‘transparency’ drivers.
4. Can lead to method conflict between the in–house team and co. #1 concerning under–performance issues.
5. Provides the advantages and disadvantages of turn–key supply for the IT, e.g. some inferior components in the products and services.
6. Increases administration work load.
7. Can lead to interoperation problems.
8. Can lead to conflict concerning under–performance (1) between technical infrastructure and application and (2) between technical infrastructure suppliers.
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**Structure 4**
**Out-sourced IS / integrated IT**

1. Implies sufficient in-house capability for the strategic planning and design work.
2. Fits the standard ‘transparency’ drivers
3. Can lead to method conflict between the in-house team and co. #1 and some re-work by co. #1.
4. Provides the advantages and disadvantages of turn-key supply.

**Structure 5**
**Full turn key**

1. Implies sufficient in-house capability for the strategic planning and design work.
2. Fits the standard ‘transparency’ drivers
3. Can lead to method conflict between the in-house team and co. #1 and some re-work by co. #1.
4. Provides the advantages and disadvantages of turn-key supply.

**Structures 6 to 8**
**Structures 2 to 4 with design assistance**

1. Fits the standard ‘transparency’ drivers
2. Can lead to loss of knowledge and method discontinuity between co. #1 and subsequent companies.
3. Other comments pertaining to structures 2 to 4.
4. Provides for international experience and good practice in design.
1. Fits the standard ‘transparency’ drivers
2. Can lead to loss of knowledge and method discontinuity between co. #1 and co. #2.
3. Provides the advantages and disadvantages of turn-key supply.
4. Provides for international experience and good practice in design.

Company #1
for ISSP / System Design

Company #2
for turn-key (single responsibility) for information technology (technical infrastructure) and application software and implementation services and support and maintenance services

Project Implementation Unit
with or without Company #1 for Project Supervision of company 2

1. Does not fit the standard ‘transparency’ drivers
2. Closer to normal commercial relationships.
3. Efficient but requires fair and honest relationship between purchaser and supplier.
4. Provides the advantages and disadvantages of turn-key supply of IT.
5. Provides opportunity for conflict between suppliers over application performance.
6. Provides for international experience and good practice in design.
7. Provides an informed two-stage process with no loss of knowledge or method conflict.

Company #1
for ISSP / System Design

Company #2
for information technology (technical infrastructure) and installation services and support and maintenance services

Project Implementation Unit
with or without Company #3 for Project Supervision.

1. Does not fit the standard ‘transparency’ drivers
2. Closer to normal commercial relationships.
3. Efficient but requires fair and honest relationship between purchaser and supplier.
4. Provides the advantages and disadvantages of turn-key supply.
5. Provides for international experience and good practice in design.
6. Provides an informed two-stage process with no loss of knowledge or method conflict.

Company #1
for ISSP / System Design

Company #2
for turn-key (single responsibility) for information technology (technical infrastructure) and application software and implementation services and support and maintenance services

Project Implementation Unit
with or without Company #2 for Project Supervision.
IS and IT strategy

In principle any continuing enterprise of significance should be functioning on the basis of a strategic plan, especially one for IS and IT. It is good practice to initiate the process when the organization anticipates embarking upon a program of broad impact or significant investment.

What this chapter is about

An IS and IT strategy for an organization seeks to align IS and IT and investment with the current and future direction of the business for enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of the organization. This chapter provides an overview of the rationale of IS and IT strategy, the content that might be expected within an IS and IT strategy, and the process to develop the strategy.

Annex A provides an alternative, if inferior, approach of a strategic “snapshot” where an ICT component is initiated but no IS and IT strategy exists. The tacit or undocumented strategies of key stakeholders are documented to pave the way for the execution of the component. Annex B presents a perspective on the role, content, and process of a national ICT strategy. Annex C gives a brief outline of soft systems methods that may complement harder engineering methods in complex and unstructured problem situations. Annex D lists political, technical, managerial, and institutional factors that are crucial to the success of strategic IS projects.

There are many different, sometimes proprietary, methods that can be applied. The information here is intended to be generic to most methods.
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9. IS and IT strategy

Introduction

In principle all ICT, IS, IT projects should be set in a context laid out in an IS and IT strategy. The IS and IT strategy seeks to identify IS and services that support the corporate objectives, policies, and procedures.

IS may be purely that — an information system — that is, primarily a vehicle for presenting information. More usually, and certainly for greater worth, IS may be process orientated where the process uses and manipulates data, typically to improve efficiency and effectiveness, and enhance the processing of some business transaction. These might be called computer-aided business systems that do not merely report upon data about activity but leverage production from IT. The presentation of information for operational and senior management is a byproduct of such systems.

In vernacular, the term IS has come to mean many things. It may mean:

- Personal systems — small systems and tools used by an individual
- Office systems — interconnected (through networks, files services, or mail services) or disconnected and individual tool sets that are used for the preparation of documents, spreadsheets, graphics, presentations, and so on
- Data capture systems — some scheme whereby data external to a computer are brought into it, usually by entry at a keyboard but also by optical character recognition (OCR) scanners or bar code readers, usually en masse, and invariably as input for some other purpose
- Imaging systems — a scheme whereby a readable document is scanned to create a digital image that can be stored and organized for retrieval and presentation as an image. Invariably digitized images are input for some other purpose, often as part of a workflow system.
- Image character recognition (ICR) systems — an extension to the imaging systems where the digital image is analyzed to recognize the content, in order that the content may be digitally manipulated. ICR is usually used in processing en masse, and invariably as input for some other purpose, often as part of a workflow system or more traditional processing systems.
- Management information systems (MIS) — use of data captured either as part of the same system or via another subsystem (a data capture system or transaction processing system) to provide routine and ad hoc analysis of aggregates, counts, comparisons, tables, and other summaries to provide information, for example, of organizational performance. The worth and effectiveness of MIS that is not a byproduct of some other system must be questioned on the grounds of process superfluity.
- Transaction processing systems — online systems involving operators on terminal equipment (usually personal computers) interacting with transaction processing and database servers to perform some part of a service to clients, such as entry of motor vehicle registration details and issue of certificates, entry of unemployment records and printing of social welfare checks, entry of in-patient records and scheduling of ward, procedures, and theatre.
- Geographical information systems (GIS) — systems that combine digitization of spatial images with statistical data and static descriptions that enable, in particular, analysis and presentation by spatial parameters.
- Workflow systems — transaction processing using tools that extend and facilitate the design by encoding an organization’s process flow, such as encoding the path of an insurance claim from the mail room through the review process, premium confirmation, to payment.
- e-business systems — subsystems, using the Internet as the connection layer to enable global anywhere, anytime interaction with other IS.
- Executive information systems (EIS) — tools to collate and summarize data and present information pertinent to the decision processes of executives. Most existing IS deal with data generated internally through internal processes or through dealings with the “customers” of the organization. However, strategic information — information that is of most use to the executive — originates externally. The executive, while retaining
responsibility for effective and efficient management of the organization, has the special role of scanning the external environment of the organization. EIS seek to fill this niche. There have been many experiments, however, truly effective EIS remains elusive.

- Decision support systems — tools that help decision-makers use data and models to solve semistructured and unstructured problems.
- Expert systems — interactive systems constructed using a tool set with an inference engine that applies specialized symbolic reasoning to a database of encoded knowledge to perform at a level of competence better than that of nonexpert humans, for example, Banker credit evaluator, Dipmeter oil location advisor, Mycin medical diagnostician.

The foregoing is illustrative and certainly not exhaustive or definitive. There are other types and categorizations. The taxonomy of IS is somewhat blurred. The essence is a methodical application of some scheme that manipulates data for some purpose that is perceived to be worthwhile. Frequently the term “system” is fused with IT tool sets that facilitate analysis. And then there are IT tool sets that facilitate the development of other tools and systems.

Almost inevitably, and increasingly so, an IS will be implemented via an IT based approach.

Rationale

The IS and IT plan responds to the basic purposes, objectives, policies, and strategy for the company identifying, prioritizing, and advocating complementary requirements for information, communication, and data processing. The plan is a management document that can provide a consistent business basis for investment in ICT.

The strategy provides a statement of directions laid out by the executive, such as:

- An analysis of organizational strategies, plans, policies, and procedures that seeks to identify information requirements
- An assessment of information assets to identify opportunities for new products and services
- An assessment of existing services, service levels, systems, infrastructure, and organization for IS and IT and the effectiveness in meeting the requirements and opportunities
- A determination of affordable services and service levels appropriate to the organization
- A revision of the management system that measures the effectiveness of the strategy, service delivery, and execution of projects
- A revision of existing projects and identification of new projects seeking to sustain the services and service levels
- A revision of the organization to provide the services and service levels
- An investment framework
- A framework within which unidentified future proposals can be assessed
- Technical, commercial, and user guidance to existing service providers and personnel in ongoing projects and new projects.

The benefits sought from the strategy include:

- Improved delivery of relatable products and services to the clients of the organization
- Delivery of new products and services enabled through IS and IT
- Reduction in the cost of business
- Improvement in the reliability of product delivery
- Ongoing modernization and revitalization of the organization for competitiveness
- Informed selection, control, and coordination of investments
- Control and coordination of services and systems
- Clear understanding of ideals, purpose, and limitations in the provision of services related to IS and IT.

In the World Bank context the benefits may also include:
- Identification of projects that substantiate modernization activities
- Identification of projects that can be a vehicle for organizational change and development
- A sound basis for project proposals
- A baseline for measuring effectiveness of initiatives.

Content
The IS and IT strategy should be structured and address most of the topics as follows:

Executive summary

Introduction and overview
Commission, scope, boundaries, conduct, acknowledgements

Summary of the business
Mission, strategies, policies, procedures, staffing, functional–management–geographical organization, stakeholders, external environment

Recognized problems

Recognized opportunities

Information analysis
Summary of key information use within the organization

Analysis of organizational processes
- Description of processes, process flows, functional decomposition, ICT support of functions
- Organizational entity model

Opportunities for improved information flows

Candidate functions for new or improved ICT

Current circumstances
Current ICT Services
- List of products and services provided to external clients
- List of services provided to internal users and clients
- List of IS and IT management services
- Assessment of current products and services
  - For each product and service: description of product and service, client community, service levels subscribed; list of service levels achieved; survey and assessment of use and satisfaction within client community; service provider; IS and IT used
- Summary of recognized or observed problems
- Unrequited service demands
Current IS and IT assets

- IS and IT organization: structures, staffing levels, skill levels
- List of IS assets: description, relationship to services, ownership and commercial arrangements, functions, age, outline of architecture, and assessment of suitability and sustainability
- List of IT assets: description, relationship to services, ownership and commercial arrangements, age, quantities, disposition, capacities, use, and assessment of suitability and sustainability
- Other service providers
- Capacity requirement projections
- Summary of recognized, observed, and impending problems

**Desired circumstances — one to five years window**

Ongoing services and discontinued services

Opportunities for new and revised products and services — over a five-year window

Products and services to be provided — to external clients, to internal clients

Service levels to be attained

ICT management objectives

- Quality of service, staffing, assets, organization

**Achieving the desired circumstances**

Options

- Services, clients, service levels, implications for: organization, users, other parties, IS organization, IS staffing levels, training, IS, IT, IT architectures, IS and IT management systems, IS projects, IT projects, investments, cost–benefit assessment

Selection and prioritization

- Services, clients, service levels
- Plan of action: IS projects, IT projects, other initiatives, investments
- Implications: organization, users, other parties, IS organization, IS staffing levels, training, IS, IT

Investment summary — expenditure for each period

**Appendices:**

Detailed material concerning surveys, organization, services, IS, IT, and so on

**Process**

In principle any continuing enterprise of significance should be executing under the influence of a strategic plan and a strategic plan for IS and IT. It would be good practice to initiate the process when the organization anticipates embarking upon a program of broad impact or significant investment. The strategy should be revised cyclically with annual review and adjustment. Periodically — say three to five years — there should be a complete re-planning exercise.

There are also cyclic linkages within the planning process whereby the IS and IT plan and subsequent initiatives are framed in the context of the corporate strategy but may also by the analyses conducted by them influence the corporate strategy.
The effort and duration of the full IS and IT strategic planning is a judgment call. It will be influenced by size of organization, value of current and anticipated IS portfolio, quantum of impending investment, number and disparity of services to be provided currently or in the future, “feeling” about the current circumstances.

There are unlimited analyses that may be undertaken. The end of strategic planning and the commencement of project plans and detailed business analyses are blurred. Parkinson’s law applies — the exercise will generally expand to consume available resources. Typically the plan will take over two to six elapsed months expending four to twenty-four person months. There will be little other direct expenditure except for perhaps study tours to acquire an insight of good practice. The annual revision exercise will be prepared over one to three elapsed months expending two to six person months.

Other than those explicitly involved, there will be a large number of key persons involved in interviews, brainstorming, and reviews. The study team requires well-known and respected members who are enthusiastic for change, and understand the role of information flow within the organization. An appreciation of contemporary approaches in the business sector is useful. An understanding of the applicability of IT is useful but should not dominate. The team should be composed of an executive or senior manager together with analytical business driven persons. The injection of good practice, fresh ideas and the benefits of looking beyond the constraints and normative practices of the organization inevitably mean some external input. Method guidance may also be required. Depending upon the scope of the activity and the abilities and proclivities of the team members, document preparation and administrative support may be required.

The steps in the process will vary in nature and emphasis depending upon the method engaged. The contents suggested previously should guide the investigations, conceptualizations, and formulation of the plan. Some generic guidelines follow.

- An initial step would be to reach an agreement on the terms of reference, scope of work, team composition, available resources, and active executive support. In some cases more than one strategy may be relevant. This may be a function of organization structure, for example, if disparate and autonomous structures exist functionally, geographically, or politically. On the other hand technology may be engaged to help unify disparate and autonomous structures. The approach is a management prerogative. Consult the sponsor for the study and chief executive. Study materials such as corporate plans, annual reports, project appraisal documents, reports from bilateral and multilateral organizations, old IS and IT plan, IS and IT department reports, project proposals. Determine and assess any pertinent preexisting organizational or national standards.

- The client may need orientation concerning the processes and nomenclature of the strategic planning method to be engaged, and the specific process to be conducted. Literature of the standard method should be made available.

- Conduct fact finding about the organization: its missions, strategies, procedures, norms, products, internal and external environment, clients, stakeholders, structures, capacities, assets, and so on. Interview executive and senior managers, study available materials, and confirm findings. Reassess the readiness of the organization for strategic IS and IT planning.

- Identify any information products made available externally. There may be an added element of exposure risk to external products, especially if these are widely available or significant to influential entities. Interview executive and senior managers, study available materials, and confirm findings.

- Conduct preliminary, high-level business studies of current operations: process flows and functional decomposition. Interview senior and middle managers, study available materials and confirm findings.

- Investigate information flows. Interview senior and middle managers, inspect reports, investigate other information channels, determine efficacy of and sources for reports and other information, study available
materials, and confirm findings. Investigate the information flows pertinent to the executive, how these are fed and the cascade and tributaries from management and operational levels.

- Solicit planned or desired business directions, perceived business problems, planned solutions, perceived opportunities and perceived actions to understand them. Interview executive and senior managers, study available materials and confirm findings. Investigate source of funds including donor and lender initiatives for the organization.

- Conduct fact finding surveys about current information services: services, service levels, performance and adequacy, service providers, existing policies, standards and procedures, HR, IS and IT assets, capacities, capacity projections, recognized problems and opportunities. Interview executive and senior managers, interview ISPs, interview IS and IT service clients, study available materials, and confirm findings.

- Solicit planned or desired IS and IT directions, existence, and status of ongoing projects or initiatives. Interview ISPs, study available materials, and confirm findings.

- Assess current status, perceived problems and opportunities, and desired status. Investigate and summarize good practice through study tours, literature review, web reviews, links (email) with contemporaries. Synthesize findings and conceptualize options and candidates for new, revised, and ongoing services, computer-assisted business processes, products, systems, organizations, and investments. Interview executive and senior managers, interview ISPs, interview IS and IT service clients, study available materials, and confirm findings.

- Sift options for recommendations: new, revised, and ongoing services and service levels, IS organization for service provision, IS and IT investments, source of funds, procurement strategy, support and maintenance. Document reasons of selection from among the options. Outline projects and initiatives for training, organizational change, IS and IT. Describe project objectives, products, anticipated time scales, and resources needed. Allocate responsibility. Consider any migration or transition requirements (refer also to guidance in annex D). Present elaborated options, seek consensus on preferred portfolio and priorities, identify “quick wins”, market and negotiate preferred portfolio, review and revise with executive and senior management. Define monitoring and evaluation schemes for the strategy and for the initiatives within it. Settle upon agreed strategy to be implemented.

- Finalize and publish strategy.

- Commence implementation.

The process must be managed with a routine of planning, direction, controls and accountability, suitable to the relatively small team structure.

**Notes in the World Bank context**

- A project champion from within the executive or senior management will be a good candidate for the role of team leader. Absence of such enthusiasm will mean that it is inappropriate to commence the project. It will also indicate that large-scale investment in ICT is not appropriate. As a precursor to IS and IT strategy, consider whether the organization needs first a business strategy, or capacity development that may lead to a business strategy. There is an underlying assumption of stability of purpose, direction, and leadership. Politics at large needs to be considered as well as internal government politics.

- Prior to commencement, orientation and capacity development through training should be undertaken for the study team and the executive. Mentorship and skills transfer should be complementary objectives of the process.

- Strategy acceptance will be enhanced if the projects and initiatives are designed in short stages with genuine benefits delivered in short time scales — say less than one year. Implementation of some initiatives may be possible without significant investment in new IS and IT.

- The strategy needs to draw upon direction but focuses upon the means to achieve them. It is a guideline for action. Consider the organization’s client environment — is there sufficient stability, will the community use and tolerate the IS and IT? Consider the organization’s social environment — will the organization rank and file use and tolerate the IS and IT? Consider the reality of the technology — is it proven, is it practical, is it practical in the
particular country, region, is it beyond the maturity of the organization to sustain it, should there be interim enhancements? Consider the external infrastructure — does it rely on fictitious infrastructure, services, and levels of service? Consider financial reality — can the organization fund the implementation and sustain it? Is it justifiable or just a grand solution in a low return, low volume, or poor country context?

- Project definitions and cost estimates are problematic. On the one hand the practicalities need to be assessed to determine whether it is worth doing. On the other hand, the vision from the viewpoint of the strategic plan team is very restricted. Sizes, quantities, availability, supplier approaches, and so on cannot be determined without much investigation that would likely be beyond the scope and timescales of the study. The key is to
  - Foresee possible project profiles using best available information within a fixed investigation time frame
  - Estimate conservatively high
  - Announce contingencies
  - Recognize that these may prove incorrect
  - Be willing to replan.

Emphasizing the last point: a project will gain momentum beyond reason. If costs expand and benefits do not (sufficiently) expand, the project should be abandoned or at be least reconstructed.

- It will be convenient to express programs and projects through logical frameworks.
- The IS strategic plan is not sacrosanct. It reflects the intuitions and desires of individuals. While there may be evidence to validate the choices, the IS strategic plan complements a corporate plan grounded in the experience, limitations, hunches, prejudices, and whims and fancies of an executive often swayed by the changing fortunes of technology policies. On top of this shaky platform, the IS strategic plan is built with the experience, limitations, hunches, prejudices, and whims and fancies of IS planners who are equally vulnerable to changes around them. Even if the key planners involved remain in place and in favor, attitudes will vary and new ideas, preferences, and priorities will arise. More likely, new people will arrive or gain ascendancy and new directions will be chosen. This perspective is not meant to diminish the value of the IS plan. Great value lies in the communication of direction and forum for debate.
- Technological imperatives need to be kept in check. Technology can bring many benefits, but it is by its application and not of itself that the benefits would arise. Its use and consequences need to be understood. By definition, technology brings uncertainty — it introduces new means of performing tasks that disturb existing systems.
- Electronic business is the buzz. It provides many new and valuable opportunities. However, it cannot be implemented in isolation. Its limitations need to be appreciated.
  - With few exceptions, it relies on processing systems. Instead of writing through the post, using a telephone, or visiting a counter, clients of the organization may be online over the Internet. That is, electronic business is merely a new interface between an organization and its clients. The vast machinery of the organization’s internal processes still needs to be in place. Do water billing systems or social security systems consist only of data entry for new application forms? Does a tax office merely accept returns? How does the rest of the system work? Traditional, perhaps dull, the “bread and butter” system needs to be there and it is likely to consume 95 percent of the investment. What is more, the interfaces for the mailroom, telephone operator, and counter clerk usually still need to be there, especially in the public sector where equity of access is a drive.
  - It requires security and legality. Government policies, legislation, legal precedence, and commercial agreements are required to underpin Internet transactions.
  - It needs continuous maintenance. E-governance (improving access, timeliness, accountability, and transparency) only works if the sites are updated. There are ample sites that have been constructed but have no systematic update.
  - Successful introduction of new information systems and application of technology requires institutional change, and skills and resources of appropriate quality and quantity to understand, plan, use, and operate. At the corporate level, incentives and not IS and IT controls are appropriate, and there need to be incentive structures for the acquisition and retention of skills. Also, to return to a recurrent theme: IS and IT cannot be handled as mere procurement activity since they usually affect human activity systems.
Developing strategic plans for IS and IT is the same whether it is in the World Bank client base or in any other environment. There may be some small distinctions in the developing context. The baseline of familiarity at all levels — executive, managerial, and technical — with the technology, the implementation process, and the value of the planning process may be lower. Ambition may be unrealistically high; patience may be too low. There may be too much emphasis placed on the leading edge, dismissing the need for bread and butter transaction processing. Vital but uninteresting ancillary systems — network management systems, system management systems, asset management tools, configuration management tools and so on may be undervalued. There will be overambition in the ability to deliver, and in the ability to develop and implement in-house. The personal computer has a lot to answer for. The façade of empowerment unfortunately too often obscures and deceives. There is a large distinction in the delivery complexity between a single-user or small-department system and that of a large-scale system. Although the problem resides almost exclusively in the management domain, it is taken as an affront by the in-house team when qualified external resource is engaged. There will be underambition too. Dazzling technology will eclipse the rather more important, sometimes irksome task of grafting vital, concomitant change to the culture, structure, and procedures of the organization.

In the formulation of projects, there should be consideration of private sector capability and project models (see chapter 8, and figure 5 to figure 7 of annex A). It should not be assumed that the domestic private sector is capable or the international private sector interested in every project.

The IS and IT strategy should preferably already exist prior to the loan. Alternatively, some initial preproject preparation facility or an early component of the loan may be employed to prepare it. As a last resort, as a distinct component within the loan or as part of a significant ICT component, a strategic snapshot should be prepared. Technical assistance will be beneficial, especially for the general process of IS strategy or for good, contemporary (inevitably international) practice in the sector.

Following on from the previous point an organization is likely to benefit from good practice advice. Inevitably in the public sector the advice will come from international experience. A loan component is an efficient means to mobilize such experience as technical assistance embedded in a development process. There are advantages of commonality of direction when embedded. There may be advantages of quality of supply when supplied separately.

The World Bank has well-constructed and robust procedures for procurement supporting investment decisions that may arise from the strategic plans. For good reasons, the World Bank’s procedures are dominated by transparency and administration imperatives. It should be recognized that these will not constitute best practice in a commercial environment and that there is a cost: lower quality components and projects.

SBD come in two broad varieties: for goods together with installation and support and maintenance services, and for services alone. Many comprehensive services combine both aspects. In conceptualizing projects, allowances are needed for procurement and contract models that encompass both the goods aspects and significant consulting and other services such as expert support, facility management, operational support, training.

The World Bank’s attitude to brand names and single sourcing needs to be understood when determining the source of funds for the investments that may arise from the strategic planning. Single sourcing (or direct contracting) will need to be justified per World Bank rules (refer to Guidelines — Procurement under IBRD Loans and IDA Credits and see section in chapter 9). Bureaucracy notwithstanding, such justification is also swayed by the persuasiveness of task managers, the client, or the consultants engaged.

Where to seek further guidance

Within the World Bank
World Bank Group Sector Strategy Paper
GICT for IS and IT strategy and business analyses

External to the World Bank
An alternative: the strategic snapshot

More often than not ICT projects are initiated without the benefit of an IS and IT plan. The project may have no mandate, and neither the resources, nor the time to deliver one. The right course of action can be to defer the project, however that may be unpalatable for the client. The preparation of a strategic snapshot is an alternative that will be recommended when these conditions arise.

Usually, in the minds of the key stakeholders and decision-makers there is some sort of vision for the project and its role in a broader context. The strategic snapshot seeks to capture, and present this vision of the context in which the project is being launched. It seeks to align the project with the multipartite client expectations of IS and IT. It seeks to mitigate downstream opportunity loss, inability to integrate with other products or initiatives, divergence from strategic directions or standards, and the resultant dissatisfaction or criticism.

The notable distinction of the snapshot is the absence of creativity and analysis. There will be no conceptualization of threats and opportunities, candidate systems, and so on. The content of the snapshot will not necessarily be justified by studies — merely a comment on the context as it is currently understood. The review process will usually need to arrive at some consensus of opinions or, failing this, at least define the dominant opinion acceptable to the project’s sponsor and its client. The work to produce the strategic snapshot may illuminate the benefit and need of a proper study.

Content should include:

- Purpose, overview and limitations
- Summary of current business
  - Purpose, organization, functions and procedures, key volumetrics, continuing programs, and key problems and opportunities
- Summary of current ICT
  - Portfolio of ICT assets, HR resources, anecdotes on usage and satisfaction, continuing projects
- Summary of key business strategies and policies
  - Vision, priorities, projects, changes proposed to purposes, organization, functions and procedures, key expected volumetrics, technology
- Summary of ICT strategies
  - Computer hardware and system software, data communications, other communications, HR, procurement, ICT projects, source of funds
- Project perspectives
  - Impact of strategies, projects, and others on the project

The process can be undertaken in less than four weeks and may consist of the following actions:

- List stakeholders, decision-makers, and influencers to be interviewed.
- Structure, arrange, and conduct interviews
- List pertinent documents as recommended by the client and through interviews
- Study existing documents, such as corporate plans, yearly plans, budget submissions, old IS Strategy Papers, reports of multilaterals.
- Summarize and present.
- Revise as necessary for both accuracy and completeness.
- Sign-off.
National ICT strategy

This section addresses strategic planning for ICT in an organizational context. Exploitation of ICT for economic growth and social equity may also be planned as a national strategy. While the level of activity within the World Bank’s portfolio may never become large, the World Bank is particularly well placed to participate in the initial and ongoing preparation of national ICT strategies.

Information and knowledge and access thereto are deemed intrinsically good. Studies indicate strong positive correlation between wealth and exploitation of knowledge. For example, Ghana and Korea forty years ago had similar per capita GDP. There is now a six-fold gap with acquisition and exploitation of knowledge assessed as a key determinant.

Governments have a role to mobilize information and knowledge as economic drivers — a direct role and a policy and promotional role — to create preconditions for investment in the development of a knowledge economy. Information exploitation accelerates economic transformation in many ways. These include: better understanding of and increased responsiveness to trends, broader and faster dissemination of market information, trade facilitation, 24x7 marketing and advertising, supply chain shortening, smoother and more efficient payment systems, increased efficiency and responsiveness of government, and upgrade in labor market mix. Knowledge rather than natural endowment determines competitiveness and wealth. Globalization increases competition and allows domestic economies to grow. Global investment requires information.

Status and Scope

The development of national informatics strategies is still in its infancy. Few countries have yet to produce and implement such strategies. Those that have embarked upon the process have achieved varying degrees of success. Only a few have a formally documented and published strategy and most strategies only cover the public sector.

National strategies differ in their scope and content. In some cases, the strategies concentrate only on the provision of a technical infrastructure, while others concentrate on the provision of a national IS and IT system where all government ministries are to be linked electronically.

The major problem in all cases has not been the ability of technology to provide solutions but the rate at which policy makers are able to adapt their understanding of and thinking on the future shape of government. The United States “reinventing government” initiative of the mid 1990s is one example of a “visionary approach”, which has challenged traditional ideas of how government should be organized, what types of services it should provide, and how it should provide them.

In the United Kingdom, central government has adopted a “business driven” (rather than “IT led”) approach to the harnessing of IS and IT for the benefit of society. That approach was developed in the late 1980s and its framework is used for the development of departmental business strategies as well as IS and IT strategies. Key areas for examination include:

- The information needs of citizens
- Coordination of effort between central government departments and ministries
- Government service centers (“one-stop shops”)
- Public sector reform and reengineering government business and transactions
- Improving service through the innovative use of IS
- Increasing awareness and understanding of senior civil servants and policy makers
- Integration of the future strategy with other government initiatives, such as a citizen’s service charter and outsourcing and privatization policies
- The links between central and local government
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- Administrative arrangements for development and management of the strategy.

Policy dimensions

Policy dimensions as described in table 10 may be considered in national ICT strategies:

Table 10 Policy dimensions for national ICT strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competition policy</th>
<th>Access infrastructure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| There is need for a market–based infrastructure, service provision and operation for telecommunications, in particular. Part and parcel of this would be privatization of public assets in this domain. | Policy, legal, regulatory, supervisory frameworks are required for telecommunications and broadcast sectors. The framework needs to recognize the dynamism within the sector and can include:
- Promotion of competition
- Investor–user security
- Independent regulators
- Licensing that balances open elastic markets and fair and transparent competition
- Allocation of spectrum for market entrant with assurance of technical and financial viability
- Realistic and fair income from public asset divestment
- Enabling new infrastructure investment and guaranteeing fairly priced, fair-share access to established legacy (usually land-line) infrastructure
- Market segmentation by content and convergence
- Regulating for frictionless selection and switch of service–provider by user |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access — social equity</th>
<th>National skills base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recognizing that market force alone would not provide access to all sectors without encouragement:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Schemes for rural, remote, disadvantaged access
- Schemes for USO such as license packaging and subsidy policy
- Telecenters (community access points with combinations of services, backed by and subsidized networks) for the disadvantaged |
| Enhancement of national skills pertinent to the national strategy for self-sustainability through education and training: |
- ICT in schools for both technology familiarization and literacy, and pedagogical purposes
- Higher education partnerships
- Support for continuing education and distance learning |
**IT industry policy and ICT exploitation in the private sector**

Recognizing the relevance of ICT for industry, government can help foster conducive environments that are appropriately targeted:

- Assessment of IT appropriate to and sustainable through local industry
- Measures for competitive IT: streamlined licensing bureaucracy, taxation incentives, encouraging foreign capital investment, providing technical infrastructure such as subsidized networks
- Support for trade facilitation schemes
- Clarifying taxation treatment of electronic commerce
- Domestic preference for supply
- Reduced or removed import tariffs for technology
- Promotion of technology parks
- Support for technical education and knowledge diffusion
- Supporting, underwriting or providing micro-lending for ICT sector business, such as for telecenters

**ICT in government**

Government has a direct role through its own use of ICT:

- Interdepartmental coordination on technology policy, standards, and procurement
- Implementation efficiency through panel of suppliers
- Government product preferences to concentrate skills base
- Public-private sector partnerships
- ICT — skills enhancement byproduct
- Streamlining bureaucracy by computerization of major transactional processes in all departments
- Enhancing service such as through GIS in government
- Providing telecenters for the disadvantaged
- Electronic payments by government and to government
- Transparent public accounts through web sites kept up to date, including a government-wide portal
- Web sites for public use to apply for government services
- Interdepartmental data flows (subject to data protection)
- Preferential use of local IT suppliers
- Policy for outsourcing of government functions and services

[A caution on e-readiness within government. Bread and butter systems need automation before benefits can be fully leveraged through electronic government. Sufficient public access to the Internet is required, for example, e-filing of tax returns is viable only if more than ten percent of taxpayers can get online. While promoted as altruistic and as better service to society, it brings major benefits to government. For example: through e-filing a data entry bottleneck is farmed out to the community or private sector agents, thus relieving government of a costly and burdensome chore.]

**e-commerce**

The rush to e-commerce should be contained within a security and legality framework providing some certainty:

- Recognition and enforceability of digital contracts
- Digital signatures: authentication, a certification procedure, and national certification authority
- Public and private key infrastructure and encryption procedures (including technology import permission)
- Domain names and domain names authority
- Issues related to data privacy, access and data retention
- Electronic payments systems
- Currency exchange regime under electronic trading
- Consumer protection
- Service limitations (for example in telemedicine, gambling, pornography, trade in illicit goods)

**Other legalities**

Other matters of legality arise in the digital world:

- Copyright and IPR of software and electronically recorded works
- Censorship of web sites and other electronic broadcast both for domestic and foreign sites
- Criminal law: electronic break-in, fraud and electronic impersonation, attacks (passive, destructive, disclosure, denial of service) through viruses, theft of codes and passwords

---

**Process**

The planning of initiatives as always will begin with an honest appraisal of the current status, a vision for a future status and then the plan to bring the vision to reality. National ICT strategies likewise require an assessment of current status; a vision of long range goals with the projection of realistic, shorter term steps toward them; and identification of concrete programs, projects, and agenda. Fruition clearly needs sustained commitment at the most senior levels of politics, a cross section of key industries, legislature, and government. For the vision to be realized would require a critical mass of
consensus of objective and direction, a national program of technical assistance, national coordination office, and tangible actions and outcomes.

The programs need to be demand driven, that is through market driven private involvement. Large-scale engineering project approaches would be inappropriate. The initiatives by government then are policy focused: emphasizing the removal of policy and institutional barriers and encouraging the adoption of technology.

The process will be highly political, intensely consultative, and of necessity entirely driven by domestic players. The World Bank’s role will be to first mobilize interest and enthusiasm and then facilitate technical assistance from persons of appropriate seniority, skills, and experience. Correspondingly the highest possible level of authority should be involved — ministerial and executive. It is vital to maintain cautious optimism without underselling the difficulties of the process and the lag in benefit realization, and without overselling the benefits.
A brief note on soft systems analysis methods

Hard system engineering is presumptive — the problem is presumed, the solution is fixed and thought apparent, and the method of implementation is procedural. There is a rational structure, however, if the situation is not well understood, this may lead to inappropriate or disappointing outcomes.

The unstructured environments of most human endeavors give rise to significant problems. Modernization and reform of organizations in the developing context are programs that address significant problems. A problem is a situation where expectation is not matched by observation. Circumstances are interpreted by our stock of ideas and experience. If the problem is not understood, a too hasty, too exclusive solution is unlikely to be successful.

An alternative approach is the soft systems methods characterized by:

- The concept of problem situations being a mismatch between expectation and observation (the expectation may be a desire for measurable improvement, and the problem is the selection and detail of a course of action)
- Focus on a whole entity whose exhibited properties have no complete meaning in terms of parts of the entity
- Application of models to construct holons (abstract descriptions of the entity) to illuminate reality by a process of systemic enquiries (phenomenologies)
- A flexible, iterative cycle of inquiry using a seven-stage process of investigating, expressing, defining, modeling, comparative analysis, debating, and action
- Human activity systems or holons that are sets of activities (transformations) connected to make a purposeful whole
- Forming root definitions pertaining to holons and analysis in terms of “customers”, “actors”, “transformations”, “weltanschauung” or “world view”, “owners”, and “environmental constraints”
- Use of “rich pictures” to solicit analysis of the intervention itself and more obscured social and political systems
- The actions arising will lead to new problem situations and a new cycle of interventions.

Soft system methods aim to bring about improvement in an area of social concern by activating people involved in a never-ending learning cycle. Learning is an iterative process of modeling from various perspectives, debating perceptions and courses of action, taking action and reflecting again.

In the creation of IS, the difficult first question is which of the constellation of choices should be put together. The raison d'être for an IS is to serve some real world purpose. An IS manipulates data that upon presentation are attributed meanings according to the actor. The actor’s weltanschauung needs to be understood for the attributed meaning to be truly useful. Information flow models may then be constructed from the activity model. From these data models data manipulations systems could be elaborated. At points along the way in this simple process, the “common” understanding may become less common. New light may be shed on the system pertaining to the broader problem of reform or transformation. This may circumvent “in-the-box” thinking, leading to entirely different IS, or entirely different or reprioritized approaches.

Where to seek further guidance

Within the World Bank

External to the World Bank
### Key success factors for the formulation and execution of strategic IS projects

The success rate of IS projects can be increased by applying the following practices during the formulation of projects, for example as part of the IS and IT strategy. The practices should be observed also during detailed planning and execution of projects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Political</th>
<th>Technical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Consider the interests of champions and sponsors, influence networks, users, policy makers, financiers, and all other stakeholders</td>
<td>- Ensure project fits with IS strategy, or in absence of formal strategy carry out snapshot strategic analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Develop a legitimate culture-specific incentive package</td>
<td>- Carefully consider scope and over or underambition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- If a project threatens interests and risks political interference, break into stages and concentrate on comprehensiveness and security in data collection</td>
<td>- Determine feasibility through prototypes and pilots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Define progress indicators that disclose degree of political support</td>
<td>- Keep realistic time horizons (one year, maximum two years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Suspend swiftly if political conditions are not favorable:</td>
<td>- Implement in stages to reduce risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Resist pressure to downgrade development objectives to simple equipment upgrade or office automation</td>
<td>- Deploy hardware only after application software is ready</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- But consider catalytic impact of supporting a network facility or simple e-mail on organizational development</td>
<td>- Synchronize training, conversion planning, installation work, and application roll-out within as short a time as possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Use professional system engineering standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Buy instead of building software, whenever possible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Managerial</th>
<th>Institutional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Ensure viable project management structure, but make line management rather than technical management responsible for project success</td>
<td>- Synchronize business and IS strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ensure professional project management input and practices adhering to modularity, standardized documentation, clear progress and financial reporting, and early detection of problems</td>
<td>- As far as possible contract with the private sector for IS design, construction, and operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Maintain project visibility by publicizing objectives, target dates, and progress</td>
<td>- Simplify and reengineer procedures to avoid automating inefficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Budget for independent project audits, covering all aspects: technical, political, managerial, institutional, and financial</td>
<td>- Decentralize implementation and provide central support through formal agreements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Allow scaling up or down depending on progress, if at all possible predefine the triggers for change of scale</td>
<td>- Address within the project wider institutional issues that might threaten the full impact of the ICT component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provide mechanisms to terminate nonperforming projects and switch funding to more promising ones</td>
<td>- Consider incentives (reward and penalty), particularly pay scales for IT staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Have professional training program designed and implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Have components to address other change issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ensure close coordination between project and staff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Business analyses for a proposed ICT

The vast majority of applied IT is in support of business processes — to make processes more efficient or, increasingly, to offer new services. Business is the focus. Naturally then, the process of applying IT must begin with clarity about the business process and how it is to be improved in a justifiable manner.

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides an overview of the process of analyzing the current business environment and proposing a justifiable, feasible, and sustainable future concept of operation. Owing to the focus of this toolkit, and despite the distortions that might ensue, there is a bias in attention to ICT initiatives. The material is consequently not balanced by the vast array of other initiatives that might pertain in any given circumstance. Therefore, as a caution: in the conduct of business analysis, be alert to any rush to ICT initiatives at the expense of, or in the absence of, more fundamental and effective solutions.
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10. Business analyses for a proposed ICT

Definition and scope

A business analysis for a proposed ICT component would seek to satisfy some or all of the following:

- Understand the functions of a business area, activities within the functions, the internal and external context in which it operates, and the volumetrics
- Understand the information needs and information flows supporting the functions and measuring performance
- Identify improvements in business activities, work practices, and information needs and flows including improvements through the introduction of new ICT
- Identify new products that may be introduced through the exploitation of ICT
- Build a new concept of operation
- Trigger an assessment of whether ICT is appropriate or of sufficient priority when other initiatives may have more fundamental and durable impact
- Define the proposed ICT after the elaboration of options
- Comprehensively estimate investments required for the introduction of the new ICT
- Identify and quantify financial and nonfinancial benefits to identify consequences and risks, and to build a business case

The analysis may be conducted in several steps: a step to determine a new concept of operation including identification and derivation of options and implementation steps, that may not include ICT; and a step to identify and derive ICT options. For example the first step may anticipate ICT as an initiative several years hence, identifying as the initial priority a need for institutional development.

Parkinson’s law applies — the depth of detail in any business analysis will extend to that which the available time and resources permit.

There is a close correlation between business analysis and strategic planning for IS and IT as may become obvious in the following subsections. Differences may occur in the depth of study, business analysis being more comprehensive, or the breadth of the organization that is studied, an IS strategy being generally broader (see chapter 9).

The timing of a business analysis

Business analysis may arise in several circumstances:

- A problem, some unsatisfactory performance, or a new demand may arise for which better understanding and solutions are sought without any precondition of ICT based solutions.
- A proposal for ICT may arise outside the context of any formal IS strategy, from a corporate plan, a business plan, or as part of some loan proposal. (The business analysis may then eventually recommend that strategic planning should be completed before implementation.)
- An IS strategy usually includes business analyses to an arbitrary level of detail, depending upon the significance of the anticipated investment, the scope of the anticipated ICT, and the resources allocated to the strategic planning process.
- An IS and IT strategy may initiate business analyses to conduct more detailed investigation, to refine or verify concept or scope, or to establish a business case.
- The IS and IT strategy may initiate an ICT project that will inevitably require increasingly detailed analysis of the target business area.
Good practice

Steps in process of delivery

Business analysis

Process

The process needs to be driven by the senior management of the business area, together with the involvement of those with specific knowledge of the current circumstances, interest in improvements, and general knowledge of good practice within the business area. In addition, the process requires experience, sensitivity, imagination, and a capability to analyze, synthesize, plan, and present. Inevitably, the search for improvement involves external intervention. Facilitation of the process of business analysis also usually requires external intervention. Participation of internal IS personnel (not IT personnel) of sufficient experience and ability is desirable. The overall direct team should be kept small — not more than say six.

There are several methods that may be used to conduct the study. Some are proprietary. The method will usually be introduced under the guidance of the external intervention. The following paragraphs outline the general process of a comprehensive study.

The process must establish:

- An understanding of problems and opportunities that are agreed upon by a wide group, including influential and authoritative persons within the business area
- A set of initiatives understood and desired by business area managers
- Corresponding plans for change and investments that are:
  - Practical (useful, usable, and likely to be used)
  - Optimal (the best recognized application of time, money, and effort)
  - Feasible (politically, culturally, socially, and technically)
  - Justifiable (promoting organizational objectives with benefits sufficiently outweighing costs)
  - Sustainable (affordable at introduction, and affordable on operation)
- Schemes for monitoring the effectiveness of implementation and the realization of benefits.

The business analysis is unlikely to provide complete definition of requirements and design. The business case should be limited in scope, to prepare sufficient grounds to justify the commencement of the analyzed and selected implementation. There would generally be investigations, analyses and specifications of increasing detail in subsequent stages. The outcomes of such stages could revise the business case.

Typical steps in the process are listed below:

1. Initiate the study

- Establish the leadership of the study.
- Establish a steering committee.
- Negotiate basis, scope, exclusions, and expectations.
- Plan the study, including methods, cost and resource requirements, and dependencies.
- Assess readiness of the business area for business analysis (senior management participation and interest and determination for change, business area has clear goals and objectives, access to people and information facilitated, sufficient institutional capacity in the business area, an information culture with an understanding role of information systems).
- Establish the study team.
- Obtain existing information sources, such as corporate plans, business plans, IS and IT plans, performance reports, previous analyses.
- Publish study plan.
2. Provide orientation to the client organization

- Identify the method to be used.
- Make available literature of the standard method.
- Describe the processes and nomenclature of the method.
- Describe the specific process to be conducted in the current exercise.

3. Investigate the business area

- Solicit the purpose of the business area.
- Solicit the relationship to the organization.
- Review past and current business plans and policy documents.
- Summarize targets, performance, and measurement schemes of current and past years.
- Summarize perceived constraints to performance and performance improvements.
- Solicit recognized business problems, weaknesses, and threats to performance.
- Solicit recognized strengths and opportunities for improved performance.
- Document structure: management, personnel, geographical locations, office characteristics, and layouts.
- Build business process and activity models.
- Build functional decomposition models.
- Solicit examples and the degree of variations in processes according to locality.
- Catalogue samples of all input and output forms (those used in transactions with clients) and internal documents.
- Summarize statutory requirements within the business area.
- Inspect and assess procedure manuals for completeness, accuracy, currency, readability, and alignment with practices.
- Study and assess control and audit methods.
- Investigate and assess security procedures and devices.
- Survey skills levels and labor levels, skills and labor requirements, and assess any discrepancy, potentially commissioning an analysis of training needs.
- Document productive assets and services and the purposes for which these are engaged, and solicit age, condition, maintenance, sufficiency, and effectiveness.
- Survey business volumetrics by type and location: staffing levels, numbers of clients, numbers of transactions (correlated with business activity models and functional decomposition), and records held.
- Inspect and assess or have assessments made of records kept and records handling.
- Reassess business problems, weaknesses, and threats, seeking to supplement preconceived deficiencies.
- Publish findings, invite critique, revise as necessary.

4. Study and assess information flows

- Solicit information needs and flows.
- Construct information and information flow models, for example, generalized entity-relationship models and data flow models (cognizant of the audience and hence with a minimum of distracting IS engineering jargon and nomenclature).
- Solicit perceived deficiencies in information flows.
- Study IS and IT currently engaged, document the purposes for which these are engaged, and solicit and assess age, condition, maintenance, sufficiency, and effectiveness.
- Reassess information flows, seeking to supplement preconceived deficiencies (for example, what does each level of management actually use to monitor and control).
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- Which dominates: formal or informal flows?
- What do operational people need?
- Are formal reports succinct, accurate, complete, timely, useful, and used?
- Is there a cascade of summaries with drill-down capability?
- Can the business area provide an information service to other parties, and if so is there any cost recovery option?
- Does the business area use information supplied by other parties, and how could this be effectively and efficiently ensured?
- Consider e-business opportunities: for new disclosure opportunities, efficient interfaces, or new products and services.
- Publish findings, invite critique, revise as necessary.

5. Identify opportunities for business improvements

- Document recognized business opportunities to address problems, improve efficiency or effectiveness, or deliver new products. Opportunities may be reorganized structures, capital works, revised forms, revised procedures, revised work practices, new or supplemented skills and labor, education and training, new or supplemented tools and techniques, new IS and IT, and so on.
- Investigate good practice and technologies engaged elsewhere in comparable business.
- Conceptualize additional opportunities.
- Synthesize options for change that encompass subsets of nonexclusive opportunities.

6. Conceptualize options for the information of non-ICT products and services

[No elaboration provided; consult relevant specialists.]

7. Conceptualize options for the implementation of ICT products and services

- Elaborate upon options for the role of ICT in support of business improvement.
- Commission prototypes to substantiate concepts if these are not clearly understood by the user community.
- Commission larger-scale prototypes or pilot implementations to fully explore, assess, and refine nontechnological requirements and impacts, for proof of concept, or confirmation of concept.
- Consider minimum and desirable service levels.
- Consider IS architecture, IT architecture, physical and environmental implications and requirements, organization for administration, operation and use, acquisition approach, and support and maintenance.
- Research off-the-shelf solutions — give preference to such approaches.
- Consider integration with existing systems.
- Study any extant IS and IT strategy and assess applicability to the circumstance. Weigh the strategy to determine the degree to which it facilitates or obstructs an initiative.
  - On the one hand, the many and various, often hidden or obscure, aspects of implementation, such as physical, technical, and human infrastructure, and ongoing contracts, may be facilitated by current strategy.
  - On the other, a sufficiently large initiative can provide grounds for a revision of any IS and IT strategy.
- Conceptualize the operation of envisioned ICT products and services.
- Assess capability of in-house supplier (consider, for example, demonstrable prior success for the delivery of comparable products, familiarity with proposed or likely technologies, capacity and current work load, strategic choice within the in-house supplier).
- Assess the capability of local, domestic, or regional suppliers (consider, for example, commercial capacity, technical and labor capacity, familiarity with proposed or likely technologies and contracts of similar profile, and current portfolio).
Assess attractiveness of any likely contracts to international suppliers with local partners (consider, for example, prestige, size, complexity, set-up and mobilization factors, and profitability).

8. Prepare a preliminary business case for each option
   - Estimate the costs of options.
   - Identify and quantify benefits (refer to Annex A).
   - Identify and quantify risks.
   - Confirm that the options are:
     - Practical (useful, usable, and likely to be used)
     - Optimal (the best recognized application of time, money, and effort)
     - Feasible (politically, culturally, socially, and technically)
     - Justifiable (promotes organizational objectives, benefits sufficiently outweighing costs)
     - Sustainable (affordable at introduction, and affordable at operation)
   - Revise options accordingly.
   - Prioritize the options.
   - Identify “quick wins”.
   - Publish findings, invite critique, revise as necessary.

9. Define a new concept of operation
   - Synthesize opportunities and practices to model redesigned business activities and functional decomposition.
   - Explain the new concept via narrative and models.
   - Revise performance measures or devise new or supplementary measures.
   - Revise information needs, and revise information flows, or devise new or supplementary flows.
   - Make an initial assessment of impact on image, client interface, organization structures, roles, and cultures.
   - Consult with representatives of affected business areas concerning expectations for input and disruption during implementation.
   - Consult with representatives of affected business areas concerning new inputs required of them, or new or reorganized outputs for them.
   - Publish concept of operations, invite critique, revise as necessary.

10. Outline implementation plans (including implementation for sustainability)
    - Arrange initiatives into implementation packages and indicate steps within each package.
    - Set timescales for the implementation packages and indicate milestones within the packages.
    - Identify and bundle purchases of goods and services of all types that are required by the initiative.
    - Identify inputs to the implementation processes from within the organization, such as financial, legal, technical, asset management, capital works, security, IS, records management, and affected business areas.
    - Define measurement schemes to test the efficacy of implementation.

12. Finalize the business case
    - Assemble findings of the business analysis.
    - Refine cost and benefit estimates.
    - Finalize the business case for the selected options.
    - Prepare log frames.
    - Publish business case, invite critique, revise as necessary.
Some tips

- It is unlikely that the business area is perfect. Inevitably initiatives for performance improvement will emerge even if severely constrained by access to funds.
- In benefits think positive — staff reduction is both unlikely and petty. It would be preferable to find methods to redirect staff from clerical and administrative to productive activity.
- Benefits are likely to be overstated — use mitigation factors — realism also protects the senior managers from having to deliver ambitious targets.
- Costs are likely to be understated — you can only budget for what you can foresee.
- Costs are difficult to estimate at the time of the business case. For example:
  - The system requirement is preliminary.
  - Design should not even have been contemplated since there is world full of ideas.
  - IT is dynamic — the next wave will break by the time the study is finalized — nevertheless investment cannot be put off forever.
  - IT costs are dynamic (product price revisions every few months suggest prices for off-the-shelf hardware and software are constantly dropping, but this rarely results in lower overall spending, because higher specification goods are purchased for the same price, and software is increasingly hungry for hardware resources. Plus, the services element is increasingly costly).
  - Supplier attitudes to supply or discounting are uncertain, especially for noncommodity purchases such as services.
  - Availability of packages may not be verified, the degree of customization almost certainly will not be.
- Despite difficulties it is better to have a decision based on best information, rather than do nothing.
- For new IS, think packages and beware of “we can do it ourselves” or “we are different”. Bespoke development is generally costlier and riskier with high rate of failure to implement. Bespoke development is wasteful — as it tends to reinvent the wheel. A package or an application framework should encapsulate modern good practice, for which the beneficiary should be willing to reorganize. A package usually reduces delivery lead-time, ability to obfuscate, and distractions from the business goals by build activity.
- At the level of the business case, for ICT, prototype cautiously. Prototyping can mushroom to be a large exercise. At this stage, it could distract from the business study. If the circumstances are sufficiently novel or if there is significant difference of opinion in the value of an ICT application, or its purpose and value are not understood, perhaps a thin prototype — a short exercise — can be commissioned. A study tour may be more illuminating. If significant time, effort, or investment is required, it should probably be executed as an independent project.

What to expect

Typical outputs of business analysis are indicated within the process outlined above.

Business analysis can be expected to provide:

- A plan for the study
- Interim outputs that confirm the findings as they are documented
- A final report that may include a log frame and sublog frame
- Some formal confirmation that the report has been accepted by the business area, which intends to implement the initiatives that it can sensibly implement from its own resources, and submit the proposal for financial and technical support for those that it cannot.
World Bank context

A World Bank country representative or task manager may be confronted with a request for financial and perhaps technical support for some conceived investment. In principle, the request would have been based on a sound business plan — however this may not often be the case. At the very least before discussing the proposal to any great degree, the intended beneficiary should be required to document a convincing summary of its business plan that would include:

- What it wants to do — the fundamental objective (which should not be something in the order of implementing a computer system, or modernizing or upgrading a computer system)
- Why it wants to do this
- What it entails in some detail
- Cost estimate
- Benefits summary
- Risk summary
- Complementary organization development initiatives
- Why this is the right option, which should include other options considered and discarded.

The intended beneficiary may need assistance to prepare even this summary.

The business case should be assessed from many perspectives: desirable World Bank business; compatibility with the overall loan; convincing business case; informed, realistic technical proposal that considers and caters to the true consequences of implementation, realistic cost estimates, and realistic benefits estimate.

In general, there should be no need for proof of theory for ICT initiatives. The investments should not be “leading edge”. If concepts are difficult to sell through lack of understanding within the intended user community, thin-prototyping may be contemplated. Prototypes require capital equipment and there may be impediments such as effecting these quickly under World Bank procedure, and prejudicing any downstream procurement activity.

Where to seek further guidance

**Within the World Bank**
Consult PADs containing large ICT project components.

**External to the World Bank**
Good practice in developing sustainable information systems / Supporting Guides (esp. SG3, 6, 8, 11), Department for International Development (UK), CCTA 1998
Managing benefits

Business change requires investments: financial, time, effort, and other resources. The motivation for the investments is an intended improvement or benefits. The benefits will not accrue by accident; they may not accrue even with good planning and execution of the initiative. The benefits need to be managed.

The rewards of benefit management include:

- *Aide mémoire* of the purpose in the midst all the fuss of implementation
- Signaling to people directly and indirectly involved, the purposes and targets of the initiatives
- Current circumstances better understood
- More informed, clearer decisions when major or minor shocks modify direction and priorities
- Better knowledge of progress and whether adjustment is desirable
- Increased likelihood of a successful investment
- Feedback for future initiatives
- Steps for benefit management.

1. Identify expected benefits

   - Identification requires imagination, experience, and an appreciation of the planned initiatives.
   - Benefits should be related to a business activity.
   - Identification may be
     - Objectives-driven: using objectives of the initiative to derive expected benefits
     - Activities-driven: analyzing the way activities are affected by the initiative to derive expected benefits
     - System-led: based on the purported benefits of the system to be implemented applicable to the business area (reactive and complacent, at risk of a flawed system, and least effective for genuine change).
   - Benefits may be direct or indirect, quantifiable financially or otherwise, or not quantifiable.
   - Business benefits rarely flow directly from IS. It is the new and improved business process in which the IS is engaged that delivers the benefits.

Table 11 gives some examples of high level benefits that may accrue through the introduction of an effective IS.

*Table 11 Examples of high level benefits from an IS*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imperatives</th>
<th>Business area better able to fulfill policy, legal, regulatory imperatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality of service</td>
<td>Quicker response to clients; more detailed, accurate, and timely information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>Less time spent in superfluous record keeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More informed, timely, accurate basis of decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More responsive to internal and external trends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity</td>
<td>Decrease in resources spent in nonproductive activity, duplicate recording, record searching, and so on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in resources in productive capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash flow</td>
<td>Accurately, timely accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved debt situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation</td>
<td>Reduction or elimination of routine and tedious work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic fit</td>
<td>Support for effecting and monitoring other initiatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Quantify expected benefits
   - Expected benefits may be used as performance measures or performance indicators — proxy measures.
   - Performance indicators should be quantified in SMART terms — specific, measurable, achievable, results oriented, and time oriented.
   - Establish baselines of current performance.
   - Quantification may require detailed activity statistics, performance statistics, and other volumetrics from the existing business practices to establish baselines.
   - Benchmarks of good practice and results from comparable initiatives elsewhere may provide a frame of reference.
   - Apply mitigating factors: for example, not all additional time may go to productive activity; if clerks are redirected to productive duty, newly trained persons may perform less ably than old hands; be aware of the law of diminishing returns such as in debt collection, in tapping the informal economy. The business area manager should protect himself from too ambitious targets. Bear in mind the business case needs to be established.
   - In quantification, it may be prudent to apply sensitivity analysis to factors that contribute to the measure or indicator and adjust targets accordingly.
   - In the anticipation of benefits it is better to think positively. An IS can reduce clerical effort and permit it to be redirected toward more productive effort. For example: IS may relieve a nurse from the burden of administrative work, freeing up twenty percent additional time for patient care; or IS may eliminate effort spent in inspection of tax returns, permitting 100,000 additional hours of debt recovery or audit per annum. This is preferable to twenty percent reduction in nursing staff or letting go of fifty revenue clerks.
   - Care should be taken in formulating measures and indicators. There can be unintended effects. For example, reduction in the portfolio of social insurance claims under investigation can be achieved by slowing the administrative process so that claims are held in an alternative category, or by simply accepting them without investigation.

3. Define benefits management plan
   - Benefits do not accrue automatically, they require to be managed and some effort is needed to achieve them.
   - Establish and publicize a scheme for monitoring, diagnosing, reporting, and responding performance measures and indicators.
   - Establish IS to record and analyze performance data.
   - Assess and revise the benefit management plan as the needs arise.

4. Monitor, diagnose, and respond
   - Follow the benefit management plan.
   - Be alert to J-curve effects — initiatives may, in the short term, degrade performance during familiarization with new procedures, personnel, and so on.
   - The initiative may be one of many concurrent initiatives. It may be difficult to ascribe cause and effect.
   - There may be changes in the business environment that influence measurements to accentuate or diminish performance measures and indicators.

**Output of benefit management**
- Benefits identified and quantified
- Benefits management plan in place

(These first two outputs may be part of a business case, IS and IT plan, or implementation project plan.)
- Benefits tracking system in place
- Benefits tracking reports — quantitative measures from some MIS and qualitative (surveys, proxy measures) together with diagnosis and response
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Software development is too often characterized by approaches that are haphazard, and trial-and-error (more error than trial!). Costs can spiral and benefits can be stubbornly elusive. This is unnecessary. Disciplines can be applied to deal with software as an engineered product that undergoes planning, analysis, design, implementation, testing, and maintenance.

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides an overview of the processes involved in delivering the IS part of the proposed future concept of operation.

There are many well-documented technologies (methods) applicable to some or all of the processes involved. The information described here intends to be generic.

There is an abundance of narrow and interchangeable specialties, some more enduring than others, and some that burn brightly but only for a short while. Despite the ocean of minutiae that pertains in this domain, paradoxically this chapter is quite short.

The domain is littered with jargon and nomenclature. Online glossaries may be useful, for example, www.webopedia.com.
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11. IS engineering

Introduction

There is a marked difference in the process of delivering ICT, when the stage is reached for the actual delivery of the IS and technology. To the greater extent, Parkinson’s law no longer applies. In earlier stages, the extent of the work expands to the capacity of resources and allotted time. The outputs are documentation that cannot be fully tested and of arbitrary levels of detail (determined by the allotted resources and time). When it comes to the delivery of actual and usable systems, however, the products and components are tangible, testable, of a set quality, and have inescapable and somewhat inelastic characteristics. The products and components and their predetermined characteristics determine the resources and time needed to deliver them, not vice versa.

To illustrate the difference, consider responses to — “how much time and effort should be applied to the business case for a bridge, a hospital, a school…”, versus “how much time, effort, and material is required to build this specific bridge, this particular hospital, this school?”

There are influences upon system engineering by project management, quality management, and World Bank procurement models and vice versa. Those chapters of the toolkit dealing with these other topics should be consulted.

Note that the procedures also apply where prototyping, and in so-called rapid application development methods. There are differences and these are highlighted later in this chapter.

Where to begin — contingent on where we are

There are two broad parts of the process of IS engineering: definition of product, and build of product. These parts are themselves broken into several stages. The process will begin with a definition of the product to be engineered (refer to quality management, chapter 3). Where exactly the definition process begins is contingent upon the process that has occurred up to this point and the depth of studies so far.

Definition phase

The first part — the definition phase of system engineering — is illustrated in figure 9. The key outcome in the first part is to prepare a definition of a set of products and the attributes of the products that permit the structured approach to commence.

In a well-structured program, there would already have been steps in this direction. So, the starting point of the IS engineering is dependent upon the work that has already been done toward corporate planning, strategic planning for IS and IT, and business analysis in the target business areas.
The techniques in the first part are applied in an environment where formal structures of problem definition are not yet present and where the main actors are generally unfamiliar with system engineering (build phase) notions, processes, products, and most significantly the representational languages and techniques used. The appropriate techniques in the definition phase should be correspondingly friendlier and less challenging and must be produced in a manner than can be applied later in the development cycle.

The techniques will seek to bring increasing focus and definition upon fuzzy concepts — ones that are perhaps well defined to a business area person, but too fuzzy for the application of engineering procedures.
The techniques are used in close working relationships with personnel untrained in system engineering — that is, with eventual product users and persons responsible for business decisions related to the products. The techniques need to accommodate differences in both the investigative requirements and language or frame of reference. These techniques cannot properly be described as engineering. The techniques for early product life are more closely related to problem...
Good practice
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solving and decision-making disciplines and the so-called soft systems techniques (see chapter 9, annex A). Refer here also to chapter 10, concerning business analysis.

The steps undertaken during the early stages of product life vary from product to product and project to project according to the “point of departure”, and influences. Point of departure depends upon the amount of preparatory work available to the development team. In some cases there may be strategic plans in place and well defined — these will constrain but also facilitate and expedite the decision-making. The requirements may already be well defined; the system design options may have been already presented, assessed, a broad design chosen, even to the extent that the broad design may have been completed.

Several influences may alter the nature of the products and the steps to their preparation. The product may be constrained by technical infrastructure or, conversely, the product as it develops may be able to dictate the technical architecture. The product may be anticipated as a green field development or as an acquisition, or the approach may be constrained in some other manner. The product may be (preferably is) some customization of an off-the-shelf product. It may be a classical application development. On the other hand, the product may require integration of several components such as some bespoke development, some legacy systems, and some enhancement of those systems, some off-the-shelf products, and some contemporary but independent developments.

There are options for the delivery of the system: by development or by acquisition, and if by acquisition, the degree of specificity that the client is seeking: ranging from innovative system design based on thin requirements only, to increasingly constrained design as the definition approaches detailed specification. The client may be cognizant of its budget position and be willing to “bend” toward an off-the-shelf solution — that solution encapsulating good practice.

The exact sets of steps to be followed and sets of products to be produced are contingent upon the specific circumstances and will need to be investigated, documented, and agreed upon with the product sponsor, project board, and project financier(s) such as the World Bank. In general then there is one overriding principle: one size does not fit all. There is only one universal and common starting point — to determine the current circumstances and then to proceed by an appropriate set of steps toward the identification and definition of products suitable for software engineering.

One factor that should be emphasized is the priority assigned to cost estimation. Many methods will largely ignore the costs for a system, conveniently allowing this to be out-of-scope. This is inappropriate. An IS for business area x can be developed to many different levels of facility for x. Any IS that has no budget constraint is possible to design and build. However, the client needs to be able to afford it, and should be advised as early as possible of ball-park sizing to determine what level of facility is sustainable and sensible, and be kept abreast of cost data as knowledge of the requirements and design matures. This requires a sufficient but not necessarily complete definition of the product upon which the cost estimates are based and from which the build stages will proceed.

For the purposes of this document, the workflow described assumes a broad general approach for a comprehensive set of products from a point just prior to the requirements definition stage. The point of departure then for this method is a “strategic snapshot”, as figure 11 illustrates.

The steps within these processes are not described here — that would be dependent upon the method that is engaged by the system developer. Annex A lists some characteristics that may be expected. An underlying concept is that the policies and procedures for software development are akin to standard plans in a manufacturing environment. The project plan for the development (akin to an actual production plan) defines in a manner appropriate to the circumstances: the stages, the activities within the stages, staging points, and the theoretical or real go-no-go decision points.
Figure 11 General model for the workflow for product definition and relationship to build phase
**Build phase**

The definition phase should provide sufficient information for two purposes: for the system developer or provider to adapt the techniques relevant to the selected IS development tool set and deliver the software for the new system, and for the technical infrastructure (computer and network hardware, and system software and attendant services) to be specified and acquired. The definition phase should provide some certainty regarding the cost, effort, and timing of delivery of these two parts of the eventual change in the business process. The last chapter of this toolkit elaborates on other aspects of the implementation of computer-aided business systems.

For the purposes of this document, there is an assumption of a significant project where the new IS will be operated on technical infrastructure to be acquired. There may be instances where the existing technical infrastructure determines the shape of the IS, but such circumstances should be avoided. In those cases there would still be likelihood for supplemental capacity in technical infrastructure.

The delivery and acquisition of the application software and the technical infrastructure can occur via several paths, for example, parallel activities, an all-encompassing turnkey approach, or in stages. For the purposes of this section, the steps to acquire technical architecture and to acquire or develop the software application will be illustrated.

For the technical infrastructure, knowledge of the application software is essential and hence the acquisition of the technical infrastructure can only proceed when architecture and performance parameters are known, that is, when a software package has been contracted, or for a bespoke development, when the design is sufficiently mature.

As the application solution matures, there may be some influence on the technical infrastructure. If, for example, the application software were a customized off-the-shelf package, many characteristics of the technical infrastructure would be known pending the advice of the application software supplier.

**Technical infrastructure**

While there may be some setting of installation and configuration parameters, technical infrastructure will be bought rather than made. The method for the build phase therefore has four basic stages: detailed specification of the requirements; procurement; installation; and ongoing operation, support, and maintenance. No prescription of the method is attempted here. Some considerations include:

1. **Bid lot strategy**

The system design provides an initial overview of the goods and services required for the technical infrastructure for the new system. Decisions need to be made regarding the bid lots pertaining to any acquisition. This may be one bid lot. Lots may be allocated depending on site or time of supply (for example, a pilot site first with separate procurement for subsequent sites). Lots may be allocated depending upon component type (for example, personal computers in one lot, servers in another, system software in another, services combined or let separately). Other combinations can be conceived. There may be some combinations of such lots.

Certain procurements such as building works, electrical wiring, data cabling, furniture and fittings, stationery and other consumables, very possibly will not be supplied competitively by the usual suppliers of technical infrastructure. That is, multiple lots will be common.

Coordination of multiple suppliers needs to be the responsibility of either the purchaser or a designated system integrator. For example, there will be need to coordinate major and minor building works influenced by the new concept of operation for the business process and contemporary good practice for smart buildings. Furniture and fittings will be similarly influenced. Stationery will be determined by the new concept of operation and will determine some of the characteristics of hardware devices and software drivers. Cabling and wiring, and power supplies will depend on the concept of operation and the operating requirements of the specific computer and network hardware.
Considerations of lot design should also include: capability of the market to supply, the cost of administration of multiple lots, the benefits to component interoperability through prime contractor relationship, obtaining the best components through multiple lots, testing supplier capability through multiple lots, spreading economic benefits through multiple lots.

Procurement procedures will be prescribed by national and organizational regulation and by any financial agreement with donors and lenders. Within those parameters, there are choices in procurement route and procedures such as use of expressions of interest to gauge market capability, and use of multiple-stage bid procedures to improve bidders understanding of the requirement.

Administrative and transparency imperatives reduce the quality of the procured solution and should be weighed judiciously.

Size and content will dictate whether any process is direct, limited or fully competitive, and national or international.

Capital investment is also a consideration, affecting the use of outsourced systems services, or application service providers, or whether to go for partial investment in capital acquisition (such as of computer terminals).

2. Sizing

A difficult part of the process is to estimate sufficient quantities of goods and services to satisfy the requirements. Considerations would be based on knowledge of a mature application design, according to location, and depending on the broad architecture for the solution. The sizing process will include:

- Business transaction rates (such as the rate at which new patients arrive and register per hospital) as determined by observed statistics and allowing for growth over the life of the configuration; application system transaction rates (usually some multiple of business transaction rates plus derived “system” transactions); target service time for the transactions (such as registration within two minutes); and tolerable queue lengths — to determine the number of transaction workstations, such as personal computers, receipt printers, scanners, barcode readers
- Staff or user numbers per job type plus the degree of workstation sharing — to supplement the number of workstations
- Type of transactions — to determine characteristics of client workstations, such as integrated cash drawers on receipt tills
- Transaction rates, data characteristics of the application software, response and turnaround times — to determine LAN and WAN bandwidth estimates
- Network topology — to determine numbers of LAN channels, hubs, switches, routers, WAN routers, brouters, gateways, modems, etc.
- Placement and sharing considerations in addition to transaction rates — to determine the population of network printers
- Transaction rates and physical media characteristics — to determine the capacity of high volume printers, post-print paper handlers, scanners, and other such shared devices
- Transaction rates and physical media characteristics — to determine the stores of consumables and replenishment rates
- Transaction rates, customer base, and other volumetrics — to determine the amount of disk space, processor capacity and architecture of equipment for database server, application server, file server, web server, web-filing server
- Numbers of workstations or numbers of users — to determine the arrangements for software licenses for server and workstation operating systems, database managers, system development, data analysis, desktop tools and system tools, virus protection and other security software, and so on
- The total numbers of devices — to determine services for installation, cabling (and the quantity of cables and connectors, conduit, racks, power points and so on)
- The total number of devices — to determine overall power supply and backup power, UPS requirements
- Resilience and redundancy should be included — to determine, for example, additional workstations, peripherals, processors, CPU, RAM and disk configurations of servers, whole servers, perhaps whole sites
- Technical good practice — to introduce equipment and influence capacities, for example, training services, development services, test services, configuration management, site preparation goods and services, furniture and fittings, equipment and materials for environmental monitoring, control and response, devices for physical security, backup devices, power supply monitors and regulators, firewalls on Internet connected services, network and system management hardware and software, diagnostic and repair equipment, tools, spare parts, consumable supplies

All this sizing data contributes to the estimates of number of user staff, operator staff and specialist staff, and any specialist temporary or permanent services for implementation and operation.

The technical infrastructure is commonly, nowadays, a smaller component of the total real cost of operation of any new business system. Consequently, the sizing should be conservative — that is, with contingency built in — while not be so unwieldy as to be indefensible.

### 3. Specification

Following the identification and quantification of goods and services pertaining to the technical infrastructure, the various classes need to be specified. Specifications will be influenced by factors such as:

- Application software requirements
- Operational requirements
- Ergonomic requirements
- Physical environment
- Physical characteristics such as of stationery
- Durability and reliability considerations
- Size and capacity data
- Interoperability requirements
- Interconnection requirements
- Scalability considerations
- Derived requirements in underlying hardware and software
- Industry standards and contemporary norms for good characteristics in components
- Reliability of supply, support, maintenance, and other commercial considerations
- National and organizational policies for supply
- Organizational, national, and international standards for interoperability, emission controls, safety, and so on.

The specifications need to tread a fine line between being too broad (and potentially not being sufficient for the total requirement) and too narrow (and risking incompleteness and a need for supplementary purchases).

It is wise not to be overly specific in the specification. A shopping list would leave the purchaser open to additional purchases for components overlooked, or dependent upon a specific supplier’s particular technical and commercial approaches. Instead, words to the effect “The bidder is required to offer other goods needed for the operation and interoperation of the specified components and to offer to install such…” should be included in the invitations for bids.

### 4. Services

Services will usually attend the goods. It is good practice to be very cautious about a purchaser’s technical capability to install out-of-the-box, despite protests from any technical staff. There are also commercial considerations for warranty and support and maintenance.
Services should include project management, installation services, training, support and maintenance. Consideration should also be given to expert consulting to properly use and operate the technology. Depending upon the capabilities and choices of the purchaser, consideration may also be given to operational support, facility management, and outsourcing.

Quantities also apply to services:

- There should be some specification per service type of the required numbers of individuals, number of days of input, and minimum skill levels.
- The number of training events and training event characteristics such as training objective, level of training, class sizes, event locations, and minimum trainer skills should quantify the training. For highly specialized low volume training travel to a supplier venue might be expected, otherwise training can be located in the purchaser’s premises. The use of in-house training capability may influence the split in the supply training between the technical infrastructure supplier and the in-house trainers, for example, for high volume, low technology training events such as basic terminal operation, or basic use of desktop tools.
- Support and maintenance should be quantified in terms of service levels for hardware and software, times of cover, response and repair times, and support services included within the agreement.

5. Cost estimation

It is wise to revisit the cost estimate for both acquisition and operation of the technical infrastructure when the specifications are determined. This provides another opportunity to confirm that costs of this element of the final IS are acceptable to the organization.

Capital costs

- The goods elements of the technical infrastructure are increasingly commodities. Cost estimation for goods is consequently becoming more reliable. Estimation should be a relatively simple matter of market research.
- System software pricing strategies are more mercurial — being a less tangible commodity, driven less by material inputs than by historic investment in the intellectual content. However, an estimate can be derived from market research and quantities.
- Supplier strategies for discounting, purchasing market, and so on cannot be known.
- Estimates for services are less reliable. The supplier’s degree of discretion is higher — it can adjust its margins more or less at will, and will do so according to its assessment of competition and profit potential. Certain services are better categorized as one-time costs associated with capital acquisition. This will include project management, installation, and initial inputs by specialists. The estimation process should involve a quantification of days of input by category and some market research for pessimistic rates.

Recurrent costs

- In addition to acquisition related costs, there are invariably other recurrent costs for ongoing operation, such as consumables, electricity, WAN charges, Internet charges, off-site storage charges, standby-site fees, ASP charges, FM charges, outsourcing charges, and support and maintenance. Consumables (such as preprinted and standard paper and envelopes, exchangeable media such as magnetic tapes, compact disks, printer cartridges and ribbons, maintenance materials, spare parts, fuel for backup generators) can be estimated based on type of consumable, expected annual consumption, and unit price.
- A number can be computed for electricity charges based on the number of devices, estimated power requirements and prevailing charge rates.
- WAN charges can be estimated using the published rates of the WAN provider. The charges will depend upon the type and capacity of circuits purchased and possibly the distance in circuit segments, the time that a circuit is used and the quantity of data transferred.
- If Internet service is provided or used, other than the purchaser’s own facilities for hosting, ISP charges may accrue based on quantity of data transferred, time expected for connection, and web-hosting fees.
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- Off-site storage usually involves use of secure premises operated, for example, by commercial banks at a convenient distance from the site housing the backup devices. Charges can be investigated through enquiry with such banks.

- Some installations may rely upon standby sites, and shared commercial operations, for quick restoration of service following a catastrophe. The service levels of the standby facility determine the costs that may be investigated with service providers.

- The system may involve the use of external facilities such as ASP, bureau services, facilities management, outsource providers. Charging structures vary greatly but may be estimated from an amortization of the alternative corresponding capital investment cost estimate.

- For support and maintenance some heuristics can be applied: ten percent for hardware and fifteen percent for software are usually sufficient. If there are other specific inputs in the support and maintenance, such as routine visits to sites, cost estimates should be supplemented accordingly.

Contingencies

- In the cost estimation, it will be wise to include a contingency figure. The specifications will normally only cover “headline items”. Two forms of contingency may be considered.

  - Cost contingency — a figure of ten percent will be normal if not particularly scientific and may be used to provide some protection against unidentified components and cost movements.

  - A capacity contingency — say another ten percent but dependent upon knowledge of the intended application, may be used to provide some protection against an unexpectedly resource-hungry application, unreliable statistics of business transaction rates, or unexpected growth in business volumes.

7. Procurement process

The procurement process will entail detailed rules of procurement, forms of contract, rules of contracting, and procedures for contract administration. Refer to chapter 8 concerning World Bank procurement and project models.

In the procurement of technical infrastructure for IS the delivery schedule has a distinguishing characteristic. The industry is particularly dynamic. The commercial life of products is short — almost all under twelve months and some, such as personal computers, under three and six months. At the same time predictions about the availability, performance, and relevance (even existence) of future products are extremely optimistic and unreliable. The World Bank rightly requires that all goods in an offer be available in widespread distribution at the time of offer. The appropriate responses to this include:

- Construct projects with short lead times if possible. Unfortunately a serious project rarely has short lead times.

- Expect and cater for changes in the supply of specific components. The right to demand recent models should be incorporated in the contract (together with equitable price adjustment).

- Importantly, do not purchase and stockpile quantities of IT equipment, and do not accept and pay for delivery until immediately prior to operational use.

Application Software

The application software may be delivered or acquired through several approaches: standard off-the-shelf software, customized off-the-shelf-software, or bespoke development. These are described later. Regardless of approach, there are many software engineering methods that can be applied. No particular method is described here. However, the engineering of the software will involve the elements described in the following paragraphs. For all of the following,

- The origins will lie in the requirements and design specification

- User participation should be stressed in theory and in practice

- There is a need to balance considered, planned development and “analysis paralysis”.

Contingencies

- In the cost estimation, it will be wise to include a contingency figure. The specifications will normally only cover “headline items”. Two forms of contingency may be considered.

  - Cost contingency — a figure of ten percent will be normal if not particularly scientific and may be used to provide some protection against unidentified components and cost movements.

  - A capacity contingency — say another ten percent but dependent upon knowledge of the intended application, may be used to provide some protection against an unexpectedly resource-hungry application, unreliable statistics of business transaction rates, or unexpected growth in business volumes.
Software engineering elements:

- Standards, policies, and procedures for specification, development, testing, release, and acceptance (there is a relationship between the software engineering methods and the project management and quality management methods. Refer to other chapters of the toolkit for guidance)

- Tools and procedures for system design and specification such as a CASE (computer aided system and software engineering) tool; for programming such as editors, compilers, link-editors, and interpreters; configuration management such as a librarian, or version control tool

- A configuration library (a data dictionary, CASE database, a system encyclopedia depending upon the vernacular of the particular engineering methods and tools) that contains all the documentation and software products and components

- Detailed specification of functional requirements — that is the tasks that the software should perform and its input-output behavior, elaborated from the system design

- Detailed specification of nonfunctional requirements — characteristics such as the target operating environment (to establish fitness-for-purpose), the manner in which it functions, its technical basis, the human-machine interface model, simplicity, extendibility, maintainability, performance, reliability, and so on elaborated from the system design

- Detailed database specification — logical models (pertinent entities, their attributes and relationships represented according to the database technology used, such as tables, columns, and primary and secondary keys in relational database systems) and physical models (describing how the tables are organized to achieve performance objectives)

- Specifications of individual programs, modules, subroutines, objects, and so on depending upon the vernacular of the delivery environment

- Test plans for each individual program, module, subroutine, object — so-called unit test plans that confirm that the program performs according to its specification, neither more nor less

- Unit test results with at least one per unit confirming successful testing

- Test plans for the individual programs, and so on working in combination — so-called system test plans that confirm the interoperability of the programs and that the software satisfies the functional and nonfunctional requirements

- System test results with at least one set confirming successful verification (that the functions work correctly) and validation (that all the software is traceable to a requirement)

- Acceptance test plans — a form of system test engaged by the user or purchaser

- Acceptance test results with at least one culminating in an acceptance certificate

- Documentation concerning releases, versions, installation, operation, and usage

- Training materials

- Hardware and system software environments for development, testing, support, maintenance and training

- Documentation describing the installation, operation and use of the these environments

As mentioned previously, the application software may be delivered or acquired through several approaches: standard off-the-shelf software, customized off-the-shelf software, or bespoke development. These are further described in the following paragraphs.

- Simple procurement of standard software licenses: this is a relatively straightforward procedure somewhat akin to the purchase of technical infrastructure. Specifications, test planning and testing, and implementation activities would nevertheless be required.

- Procurement of customized off-the-shelf software: this would be the most likely and preferred approach for most modern business systems. The approach:
  - Tailors the software to the purchaser’s requirements
  - Builds on past experience
  - Imports good practice learnt elsewhere
- Avoids reinvention of the wheel
- Reduces risk of delivery
- Reduces delivery lead time
- Reduces distractions to the delivery process
- Reduces the number of problems and hence increases focus and reduces opportunity for obfuscation.

Customization may start with a “package” that substantially fulfills the business requirements through existing software or a “framework” that substantially parallels the purchaser’s requirements, but requires software development for the specific purpose. A package requires more investment by the vendor and is geared toward a deep and wide potential market, whereas the framework will usually encapsulate good practice in a small niche market that will not warrant full commercialization.

There can be disadvantages: for example, if the amount of tailoring is high and the purchaser intransigent to change, the effort to modify the package may be greater than starting the whole process from the beginning. The cost of the package may be unsustainable, although in such cases the cost, complexity, and risk of an alternative bespoke development will also be high, probably higher, and unsustainable. The technical infrastructure needed by the package may be inexpensive to purchase and operate, but may be dated, unavailable in the purchaser’s country, incompatible with strategic architectures (however, if the extent of the application warrants, there would be good reason to revise the strategy), or in some other way undesirable or unsustainable. Ownership is not usually a right of the license — the purchaser may be restricted in future modifications and extensions.

The first step in the options selection during the definition phase should be to establish whether suitable packages seem to exist, by expert advice, market research, or inviting expressions of interest.

All the engineering steps listed above would be expected to apply for customization. Customization may involve:
- Parameterization — where data are input during the installation to configure the software to the purchaser and user
- Customization — where existing software is modified or supplemented for the purposes of the purchaser and user
- Extension — where new software is specified and developed to meet the requirements of the purchaser and user
- Any of the above in combination

- Procurement of off-the-shelf-software to be customized by the purchaser: this is a variation of the previous one, and may be considered if the customization effort is small; the purchaser genuinely has the capacity to customize; the vendor sells the right to customize to the purchaser; warranty and support and maintenance can somehow be sustained. There are consequential risks in this approach.

- Bespoke development by a supplier: this is risky, and should be entertained only when there is no appropriate product available. There may be a reinvention of the wheel — unfortunately without the benefit of experience. Focus in requirement and design is diminished. Learning curve is higher for both supplier and purchaser. Opportunity for obfuscation is higher. Opportunity for importing good practices is lower. Tendency to automate existing practice rather than modernize is higher. Attendant features such as documentation and training need also to be prepared. Focus easily shifts from the implementation of a computer-aided business system to by the melee of software development. Lead-time for delivery is longer. Costs are usually higher and quality lower. Experience and statistics suggest that such projects are more likely to fail.

If successful, the advantages can include a better fit, ownership, flexibility for future modification and extension.

- In-house development by the purchaser: this is comparable to the previous approach although generally riskier. The purchaser usually does not have the competency and capacity to undertake a significant development. A significant project would require a major spike in productive capacity (that is system development staff and resources) that would not be required at termination. There is an absence of the clarity brought through a contract and the focus that can be provided through intervention by an external party. The opportunity to import good practice is severely diminished. The opportunity merely to wastefully automate existing practice is higher. The project is frail at termination without the security of a contract.
Some combination of the aforementioned approaches: a comprehensive project would usually entail a combination of approaches.

Prototyping

The foregoing applies equally well in the “waterfall” approach for system development or in modified approaches that use prototyping. The “waterfall” approach usually entails stages of requirement, design, and so on with significant review points at each stage. It can have the advantage of informed progress with feedback to the business case. It can have the disadvantage of investing time and effort in documentary products prior to any tangible IS, which may have little impact on the purchaser or user and take away from the vitality of the project.

In modified approaches, prototyping may be used:

- During requirement definition and high-level design to help the purchaser or user define aspects critical to them by demonstration. Usually the demonstration refers to some key procedure that might ensue from a modernized process. The prototype will not generally be properly engineered, with little or no supporting data structures and only partial representation of business rules. It will merely demonstrate understandings of requirements, or proposals for the look and feel of the system. It will be discarded and not used in the eventual system.
- During the build phase, in a complex area, a substantial but perhaps still incomplete prototype can be used to fully clarify a business rule and a design approach in consultation with users. The fragment of software may still be incomplete since the target operating environment may be different, or an 80:20 rule applies to software — much of the code for software handles exception conditions rather than the core routine of the function.
- As a pilot project for some limited part of the eventual system. This may be limited by users affected, business clients supported, implementation sites, functions supported, or some combination of these. Usually such a prototype would be soundly engineered with the intention to retain it as the basis for the eventual system.

In some sense, every IS is a prototype. Requirements are never fully understood or satisfied. They evolve with the experience of use. The use of prototyping for iterative development of an entire system should be avoided. Retaining a memory on the business case can be elusive. Managing deployment is difficult in such cases. The opportunity for rework is very high and can mean expensive and embarrassing interaction with the purchaser’s clients, for example to repair data.

Web applications

A short note is included here for web applications since these are currently popular and there are differences in the skill sets that need to be engaged in a web project.

In general, the same development paradigm should apply for the software part of the web application. Differences lie in emphasis, with less care and attention to requirement specification and system design and more effort toward iterative development of the presentation layer.

The presentation layer is of paramount importance. The development of web pages involves graphic design. It does not involve programming in the business system sense. Programmers are not required for that part of the service delivery. It is more art than engineering and the skill sets need to be adjusted accordingly. (Conversely, web designers are generally not system engineers.)

Security of access is of primary importance. Many applications are merely presentation of some information or agenda that the organization is seeking to project. This is a valid and valuable use of the web. However, commercial and economic advantage lies in being able to provide an interface for the public to connect to and interact with the organization’s services. Clearly, an organization would be sensitive to unidentified persons accessing their databases. Therefore, usually, there is a division in the total service, with the web site projecting data from the organization and collecting data from the general population into a filing center. That filing center is a feeder to a trusted business application that administers the
organization’s database. Sometimes the design requires the registration and identification of users accessing the service over the web. User name and password schemes are often used for identification and authentication. Once again, there is a difference in emphasis in the security requirement. Whereas internal systems use similar schemes, the motivation is internal management, prevention of fraud, and so on. The web needs this, but places additional emphasis on privacy and data protection, since it is open to all. Pertinent technologies, such as encryption and use of secure circuits, need to be engaged.

The web site also has contractual, and therefore legal implications. For transactions of significance, private digital certificates are replacing physical signatures. These need to be recognized by law. For the mass of relatively low-value transactions, the law needs to recognize a digital contract based on the transaction alone. Often the financial transaction would rely upon credit providers. Consequently a commercial relationship needs to be established with such providers.

*Figure 12 Building blocks for e-services*
There are other differences. A web application will be built and delivered through different, supplementary technical infrastructure and tool sets. There may be an internal search engine. In implementation, the web site must be registered with popular search engines if it is to hope for hits. It may also seek to be included as a link in the web pages of other organizations. Some trading of link for link may be expected. The site may include other links for altruistic reasons. All this may be quite alien to the traditional software engineer.

Unfortunately the differences tend to dominate the process of delivering web applications. The boring, traditional, bread and butter applications need to be there to provide the data to be presented, or to process the transactions that arise from the web. The web site will be inexpensive and a simple, self-contained little subsystem and may distract from the difficult, expensive, risky, but vital traditional systems.

The artifacts of the definition phase and the build phases of IS engineering include many documentary specification products, software products, licenses, and hardware. These are described within the preceding subsections.

Some tips

Beware of “this is the only way …”. This is an argument of a narrow-thinking technologist rather than a business-orientated person. A virtue and vice of IS engineering is the variety of defensible approaches.

Never ask a technologist, “could you…?”. That can be taken on as a challenge regardless of whether it is worthwhile to the organization or not. IS and IT have potential to perform all manner of things but whether these efforts are useful needs to be considered. It would be better to ask “would you…?”.

IS engineering is fraught with faddism. Some new wonder products burn brightly but are short-lived. The latest product often represents many steps backward as well as forward. For example web development tools circa 2002 are bereft of productivity facilities such as field descriptors that were normal in third and fourth generation tools circa 1990. The selection of engineering approach should therefore be based on proven technology.

IS and IT are beset by relative obsolescence — working systems fall to disfavor simply because the underlying tool set is not the newest and shiniest. This applies to the technology as well as the end products. It is easy to be carried away by a wave of technology imperatives that contribute little or nothing to the bottom line. Again, the selection of engineering approach should be based on proven technology. There should be a business basis for the selection.

Prototyping has a place in the panoply of IS engineering methods. However, prototyping should not be a cover for “I'm too lazy to think”. It can lead to incomplete fragments that fail to solve the whole requirement. Analysis of requirement and specification of design should not be neglected.

IS engineering paradoxes:

- Planning saves time — but there is never enough time to plan.
- Engage users fully in system requirements and design — unfortunately users know what they want only after they have used a system for a while and decided on what other bits they would like.

World Bank context

IS engineering should be much the same regardless of environment, perhaps with a few minor differences:

- Absence of experience — also known as “having failed before”. There will be open questioning of (or polite indifference to) the need for the apparent periphery to “getting the computers”. Again, good practice boils down, not to the obvious, but to a host of seemingly arcane management and procedural solutions to problems not recognized; for example, importance of tools and procedures for configuration management, system and network management, why a package. “We can write our own, why prepare and agree on specifications when we can more easily write the software.” The answer is that these arcane procedures encapsulate good practice that would improve the likelihood of a successful implementation.
False presumption of capability. The ability to write software is relatively easy to attain — in fact is can be very easy to write even good software for a small application with one or few users. Developing and implementing systems is much harder and mostly for non-IT reasons. This can be a difficult argument to win prior to the first few catastrophes, despite the litany of IS failures.

IS and IT people still bluffing the business. There was a time when all computer departments were left alone by the business executives — through fear, absence of knowledge and understanding of what went on there, and the relatively minor impact on business. The excuses and many demands of the computer people were not challenged. Most developed country businesses are now less inclined to be bluffed and insist that decisions have sound basis and contribute to the bottom-line. The same may not be true in World Bank client countries. The IS people usually have a high standard of education and may appear to be able to contribute above their experience — they may be relied on too much for advice, especially in their own domain. Executives should be encouraged to prevent the IS department becoming the experimental workshop for its IS staff. Competitive advantage in the internal group lies not with knowledge of IT but with knowledge of the organization. Use of specialist suppliers for IT installation, support and maintenance, and for application software customization and development should be encouraged.

Retention of high caliber personnel. The ability of organizations in World Bank client countries to retain high caliber IT personnel is low. Good people are very mobile, nationally and internationally. Coupled with a wide array of technologies of relatively short life, this reinforces the use of skilled suppliers for technology-related aspects of the delivery, support and maintenance of IS. The organization would be better placed retaining personnel that become custodians of system requirements and system exploitation and personnel that have the skills to manage suppliers. Beyond strict financial incentives retention may be enhanced by the project giving prestige and opportunities for the learning of specialist skills, international training and future advancement (see also chapter 2, Human factors).

Encouragement of software package based approaches. An organization in World Bank client countries, recipient of a loan, credit or grant, is unlikely to be in possession of innovative good practice. Therefore, the argument for bespoke development is weak. The organization should be encouraged to work within existing products providing either a framework or a complete or near complete software solution. The advantages of this approach over a bespoke development were described previously. The organization should accommodate change, importing the good practice encapsulated in the selected software package. The IS is usually part of an institutional development after all, and therefore, changes in the organization should be expected.

Web sites are not one-time projects. Web sites are usually quite inexpensive and can be rapidly put together but they need to be backed up by a system that refreshes them. There are many developing country web sites that were built once and are never or rarely kept up to date. Browse the web sites of developing country government departments, for example in India.

Where to seek further guidance

External to the World Bank

Good practice in developing sustainable information systems: Supporting Guides (esp. SG4, 6, 9, 13), Department for International Development (U.K.), CCTA 1998
Elements expected in definition phase products

Requirements Specification

The requirements specification should entail:

- An analysis of the business areas
- Descriptions of business problems
- Lists and summaries of system requirements.

The contents would be used

- To prepare system design options
- To prepare the broad design statement
- To validate the system design statement (the system design elements can be traced to requirements)
- As reference material for system design and more broadly for system understanding.

The specification should be tuned to the business requirement and is largely technology independent. It should define what the system is to do. It can be expected to contain:

Table 12 Requirements specification contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction</th>
<th>Purpose of the study and layout of the specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Brief outline of salient points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem description</td>
<td>Problems in the current system that frustrate the business or operational objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business objectives</td>
<td>Relevant objectives for business ambitions of the product sponsor; product champion; source of funds; and other key business personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational objectives</td>
<td>Objectives for the operating concepts of the user system being described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology objectives</td>
<td>Objectives for the development and implementation environments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System design objectives</td>
<td>Functions that when implemented would resolve or effectively lessen the problems defined and, where possible, quantitative targets for each function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance characteristics</td>
<td>A list of broadly defined performance characteristics required of the new system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function chart</td>
<td>A two-dimensional list and categorization of functions that are required from the new system in terms of discrete business functions to be supported with brief details of each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(The level of detail is of some complete business function that can be handled at one time by one officer. Steps to be completed within each such function are not needed at this time and in any case are better described by the process models — see below.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business process models</td>
<td>Merger of strategies, models of the current organizations, and discussions to synthesize a proposal for future operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized entity-relationship model</td>
<td>An entity-relationship model at a generalized level needed to satisfy the requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions and recommendations</td>
<td>Subsequent steps that are necessary and actions prescribed as a result of the SRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendix</td>
<td>Business process models (existing operation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
System Design Options

There are two steps in the selection of a high-level design: preparation of system design options, and debate and selection leading to documentation of the selected system design.

The system design options should:

- Illustrate a range of options available in terms of components that would be used in the IS (whether computerized or complementary to the computerized procedures), as well as the implications for the technical infrastructure
- Illustrate the extent to which and how the option meets the requirements as described in the requirements
- Illustrate cost drivers associated with each option
- Provide a start point for the refinement and selection of a design approach to underscore the system design.

The contents would be used:

- To facilitate decisionmaking during the preparation of the system design statement
- As reference material for system design and more broadly for system understanding.

The system design options contain a number of models (usually at least two) that briefly outline approaches to the system design. The design options would be revised by review and debate to result in a selected option. The selected option would:

- Document the selection decision incorporating variations introduced during the debate of the options
- Document the rationale for selection
- Frame the broad design statement
- Provide reference material for system design and more broadly for system understanding
- Illustrate the extent to which and how the selection meets the requirements as described in the requirements
- Illustrate cost drivers associated with the selection.

The options should outline how the system is to satisfy the requirements. It could be expected to contain:

Table 13 System design options contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Introduction</th>
<th>Purpose of the study and layout of the SRC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>List of the options described and a brief outline of salient points of each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option comparison</td>
<td>List and brief explanation of the advantages of the illustrated options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For each option:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System size and scope</td>
<td>A brief outline of the system anticipated in terms of system size and scope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS components</td>
<td>A brief outline of the key components (bespoke subsystems, package solutions, customized off-the-shelf products, legacy systems, complementary procedures, external information services, and so on) to be deployed to satisfy the requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users and usage</td>
<td>A brief outline of the anticipated involvement of personnel during its operation, styles of user interfaces, and styles of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad technical architecture</td>
<td>Brief representation and description of the key components and issues of the technical architecture of the deployment environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance characteristics</td>
<td>A list of broadly defined performance characteristics anticipated under the option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery strategy</td>
<td>An overview of the approach to deliver the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost overview</td>
<td>An overview of key drivers for the development, acquisition, implementation, and technical infrastructure costs in addition to implications for operation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

System Design Statement

The system design statement takes the requirements and expands upon it in a manner consistent with selected system design option. It is distinguished from the requirements in that the design describes how the requirements will be met. It
defines the system in broad but sufficient detail to allow it to be confirmed in terms of the completeness and appropriateness of the design. It may or may not be of sufficient detail for commencement of the build steps. Detailed specification steps may also be required subsequently. It is often of sufficient detail for an acquisition step depending upon the degree of flexibility of design judged to be appropriate by the purchaser.

An important decision to be documented within the design is the mapping of the functions to a component of the available delivery set, for example, complementary procedure, bespoke development, legacy system, customized off-the-shelf product such as a business specific package or more standard product such as desktop tools. The design can be expected to contain:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 14 System design statement contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction and overview</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To outline the design and its purpose, to repeat system design objectives and to provide an overview of major features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concepts of operation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To briefly describe the modes of operation, for example, the “business day”, numbers of locations, transaction styles (batch, online, intranet-based, web-based, email based, and so on), office processing systems, distributed and centralized operating characteristics, security, and recovery and fall back requirements, data retention and archiving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To clarify the intended beneficiaries and operators of the system and the mode of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To highlight necessary changes that will result in operational procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To highlight organizational changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human–machine interface</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The style of interaction between the new system and its operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System input</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To list and provide a sample of each form of input that must be accommodated by the system and to briefly describe the form flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System output</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To list and at least briefly describe the content, format, and style of each output expected of the system and the purpose of the output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System functions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To list and at least briefly describe all functions to be supported by the system, the manner in which supported, and by what component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To list and briefly describe relevant input and output flows, data base usage, formulae and algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Generalized entity–relationship model</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To modify and elaborate the entity–relationship model to introduce design constructs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complementary procedures</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To list procedures that complement the automated systems and are necessary for their introduction into the workflow of the organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To specify per function or category of function the broad performance parameters which may include response time benchmarks, turnaround times, operating peaks, service availability requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technical infrastructure</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To describe the broad technical architecture that would be required to support the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Start–up activities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To describe briefly implementation activities such as office preparation, procurement requirements, data cleanup requirements, data load requirements, and the schedule of such activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extensity features</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To describe extensions to the system that should be anticipated in the original design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sizing information</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To briefly describe data volumes of abstract entities and approximate size per abstract entity or entity class, transaction volumes, process schedules, growth rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System installation cost Estimate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To provide a preliminary overview of the likely installation costs of the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System operating cost estimate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To provide a preliminary overview of the likely operating costs of the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivery strategy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An overview of the approach to deliver the system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delivery costing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A preliminary estimate of the delivery costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conclusions and recommendations</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent steps that are necessary and actions prescribed as a result of the design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good practice

Making the computer aided business system operational

“… Nothing is more difficult to handle, more doubtful of success and more dangerous to carry through than initiating change…. The innovator makes enemies of all who prosper under the old order and receives only lukewarm support from those who would prosper under the new. Their support is lukewarm from fear of adversaries, … and because men are generally incredulous of new things until tested by experience.” (Machiavelli)

What this chapter is about

This chapter provides an overview of the processes involved in placing IS and IT within the intended business process(es) and making operational the whole computer-aided business system. This is an area of neglect within many IS and IT projects, where people prefer to hide in the safe, familiar, contained domain of technology tools and processes.

Structure of this chapter

Introduction 145
Implementation begins at conception 145
Nowhere to hide 145
The cornucopia of implementation tasks 146
Where to seek further guidance 148
12. **Making the computer-aided business system operational**

**Introduction**

Implementation applies to all projects. IT systems also need to be “operationalized”. While some of the topics listed in this chapter are perhaps “overcooked” for simpler, small scale (unambitious, low benefit) projects, none of the sound business planning, and diligent engineering counts for anything if the desired changes in business processes are not actualized.

The major task of this chapter is to repeat again that an IS is much more than software and hardware, therefore, a term like “computer-aided business system” (or CABS) is more accurate and descriptive. Hardware and software is relatively easy to deliver being routine application of proven often-used tools and techniques by informed and experienced practitioners. Not at all routine is the new computer-aided business process. It will be unique in space and time, with the hardware and software merely a fragment of a much more complex intervention for change.

**Implementation begins at conception**

Implementation starts when the project is conceived and carries forward throughout the long dark night of delivery, during which time patience is tested, interest wanes, credibility diminishes, other initiatives distract, supplement, replace, obstruct, and so on.

Courtesy Machiavelli: “… nothing is more difficult to handle, more doubtful of success and more dangerous to carry through than initiating change…. The innovator makes enemies of all who prosper under the old order and receives only lukewarm support from those who would prosper under the new. Their support is lukewarm from fear of adversaries, … and because men are generally incredulous of new things until tested by experience.” This applies very well for changes in business processes. Change requires the dedication of a visionary project champion with strong allies.

Also per Machiavelli: “armed prophets conquer while unarmed come to grief”. In the context of ICT in World Bank projects, this could be interpreted as “be prepared and be able to force issues”. Conceiving the change, designing for it, planning for it, and guaranteeing the necessary resources are the “armor” for successful outcomes.

Implementation tasks begin with sensitizing the organization for change. The right environment is one where the organization’s constituents become willing to accept change. This may come about through apathy or complacence, a culture of compliance, a belief and trust in the leadership, or the dominance of leadership. In all cases, the key issue is leadership: a grand view that defines, builds, and maintains a purpose that is achieved by influencing other persons to accomplish goals.

**Nowhere to hide**

There comes a point in time when approximations and estimations no longer suffice, when consigning a task to a subsequent stage is not an option since there is no subsequent stage. Implementation is definitely the most intricate part of the process. Whereas plans and specifications are at best approximations, and software and hardware may be tested in the cocoon of the test environment, when the change is implemented in the business environment, when the organization’s clients are brought under the influence of the new business system, there is nowhere to hide. Every little piece of the minutiae of getting the project actually up and running has to be properly put in place.

The process of implementation is interdisciplinary. Implementation will involve senior management, local management, and personnel, perhaps at remote quasi-autonomous sites, and IT and IS persons — either internal persons or external (supplier) persons, more commonly both. It could involve people from finance and asset management, capital works, and an array of other external suppliers. Each of these various persons and groups have to get involved beyond their own comfort zones and get things done. The IS and IT people will not readily accept the gap between the theory of the new system and the reality of the business environment. The business area people will not be equipped either as individuals or
as business persons for the IS and IT part. Every piece of the minutiae has to be assigned to some group or individual, regardless of whether it is “below them”, very difficult to comprehend, or seemingly a triviality. The trivialities are nevertheless critical. This myriad of inputs needs to be scheduled, coordinated, executed, and tested. Progress needs to be communicated to other parties. Somehow in the midst change the business needs to persist — it would be rare luxury to be able to suspend business while the changes are implemented.

It is certain however, that without successful implementation the technology is worthless and the investment wasted.

The cornucopia of implementation tasks

There are many activities with many interrelationships. Many are performed in parallel and have lead times that can be quite short or very long. Several are mechanical, some have dependencies that are uncertain, most will have unexpected incidents and diversions. The network diagram for an implementation plan can be an unstable. Persons with specialist knowledge pertaining to each task should be engaged to plan and execute the various tasks.

Some of the tasks, in no particular order or priority:

- Continuing program of sensitizing for change: explaining why, what, when, where, by whom, for whom
- Implementation policy — such as big bang versus some partial segmentation (for example, by client type, site, functions, or combinations of these or other segments)
- Implementation planning — a blueprint taking into account everything that is to follow
- Site and segment specific plans that interpret the blueprint into the specific instance taking into account the idiosyncrasies pertaining to the specific site or segment
- Legal changes, legislative changes, regulation, commercial arrangements necessitated by the new methods of operation, and continuous monitoring of the regulatory environment for other changes to ensure that the system remains valid — including public forum to debate new legislation and regulation
- Potentially several procurement processes each involving conceptualizing bid lots, determining applicable bid procedures, site surveys by bidders, site plans for bidders, satisfaction of any regulatory approval processes (such as for building or other civil works, or for private telecommunications circuits), the actual procurement process, contracting, the attendant works, contract administration, inspection planning or acceptance planning, inspection or acceptance, sign-off, ongoing services contracts
- Civil works — for the IS and for new business procedures — such as for new or refurbished “smart” buildings — with flexible saturation wiring — could be part of some prime contractor arrangements but there are disadvantages for, say the technology supplier who would have no competitive advantage
- Electrical works for connections, power supply, supply regulation which may be part of capital works
- Data cabling which may also be conveniently let as capital works to share conduits
- Negotiation to permit works if the buildings are leased
- For already wired buildings, permissions and rights to use, monitor, and control existing backbone and network
- UPS — depending upon approach can be reasonably let with an IT supplier, especially where UPS is online to the computer, but if a comprehensive scheme is to be engaged, then more likely with the electrical contractor
- Backup power — best left to an electrical contractor as civil works
- Physical security systems — procurement of civil works, equipment and services
- Environmental monitoring and control — procurement of civil works, equipment and services
- WAN supplier — negotiation of circuits, rates, service levels, support and maintenance, allocation of circuits, interconnection with communications equipment, testing circuits and interoperation, ongoing monitoring and control
- Web service provider — procurement of services, negotiation for domain names, negotiation of service levels, and so on.
- Negotiation for web domain names
Good practice
Steps in process of delivery
Making CABS operational

- Procurement of technical infrastructure — there are interrelationships:
  - Such as elements of the technical infrastructure will require a certain operating environment if warranty and support and maintenance conditions are to remain valid
  - The technical infrastructure can usually be engaged relatively rapidly but determines a part of the requirements for civil works that usually have a long lead-time. Therefore, usually civil works commences ahead of the identification of the technical infrastructure supplier in the knowledge that some rework or additional work may be required.

- Off-site security — procurement of a service provider
- Installation of the technical infrastructure — including the installation and configuration of system software such as DBMS on each relevant device
- Installation and configuration of software application on each relevant device — may also require changes in the configuration of the technical infrastructure

- Data conversion, a process involving
  - Inspection and validation of machine readable records to be carried forward into the new system
  - Mapping between old and new data stores
  - Development of download and upload software
  - Execution and verification of data conversion (per site or segment as necessary)

- Data take-on, a process involving
  - Inspection and validation of physical records that are to be carried forward into the new system
  - Development of software, procedures for the one-time capture of data from physical records, and the process by which such data is entered and verified of accuracy

- Regression plans — plans to be prepared, tested, and used should the switch over to the new systems prove temporarily or permanently ill-fated

- Fall-back plans — plans to be prepared and tested for degraded operation should part of a service be unavailable for some period of time

- Resolution of interconnection and interoperation difficulties:
  - Perhaps off-set to some degree by bundling supplies into parcels under one or more prime contractor arrangements (but at cost over at least some inferior components)
  - Nevertheless there is invariably more than one supplier involved, for example, capital works supplier, power supplier, telecommunications carrier, forms supplier, and so on

- Insurance for new tangible assets
- Securing financial capital for the many and varied installation tasks
- Securing ongoing finances for recurrent costs of operation, for example, power, telecommunications, insurance, security services, stationery, consumables, spares, software enhancement, specialist consultants, and so on
- Establishment of a help desk as a point of contact for users encountering service difficulties with internal or external suppliers
- Establishment of system administration and support services with internal or external suppliers
- Procuring and directing expert consulting
- Establishment of service level agreements with internal and external suppliers
- Conceptualization and engineering of new workplace procedures and work flows that complement the new automated procedures and provide and end-to-end service to the organization’s clients
- Design of new forms and other stationery, coordination with software development (to ensure fields captured or printed correctly), and with technical infrastructure procurement (to align characteristics for printers), ordering of stocks, distribution of stocks, and establishing replenishment regime
- Conceptualization and engineering for new operating and administration procedures for the new IS and IT
- Implementation of the benefits monitoring scheme
- Change management program covering enunciation of organizational ethics and of the image, presentation, and posture sought by the organization, clarification of the organization’s expectations of the behavior and norms of its constituents, establishing reward and disciplinary regimes, and so on
- Organizational redesign — new and revised roles, new complements in each role, elimination of redundant roles, redeployment strategy and programs for staff — new job descriptions; new supervisory positions; new assessment profiles; IS management positions; new operating hours, such as after hours operation for end of day procedures, back up, preventative maintenance; new and revised salary and conditions
- Preparation, publication, and distribution of educational materials in sufficient quantity
- Internal education programs concerning the new systems
- Public education campaign concerning the new systems
- Preparation and publication of sufficient quantity of training materials
- Establishment of training facilities and training services, training trainers
- Specific training — for the elements to be introduced: new business procedures, new application systems, system operation, system administration, operation of equipment, and so on
- Planning the move from existing to new procedures
- Actual implementation — getting everything in place by the day before it gets turned on
- Pilot site monitoring with feedback for revised business procedures, IS, IT, implementation methods
- Roll-out of systems to all sites and for all segments of the new systems
- Ensuring an environment for the ongoing operation, support, and maintenance of the new procedures, systems, and technology
- Post implementation reviews in preparation for the next change!

Where to seek further guidance

External to the World Bank
*Good practice in developing sustainable information systems / Supporting Guides (esp. SG5, 9)*, Department for International Development (U.K.), CCTA 1998